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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, I have read the manuscript with interest and some questions raised. Enlisted please find my comments. Overall, General English grammar revision (Minor spelling errors). Key words. “dentistry” and “periodontology” could be added in my opinion. Introduction. Authors stated “PRG usually occurs on the palatal side of maxillary lateral incisors without dental caries or trauma”. Please add a reference for this statement. Case Presentation Authors stated “On examination, tooth 12 had an intact crown without defect or fracture, but it did not respond to electric pulp testing.”. Please add details about pulp tester the first time it appears in the text: commercial name manufacturer, City and State. Case Presentation Authors stated “Periodontal examination revealed a 14-mm probing depth on the distal side of the root (Fig. 1b)”. Please add details about the probe: commercial name manufacturer, City and State. Case Presentation Authors stated “Dimensional reconstruction visualized a large bone defect and a long PRG extending up to the apical part of the tooth”. Please add details about software used, version, Manufacturer, City and State. Treatment stated “The surgical area was disinfected”. Please point out how. Discussion. Authors stated “Interdisciplinary approaches are recommended for managing such situations, such as degranulation of the defect, sealing of the groove, endodontic treatment, bone regeneration treatment, and prosthodontic treatment.”. Some discussion should be added concerning possible ancillary helpful therapies. It could be stated that “Some unexplored variables can have a significant influence on oral environment. The use of probiotics (Probiotic Alternative to Chlorhexidine in Periodontal Therapy: Evaluation of Clinical and Microbiological Parameters. Butera A, Gallo S, Maiorani C, Molino D,
Chiesa A, Preda C, Esposito F, et al. Microorganisms. 2020 Dec 29;9(1):69.) and natural compounds (Chitosan and Hydroxyapatite Based Biomaterials to Circumvent Periprosthetic Joint Infections. Costa-Pinto AR, Lemos AL, Tavaria FK, Pintado M. Materials (Basel). 2021 Feb 8;14(4):804.) can modify Clinical and Microbiological Parameters in periodontal patients, they could have an effect also in the response to the technique described in the present report. All these variables should be considered in future clinical trials”. Discussion. Authors stated “The healing of the tooth was uneventful, and the bone around the defect was stable after two years. To date, no studies have reported the result of iRoot BP plus used in sealing PRG. The present case may provide a clinical basis for a new application of this material”. It should be added that also other applications could be tested. It could be pointed out that “Additionally, Laser (Effects of ozonated olive oil and photobiomodulation using diode laser on gingival depigmented wound: A randomized clinical study. Tualzik T, Chopra R, Gupta SJ, Sharma N, Khare M, et al. J Indian Soc Periodontol. 2021 Sep-Oct;25(5):422-426.) and ozone (Butera A, Gallo S, Pascadopoli M, Luraghi G, et al. Ozonized Water Administration in Peri-Implant Mucositis Sites: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Applied Sciences. 2021; 11(17):7812. ) therapies have been proposed for periodontal health showing promising results. Future reports are needed in order to test these therapies also for PRG”. Discussion. Please add a paragraph concerning the treatment alternatives. Discussion. Please add a paragraph showing the limitations of the present report. References. Some references are quite old (1968;1985;1981;1993;1989;1996). If possible, please switch with some more modern research. Some recent studies have been suggested in the sections above.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Please improve the grammar. Please download the CARE checklist for case reports, and apply all its items to the article. Upload the filled checklist with revision. Please elaborate on the novelty of this case report, in the introduction.
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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**
Please download the CARE checklist and apply all its items to the revised paper. ALL items are needed. Attached the filled in checklist too.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, The manuscript has been revised taking into careful account all the comments. Introduction is complete. Materials and Methods are correct. Results are clear. Discussion is wide and well written. Conclusions are sound. References are adequate. Figures and Tables are readable and explanatory. Good job.