Reply to Reviewers

Dear Editor and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and the reviewers’ comments concerning our manuscript entitled “Current and future research directions in cellular metabolism of colorectal cancer: A bibliometric analysis” (Manuscript number: 95524). These comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our research. We have studied comments carefully and have made corrections which we hope meet with approval. We used track changes mode to revise part of the manuscript. We have revised and resubmitted the revised manuscript. The main corrections in the paper and the responses to the reviewer’s comments are as follows:

Responds to the reviewer’s comments:

Reviewer #1:
1. Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Accept (General priority)
Specific Comments to Authors: This study by Jiang et al. well complements the manuscript authored by Liu et al., which performed bibliometric analysis on the research trend of cellular metabolism of colorectal cancer. There is also little problem in the linguistic aspect. I think that this letter can be published in this present form.

Author response and action taken: Thank you very much for recognizing our work! We've improved the linguistic aspect.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the
These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper. Here we did not list all the changes but marked in yellow in the revised paper.

We appreciate for Editors/Reviewers' work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval. We are open to any additional comments or concerns you may have regarding this adjustment or any other aspect of the paper. Your feedback is invaluable in improving the quality of our work. Thank you for your support.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Yours sincerely,

Yandong Miao