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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations to the researchers. The title reflects the main subject of the manuscript. The abstract summarizes and reflects the work described in the manuscript. The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript appropriated. The manuscript adequately describes the background. The manuscript interprets the findings well. The manuscript cites appropriately the latest, important, and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. The manuscript is well and coherently organized. The language and grammar are accurate and appropriate. The figures and tables were sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative, but I missed the risk of bias assessment for each included study in your review.
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A very valuable meta analysis, well executed and well written except for the introduction which needs several corrections • Introduction - such as ascites, gastroesophageal varices, and hepatic encephalopathy etc…etc is not acceptable in such a meta-analysis -, including the unregulated systemic arginine vasopressin (AVP)…or, including the upregulated systemic arginine vasopressin (AVP) - This 39-amino-acid glycopeptide contains the C-terminus of AVP precursor, making it an effective surrogate marker for AVP release [11, 12]……………….What glycopeptide???!!!! Therefore, a systematic review and meta-analysis was performed in this study to evaluate the association between serum copeptin and the clinical outcome of patients with CLD. The prognostic value of serum copeptin levels in patients with CLD remains uncertain. Thus, in this study, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to investigate the potential association between serum copeptin and clinical outcomes in this patient population………there is unnecessary repetition. Please re-phrase this paragraph