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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript described a patient whose pleomorphic adenoma of the left lacrimal gland recurred and transformed into myoepithelial carcinoma finally after 20 years. Despite there are over 30 similar articles published in the database, the manuscript also has its novelty of long-time history and malignant transformation. However, there are some minor revision that might be made. (1) Discussion: There are novel molecular characters such as TERT promoter mutation, high PD-L1 expression, and t(3;8) chromosomal abnormality, which should be added in the part of discussion; (2) In the text, the author described that the patient underwent 5 operation. But, in the figure 2, the author depicted the second operation' pathology and revealed malignant transformation. Please explain the discordance of it.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
At 2nd line of conclusion at (abstract) : Kindly correct (tocomplete) to (complete).