JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF'S REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology
Manuscript NO: 34409
Title: Quantification and comparison of neurosurgical approaches in the anatomy laboratory: A novel, neuronavigation-based, research method
Journal Editor-in-Chief (**Associate Editor):** Yicheng Ni
Country: Belgium
Editorial Director: Yuan Qi
Date sent for review: 2017-07-18
Date reviewed: 2017-07-18

ACADEMIC CONTENT EVALUATION	LANGUAGE QUALITY EVALUATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	[ ] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade D: Fair		[Y] Minor revision
[ ] Grade E: Poor	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	[ ] Major revision

JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF (ASSOCIATE EDITOR) COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Two suggestions are provided to further improve the quality of this paper as seen below. 1) By definition, neurosurgery covers any portion of the nervous system including the brain, spinal cord, peripheral nerves, and extra-cranial cerebrovascular system. Apparently, this paper deals only with the approaches in virtual cranial neurosurgery. Therefore, the title of this paper looks somewhat misleading and can be modified as "Quantitative comparison of craniocerebral approaches in the anatomy laboratory: A neurosurgical research method". Self-promoting words such as "novel" should be avoided in scientific articles.   2) In MATERIALS AND METHODS section on page 6, the brand name and major specifications of the applied CT scanner should be given.