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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The author delves further into subjects that were summarily investigated in the original manuscript, such as the molecular characteristics of NAFLD-related HCC, the effect of environmental pollutants and diabetes medication. However, the points raised by this letter are not clearly defined. Apart from the question regarding the frequency of MRI screening in patients that cannot be evaluated with US, the author does not raise specific points and does not offer any alternate recommendations. Suggestions from the author regarding real-life use of these findings would greatly boost the chances of this letter being published.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

General comment This is a letter to the editor of the article published by Chrysavgis et al. From the literature and this peer reviewer’s clinical experience. It is crucial to recognize NASH and HCC risks. Unfortunately, the current guideline does not recommend HCC surveillance in NASH patients without advanced fibrosis. I agree with the concern raised by these authors. Cost-effectiveness is a serious concern in implementing screening and surveillance guidelines. The proposed algorithm may not be appropriate to implement from this perspective. I agree with the comments on the need for cancer screening strategies for different carcinoma at once, but I am not sure if the author of the original article can conclude this based on current studies. It is also ideal to recommend multidisciplinary approaches for NAFLD/NASH patients, but it may be challenging to implement due to cost concerns and the number of patients we have with NAFLD/NASH. Minor comment. “etc.” should be avoided in an academic paper.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting letter to the Editor on an important topic. Could the authors please respond to the following questions: 1) The paper could benefit from significant editorial language assistance 2) The authors mention the value of molecular screening. They may wish to briefly discuss the challenges involved with that strategy 3) The authors although they discuss a multi-prong strategy, they do not mention bariatric surgery and its potential. They should also consider mentioning liver transplantation.
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