Supplementary Table 1 Distribution of knowledge

	n (%)		
Knowledge	Very familiar	Somewhat	Not
		familiar	familiar
1. Ischemic bowel disease is a condition characterized by varying degrees of			
local tissue necrosis in the intestinal wall and a series of symptoms due to	163(51.75)	143(45.4)	9(2.86)
insufficient blood supply to the small and large intestine.			
2. Colonoscopy is the primary diagnostic method for ischemic colitis.	197(62.54)	107(33.97)	11(3.49)
3. Ischemic bowel disease can be classified into three types: Acute Mesenteric			
Ischemia (AMI), Chronic Mesenteric Ischemia (CMI), and Ischemic Colitis (IC).	134(42.54)	163(51.75)	18(5.71)
Although AMI has a low incidence, it is associated with a high mortality rate.			
4. The most valuable diagnostic method for ischemic colitis is:			
a. CT	2(0.63)		
b. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)	2(0.63)		
c. Ultrasound (B-mode)	150(47.62)		
d. Endoscopy	161(51.11)		
e. Mesenteric Artery CT Angiography (CTA)	2(0.63)		

5. The symptoms of ischemic bowel disease mainly include abdominal pain,			
intestinal emptying disorders, intermittent rectal bleeding, lower abdominal	100(57 70)	120/40 05)	4 (1) 7)
crampy pain, hypotension, tachycardia, diarrhea, abdominal distension, nausea,	182(57.78)	129(40.95)	4(1.27)
vomiting, etc.			
6. Ischemic bowel disease is more common in elderly patients with	100(60.22)	110/27 79)	((1, 0))
atherosclerosis and heart failure	190(60.32)	119(37.78)	6(1.9)
7. Risk factors for ischemic bowel disease include heart failure, arrhythmias,			
atrial fibrillation, shock due to various causes, arterial thrombosis, mechanical	178(56.51)	130(41.27)	7(2.22)
intestinal obstruction, etc.			
8. Iatrogenic factors such as aneurysmectomy, aortic surgery, coronary artery			
bypass grafting, intestinal resection surgery, colonoscopy, barium enema,	139(44.13)	158(50.16)	18(5.71)
gynecological surgery, etc., can lead to ischemic bowel disease in the elderly			
9. Medications such as cocaine, danazol, digoxin, estrogen,			
phenylpropanolamine, diuretics, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, etc., can	101(32.06)	179(56.83)	35(11.11)
contribute to the occurrence of ischemic bowel disease in the elderly			
10. Patients suspected of mesenteric ischemia should be immediately fasted, and	14((4(25)	157/40.04)	10/2 01)
blood pressure, pulse, hourly urine output, and if necessary, central venous	146(46.35)	157(49.84)	12(3.81)

pressure or pulmonary capillary wedge pressure should be closely monitored.			
11. Elderly patients with underlying conditions such as diabetes, atherosclerosis,			
coronary heart disease, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, arrhythmias, etc., should			
be considered for ischemic bowel disease when experiencing abdominal pain 1	158(50.16)	143(45.4)	14(4.44)
lasting > 2 hours, especially if symptoms are disproportionate to signs, aiming			
for early diagnosis and treatment			
12. Due to the relatively low overall incidence and the difficulty in early			
diagnosis of ischemic bowel disease, it often needs to be differentiated from		140(47.2)	10/2 17
conditions such as Crohn's disease, colon tumors, mechanical intestinal	156(49.52)	149(47.3)	10(3.17)
obstruction, acute pancreatitis, etc., leading to potential misdiagnosis			
13. The main treatment strategies for ischemic bowel disease include avoiding			
triggering factors, medication, vasodilation therapy, interventional therapy, and 1	157(49.84)	149(47.3)	9(2.86)
surgical treatment			

