Dear Editor and my mentor,

Firstly, let me convey my sincere wishes. It is an honor for me to have my article evaluated in your journal, and I would like to underline this. I tried to answer the concerns raised by the reviewer and yours one by one and highlighted (Revised Manuscript with by using bold and red colored) the revisions that I made in the manuscript file. All the changes you have requested by us have been handled meticulously and necessary arrangements have been made.

Please kindly find the manuscript of our study enclosed. Sir, we hope that our study would be considered to be published in your journal.

With my best regards,

Dr. Mehmet ALBAYRAK

COMMENTS

REVIEWERS’ COMMENT:

Reviewer 1

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: line 45: pronated forearm. She fell down while walking and was admitted to hospital in twenty line 48: There is no history since this
was an emergent case. An assistant applied longitudinal traction to the humerus through the axilla, and the surgeon, while holding the forearm in supination, maneuvered it in the medial direction, as the assistant moved the humerus in the lateral direction. An flouroscopy. After reduction, both passive flexion and extension ranges of motion (ROM) of the USA), and the torn common extensor origin was sutured using non-absorbable sutures in a systematic review of 342 cases of complete elbow dislocations, only three lateral reported in our patient, so a detailed neurologic examination is necessary at the time of spontaneously once nerve compression is relieved by joint reduction. Radiological

ANSWER Reviewer 1.

Dear Reviewer 1, first of all, i would like to thank you for taking your time and making valuable contributions to our article. The article was reviewed and necessary revisions were made. I hope this reassures you. With our best regards.

Reviewer 2

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office’s comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, “Figure 1 Pathological changes of
atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...”. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author's intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is ‘original’, the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023. Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA. RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/.
Dear Reviewer 2, first of all, we would like to thank you for taking your time and making valuable contributions to our article. The Figure legends were revised. Original figure documents were arranged in a power point file with copyright abbreviations. Two more articles that are advised by RCA were added by new reference numbers. I hope this reassures you. With my best regards.