

July 13th, 2017

To the Editor of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Dear Editor,

enclosed you find the revised version of the manuscript entitled **“NEW ENDOSCOPY ADVANCES TO REFINE ADENOMA DETECTION RATE FOR COLORECTAL CANCER SCREENING: NONE IS THE WINNER”**.

We modified the paper according to editorial office and reviewer comments, and we wish to thank the editors and referees for the helpful comments, which have greatly contributed to the improvement of the manuscript. You will also find a letter itemizing the changes we have done, as point-by-point reply (in the manuscript, all sentences added to the revised version are underlined).

We hope that the paper is now acceptable for publication, and look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours sincerely,

Marcello Maida MD,
Section of Gastroenterology
S. Elia – M. Raimondi Hospital - Caltanissetta, Italy
Mail: marcello.maida@hotmail.it
Telephone: +39 0934512247;
Fax: +39 0934512340

REFEREE #1

Changes requested: none

REFEREE #2

Dear authors, In the manuscript entitled “new endoscopy advances to refine adenoma detection rate for colorectal cancer screening: none is the winner”, the authors demonstrated the progress in colonoscopy, such as chromoendoscopy and narrow band imaging (NBI), high definition endoscopy (HDE), full-spectrum endoscopy (FSE), etc. It will be helpful to clinical practice. Best regards

Changes requested: none

REFEREE #3

1. The authors intend to introduce the technologies for the improvement of detection rates of adenomas. In the manuscript, the definition of ADR is not clearly described. Please indicate the definition of ADR. For instance, it is described that studies showed a gain in ADR from 13.2 to 23.2 % in page 5, the manuscript would be improved if the cause of this increase is explained with the comparison of other meta-analysis studies showed in page 6. Proofreading is needed.

Answer: We thank the referee for suggestions. We added a clear definition of ADR (Page 3, lines 20-23), in order to allow the reader to better understand all contents of the manuscript. We also added a brief comment through which we link the result of meta-analysis on CAC, described at page 6 with previous data on TER at page 5 (Page 6, line 14). Finally, an extensive language revision has been made.