Attitude	Strongly Agree	Neutr	Disag Strongly	

	agree		al	ree	disagree
1. Do you consider early diagnosis of ischemic bowel disease crucial for the prognosis of patients?	211(66.98	96(30.48)	7(2.22)	1(0.32)	/
2. Do you believe that suspected mesenteric ischemia patients should immediately undergo fasting and close monitoring?	167(53.02)	118(37.46)	26(8.25)	4(1.27)	/
3. Due to the acute necrotic type of ischemic bowel disease having a rapid onset, quick progression, and a high mortality rate, do you think making a timely and accurate clinical diagnosis is particularly important for the prognosis?	212(67.3)	98(31.11)	5(1.59)	/	/
4. In your opinion, should healthcare professionals receive more training and education on ischemic bowel disease?	218(69.21)	90(28.57)	7(2.22)	/	/
5. Do you think the management of ischemic bowel disease patients requires collaboration from a multidisciplinary team?	202(64.13)	105(33.33)	8(2.54)	/	/
6. For patients whose diagnosis is challenging, do you believe that interdisciplinary teamwork is crucial for an accurate diagnosis?	210(66.67)	98(31.11)	6(1.9)	1(0.32)	/

Supplementary Table 3 Distribution of practice

Practice	Always Often	Ofton	Sometimes	Occasionall	Never
		Sometimes	у	INEVEL	
1. In your daily work, how often do you encounter	7 (2 22)	22 (10 48)	111 (35.24)	171 (28 /11)	43 (13.65)
cases where ischemic bowel disease is underdiagnosed?	7 (2.22)	33 (10.48)	111 (33.24)	121 (38.41)	43 (13.85)
2. In your daily work, how often do you come across					
cases where the diagnosis of ischemic bowel disease is	10 (3.17)	45 (14.29)	103 (32.7)	111 (35.24)	46 (14.6)
delayed?					
3. In your practice, do you perform urgent or					
emergency vascular reconstruction surgery for patients		44 (12 07)		01 (20, 00)	(4 (20 22)
with acute abdominal pain caused by mesenteric	26 (8.25)	44 (13.97)	90 (28.57)	91 (28.89)	64 (20.32)
ischemia?					
4. For patients suspected of having ischemic bowel					
disease, do you closely monitor and strive for a prompt	145 (46.03)	90 (28.57)	44 (13.97)	32 (10.16)	4 (1.27)
diagnosis?					
5. When dealing with elderly patients experiencing	11((0(00)	0((00 40)		00 (10 00)	
abdominal pain and having atherosclerosis or heart	116 (36.83)	96 (30.48)	56 (17.78)	39 (12.38)	8 (2.54)

ischemic bowel disease?					
6. How frequently do you engage in interdisciplina	ry				
teamwork for the collaborative diagnosis of ischem	ic 59 (18.73)	86 (27.3)	75 (23.81)	72 (22.86)	23 (7.3)
bowel disease?					
7. In your clinical practice, what are the most usef	ul				
factors contributing to the early diagnosis of ischem	ic				
bowel disease (without infarction)? (Multiple option	ns				
can be selected, up to 5)					
a. History of postprandial abdominal pain	180 (57.14)				
b. No unintentional weight loss history	45 (14.29)				
c. Reduced dietary intake	57 (18.1)				
d. Presence of cardiovascular risk factors	271 (86.03)				
e. Abdominal pain with tenderness	222 (70.48)				
f. Elevated inflammatory markers (CRP/ESR)	131 (41.59)				
g. Elevated serum lactate	33 (10.48)				
h. Acidosis	32 (10.16)				

i. Other abnormalities in blood tests	31 (9.84)	
j. Use of indocyanine green (ICG)	12 (3.81)	
k. Abdominal and pelvic CT without intravenous	37 (11.75)	
contrast		
l. Abdominal and pelvic CT with intravenous	89 (28.25)	
contrast		
n. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS)	43 (13.65)	
m. Diagnostic laparoscopy/abdominal surgery	35 (11.11)	
o. Vascular imaging	155 (49.21)	
8. In your clinical practice, which factors are most		
useful for making a correct diagnosis of ischemic bowel		
disease? (Multiple options can be selected, up to 5)		
a. History of postprandial abdominal pain	141 (44.76)	
b. No unintentional weight loss history	28 (8.89)	
c. Reduced dietary intake	49 (15.56)	
d. Presence of cardiovascular risk factors	218 (69.21)	
e. Abdominal pain with tenderness	203 (64.44)	

f. Elevated inflammatory markers (CRP/ESR)	124 (39.37)
g. Elevated serum lactate	37 (11.75)
h. Acidosis	27 (8.57)
i. Other abnormalities in blood tests	36 (11.43)
j. Use of indocyanine green (ICG)	18 (5.71)
k. Abdominal and pelvic CT without intravenous	48 (15.24)
contrast	10 (10.24)
l. Abdominal and pelvic CT with intravenous	109 (34.6)
contrast	107 (34.0)
n. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS)	56 (17.78)
m. Diagnostic laparoscopy/abdominal surgery	53 (16.83)
o. Vascular imaging	170 (53.97)

