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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
In this manuscript, the author estimated the circulating inflammatory indicators Kynurenine, IL-6, tissue-inhibitor of matrix-metalloproteinase-1(TIMP-1) and hTERT along with neutrophil-lymphocyte-ratio(NLR). The aim of the present study was to assess the utility of chosen inflammatory marker panel in estimating systemic inflammation. This paper is written smoothly with clear thinking, but there are some problems: 1. On the fourth page, the sixteenth line, it’s not clear what the author meant by using “unresolved inflammation”. 2. On the third page, the first line, “Multivariate linear-regression analysis confirmed the association with inflammation marker IL-6”. This sentence doesn't make sense. 3. In the sixth line and the twentieth line of the fifth page, “suggest” should be “suggested”. 4. The research sample should have clear exclusion and inclusion criteria. 5. On the eighth page, “the best value was for IL-6 (r=0.5409, p<0.0001)” . What is the meaning of this sentence? No relevant data can be seen in the table behind the article. 6. In the eighth line of page 9, the article is described as Table 4, but the name of the table at the end of the article is Table no.3. Moreover, the value of hTERT is not seen in the table. 7. There is no relevant table data for the paired t-test between the preoperative and 3-month postoperative levels during the follow-up mentioned on page 10. Overall, I think this article is clearly organized, but there are also some problems. I think this article needs to be reviewed again after revision.