June 24, 2022

Dear editor
Editor-in-Chief

Hope this finds you well

Re: Revised Manuscript Submission (Manuscript No: 77450)

We would like to thank you and all reviewers for your time and insightful and qualified comments after reviewing our manuscript titled “The Current global research landscape on COVID-19 and cancer: Bibliometric and visualization analysis”.

We wish to thank the editor and reviewers again for their time in commenting on the draft manuscript, which we believe has strengthened the paper. We carefully addressed all comments of the reviewers. A point-by-point reply to the comments is given below. We hope that we appropriately address all comments.

We look forward to you and reviewers’ comments on the manuscript and hope that the manuscript is given favorable consideration for publication in *World Journal of Clinical Oncology*.

Yours sincerely
Sa’ed H Zyoud

Reviewer reports:

Reviewer #1:

*Scientific Quality*: Grade D (Fair)
*Language Quality*: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
*Conclusion*: Major revision

Response: I would like to thank you for the thorough reading of the manuscript and the professional comments and constructive recommendations, which help improve this manuscript's quality.
Specific Comments to Authors:

1. The author displayed too few figures in the main text. Additional figures to illustrate the change in popularity of keywords on a time axis might help to show how the research trend might have been changing over the time, such as timeline view of keywords and citation burst analysis of keywords.

Response: Thank you for this suggestion. We added it as you suggested (Figure 4)

2. Why was VosViewer used for the analysis, in comparison to Citespace or Bibliometrix? Why was Scopus used in comparison to other bibliographic databases like WoS?

Response: Thank you for this suggestion. We added an explanation for your concerns (see the methods)

3. The deadline for this analysis is June 15, 2021, and now it is June 2022. I recommended that the author should update the data.

Response: Thank you for this suggestion. We updated our analysis until June 2022 (see the results + figures + Tables)

4. The author should add a flowchart regarding the filtering of articles.

Response: Thank you for this suggestion. We added it as you suggested (Figure 1)

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)
Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)
Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: I recommend the manuscript to be published in the World Journal of Clinical Oncology.

Response: Thank you for this nice comments and encouragements.

(1) Science editor:

The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it’s ready for the first decision.
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)
Response: thank you for this decision

(2) Company editor-in-chief:

1. I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Oncology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted.

Response: thank you for this decision

2. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office’s comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

Response: Dear editor, thank you very much for the comments and suggestions. Thank you for giving us the opportunity to improve and resubmit our manuscript. The comments and suggestions are valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our manuscript. According to the referees’ comments and suggestions, we have made revisions, as described in the authors’ response.

3. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, “Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...”. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author’s intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights.

Response: we provided Figures in PPT file and we followed all your suggestions.

Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is ‘original’, the author needs to
add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Response: All our figures were original. We followed your suggestions.

Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.

Response: we adjusted the tables as you recommended

Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA. RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/.

Response: we adjusted the analysis as you recommended (Table 4)