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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**
Summary Hang et al. retrospectively analyzed the utility of the TRIANGLE technique in pancreaticoduodenectomy. Although it is a retrospective analysis, the study is conducted on a well-controlled population, and the analysis accounted for the high incidence of complications associated with the TRIANGLE technique with sufficient adjustment for confounding factors. Long-term prognosis is also examined, indicating reliable statistical analysis. Considering these factors, I believe this paper is acceptable for publication.