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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In General: it's a good paper and the subject of the manuscript is applicable and useful.

Title: the title properly explain the purpose and objective of the article

Abstract: abstract contains an appropriate summary for the article, language used in the abstract easy to read and understand, there are no suggestions for improvement.

Introduction: authors do provide adequate background on the topic and reason for this article and describe what the authors hoped to achieve.

Results: the results presented clearly, the authors provide accurate research results, there is sufficient evidence for each result.

Conclusion: in general: Good and the research provides sample data for the authors to make their conclusion.

Grammar: Need Some revision.