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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The paper is concise and informative. The title is informative and relevant. The references are relevant and recent. The cited sources are referenced correctly. Appropriate and key studies are included. The introduction reveals what is already known about this topic. The research question is clearly outlined. The case is well-described, the used methods methods for diagnosing and therapy are valid and reliable. The patient data is presented in an appropriate way. The illustrative materials are relevant and clearly presented. Data is discussed from different angles and placed into context without being overinterpreted. The article is consistent within itself. Specific comments on weaknesses of the article and what could be improved: Major points - none Minor points - However, the authors should revise the discussion and to make it more clearer. It is not clear what are the main findings, the pearls for the clinical practice and the uniqueness of the case.