<u>Kasaladas</u>	Univariate analysis		Multivariate ar	alysis	
Knowledge	β (95%CI)	P value	β (95%CI)	P value	
			Adj R-squared ²	= 0.3547	
			F= 12.51 (P<0.	001)	
Age (years)					
1					
2	3.72 (0.86,6.58)	0.011	2.80 (0.31,5.30)	0.028	
3		0.005	2.03	0.101	
	3.27 (0.41,6.12)	0.025	(-0.61,4.68)	0.131	
4		0.051	0.051	0.90	
	3.15 (-0.01,6.32)	0.051	(-2.04,3.85)	0.546	
Gender					
Male					
Female	-0.19	0 7(0			
	(-1.46,1.085)	0.768			
Education					

Supplementary Table 4 Univariate and multivariate analysis for knowledge

Bachelor's degree and below					
Master's degree and above	2.20 (1.03,3.36)	< 0.00	-0.57	0.416	
	1		(-1.97,0.81)	0.110	
Years of professional experience, years					
1-3					
4-6	1.74 (-0.53,4.02)	0.133			
7-10	0.75 (-1.36,2.86)	0.485			
>10	0.70 (-1.03,2.45)	0.425			
Professional title					
Junior and below					
Intermediate		0.008	0.76	0.244	
	1.83 (0.48,3.19)	0.008	0.008	(-0.52,2.05)	0.244
Vice / senior	3.30 (1.72,4.88)	< 0.00	2.66 (0.91,4.41)	0.003	
	5.50 (1.72,4.88) 1		2.00 (0.91,4.41)	0.003	
Position					
Doctor					
Department (vice) director	0.67 (-1.2,2.65)	0.499	-0.38	0.674	

			(-2.15,1.39)		
Nurse / nursing supervisor	-2.81	< 0.00	-3.78	<0.00	
	(-4.38,-1.25)	1	(-5.45,-2.11)	1	
Hospital grade					
Tertiary hospital					
Secondary hospital	-0.73	0 220	-1.22	0.007	
	(-2.25,0.77)	0.339	(-2.67,0.22)	0.097	
Primary hospital/other	-3.82	< 0.00	-1.59	0.117	
	(-5.58,-2.05)	1	(-3.59,0.40)		
Department					
Emergency/ intensive care unit/ general surgery					
Gastroenterology	1.89 (-0.06,3.85)	0.058	1.52 (-0.2,3.28)	0.089	
Cardiology	-1.13	0.200	-0.87	0.454	
	(-3.76,1.49)	0.396	(-3.17,1.42)	0.456	
Other	-2.85	0.01	-1.35	0.4.5	
	(-5.01,-0.69)	0.01	(-3.28,0.57)	0.167	

Yes	<0	0.00 <0.0
	4.33 (3.25,5.42)	3.45 (2.39,4.51) 1
No	-	-
lumber of patients admitted in the past month		
0-5 cases		
>5 cases	<0	0.00 <0.0 <0.0
	4.32 (2.42,6.23)	3.25 (1.58,4.92) 1

	Univariate anal	Multivariate analysis		
Attitude	β (95%CI)	P value	β (95%CI)	P value
			Adj R-squared =0.	
			F=10.28 (P<	<0.001)
Knowledge score		< 0.00		<0.00
	0.23 (0.18,0.29)	1	0.20 (0.15,0.26	6) 1
Age (years)				
1				
2			0.37	
	1.82 (0.34,3.30)	0.016	(-0.98,1.73)	0.586
3			-0.09	
	1.60 (0.12,3.08)	0.033	(-1.53,1.35)	0.902
4			-0.37	
	1.33 (-0.30,2.97)	0.111	(-1.99,1.24)	0.652
Gender				
Male				

