



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Virology*

Manuscript NO: 77079

Title: The clinical characteristics of COVID-19 patients who underwent tracheostomy and its effect on outcome: A retrospective observational study.

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05931960

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Research Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-20

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-21 14:18

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-21 17:57

Review time: 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

- English language should be revised - You get used to repeating words, sentence after sentence, and it becomes tiresome for the readers. - Result section should be carefully revised - a reference should be place at the end of the exposed idea, before punctuation, not after - abbreviation should be explained at first appearance in text



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Virology*

Manuscript NO: 77079

Title: The clinical characteristics of COVID-19 patients who underwent tracheostomy and its effect on outcome: A retrospective observational study.

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06251480

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-20

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-20 17:25

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-30 10:31

Review time: 9 Days and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments to the Author I have read the article with great interest since it focuses on a timely societal issue and I do recognize that this manuscript provides information on the clinical characteristics of COVID-19 patients who underwent tracheostomy and its effect on the outcome which was studied retrospectively. Even though the authors did good work, I believe there are certain flaws in the manuscript that should be corrected after a thorough revision. The following are the points that the authors need to heed thoroughly before considering the paper for potential publication in the journal: Title: The clinical characteristics of COVID-19 patients who underwent tracheostomy and its effect on outcome: A retrospective observational study. Specific comments Abstract Background: - An abbreviations/acronyms need to be written in full form the first time, and given short form in parenthesis, after that, you can use them consistently. For instance, COVID-19 ...correct as Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) for the first time in the abstract as well as introduction section for first-time, and then use COVID-19 consistently throughout the text. - What do you recommend from this study? Introduction - Well written - Please clearly provide the ultimate goal of your study. Methods and material - In the Exclusion criteria "All patients with missing data were excluded from the study... what percent of missing data exists? Results - Well done Discussion -Writing p-values in the discussion section is not usual. Better to remove them. - I recommend author include the following points: • Add implications in the discussion section • Directions for future research should be included. - There are a number of grammatical and typos error that need to be thoroughly checked.