Supplementary Table 5 Univariate and multivariate analysis for attitude

Female	-0.54			
	(-1.20,0.111)	0.104		
Education				
Bachelor's degree and below				
Master's degree and above		< 0.00	0.41	
	1.40 (0.80,1.99)	1	(-0.23,1.06)	0.208
Years of professional experience, years				
1-3				
4-6	0.5 (-0.68,1.68)	0.406		
7-10	0.27 (-0.81,1.37)	0.618		
>10	0.06 (-0.83,0.97)	0.887		
Professional title				
Junior and below				
Intermediate			0.27	
	0.84 (0.13,1.55)	0.019	(-0.43,0.98)	0.448
Vice / senior			0.35	
	1.21 (0.38,2.04)	0.004	(-0.58,1.30)	0.457

Position				
Doctor				
Department (vice) director			0.60	
	0.57 (-0.41,1.56)	0.255	(-0.36,1.56)	0.22
Nurse / nursing supervisor	-2.23	< 0.00	-1.30	
	(-3.01,-1.45)	1	(-2.16,-0.4)	0.003
Hospital grade				
Tertiary hospital				
Secondary hospital	0.24 (-0.55,1.05)	0.544		
Primary hospital/other	-0.77			
	(-1.71,0.159)	0.104		
Department				
Emergency / intensive care unit / general surgery				
Gastroenterology	-0.75		-0.89	
	(-1.82,0.32)	0.17	(-1.85,0.06)	0.068
Cardiology	-1.40		-1.19	
	(-2.84,0.04)	0.057	(-2.46,0.07)	0.064

Other	-1.62	-0.63
	(-2.81,-0.44)	0.007 (-1.69,0.42) 0.2
Participation in training on ischemic bowel disease		
Yes	0.39 (-0.21,1.01)	0.203
No		
Number of patients admitted in the past month		
0-5 cases		
b. >5 cases	0.18 (-0.83,1.20)	0.723

Breaties	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
Practice	β (95%CI)	P value	β (95%CI)	P value
			$R^2 = 0.1922^*$	
			F=11.07	
			(P<0.001)	
Knowledge score	0.31(0.23,0.1	3 <0.0	0.20(0.10,0.30	<0.0
	9)	01)	01
Attitude score	0.45(0.29,0.	6 <0.0	0.24(0.06,0.42	0.007
	1)	01)	0.007
Age (years)				
1				
2	-1.0(-3.3,1.2	5		
)	0.378		
3	-1.0(-3.3,1.2	3		
)	0.369		
4	-0.5(-3.05,2.	0 0.683		

Supplementary Table 6 Univariate and multivariate analysis for practice

	0)	
Gender		
Male		
Female	-0.64(-1.64,0.	0.211
	36)	0.211
Education		
Bachelor's degree and below		
Master's degree and above	1.02(0.09,1.95)	0.22(-0.66,1.1 0.621
	1.02(0.07,1.75)	0)
Years of professional experience, years		
1-3		
4-6	0.47(-1.33,2.2	0.607
	7)	0.007
7-10	0.20(-1.46,1.8	0.808
	7)	0.000
>10	-0.3(-1.72,1.0	0.624
	3)	0.021

Professional title		
Junior and below		
Intermediate	0.79(-0.3,1.88	0.156
)	0.150
Vice / senior	1.13(-0.1,2.40	0.082
)	0.002
Position		
Doctor		
Department (vice) director	0.93(-0.65,2.5	0.247
	1)	
Nurse / nursing supervisor	-1.04(-2.29,0.	0.102
	20)	
Hospital grade		
Tertiary hospital		
Secondary hospital	0.33(-0.90,1.5	0.598
	6)	
Primary hospital/other	-0.56(-2.00,0.	0.435

	86)	
Department		
Emergency/ intensive care unit/ general surgery		
Gastroenterology	0.88(-0.74,2.5 0.286	
	2)	
Cardiology	-0.03(-2.22,2.	
	16)	
Other	-1.00(-2.80,0.	
	80)	
Participation in training on ischemic bowel disease		
Yes	1.95(1.04,2.8 <0.0 0.80(-0.14,1.7 0.09	5
	7) 01 6)	5
No		
Number of patients admitted in the past month		
0-5 cases		
>5 cases	2.32(0.79,3.8 1.053(-0.42,2. 0.003 0.16	0.161
	5) 52)	T

Indicators	Reference	Results
RMSEA	< 0.08 Good	0.000
SRMR	< 0.08 Good	0.000
TLI	> 0.8 Good	1.000
CFI	> 0.8 Good	1.000

Supplementary	Table 7	' Structural	equation	modeling model fit	
			- 1	0	