



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 22277

Title: Laparoscopic treatment of complicated colonic diverticular disease: A review

Reviewer's code: 03253808

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-08-25 13:17

Date reviewed: 2015-09-02 18:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think it's a good paper. It summarizes the current state of the surgical treatment of acute diverticulitis. It reflects the most important studies that are being carried out today on this issue and also provides the latest results of these. It provides no clear conclusions but defines the fields where research should be done to clarify which could be the best treatment option. Perhaps an algorithm that can summarize the current state of this issue and what can be clarified points that could help more clearly getting the message of the work. Congratulations



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 22277

Title: Laparoscopic treatment of complicated colonic diverticular disease: A review

Reviewer's code: 02924160

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-08-25 13:17

Date reviewed: 2015-09-07 06:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper gives an overview of the controversies on the treated topic. In some section, it is confusing and difficult to follow. English should be revised by a native. I would modify the title. It is a review on complicated colonic "diverticulitis" instead of "diverticular disease". The second aim, "to validate the advantages of laparoscopy in elective situations such as sigmoidectomy for chronic complications or HP reversal", is a topic that seems out of the context of the present review. In my opinion, it could be the argument for another review article. Maybe, this section could be put merged with "sigmoidectomy: PRA and Hartmann" This aim is reported in the abstract but it is not mentioned at the end of the introduction. In the section Emergent surgery, the reference 41 by Letarte could be updated or add also a more recent paper (Laparoscopic versus open colonic resection for complicated diverticular disease in the emergency setting: a safe choice? A retrospective comparative cohort study. Letarte F, Hallet J, Drolet S, Boulanger-Gobeil C, Bouchard A, Grégoire RC, Gagné JP, Thibault C, Bouchard P. Am J Surg. 2015 Jun;209(6):992-8). In the reference 44, the name of the journal should be added.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 22277

Title: Laparoscopic treatment of complicated colonic diverticular disease: A review

Reviewer's code: 02552429

Reviewer's country: France

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-08-25 13:17

Date reviewed: 2015-09-07 19:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

We have reviewed the paper entitled "Laparoscopic treatment of complicated colonic diverticular disease: A Review". The authors performed an interesting and extensive review of all the aspects of surgical management of complicated diverticulitis, evaluating indication for emergent surgery as well as elective surgery. Concerning emergency surgery, they evaluated clearly the different options for Hinchey 3 and 4 complications including peritoneal lavage versus Hartmann's procedure. The main weakness of the paper is the conclusion which is more a discussion than really a conclusion. The authors should establish an algorithm performing a summary of all the aspects in order to potentially identify the patient that may benefit from each option and the critical points that have to be evaluated before any therapeutic options, conservative or primarily resection such as Hartmann's.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 22277

Title: Laparoscopic treatment of complicated colonic diverticular disease: A review

Reviewer's code: 03260488

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-08-25 13:17

Date reviewed: 2015-09-12 01:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations for your paper, seems like a very definite review about the current situation regarding surgery in diverticulitis. Maybe, in the conclusions the take home messages should be more clearly explained and moreover, it would be very helpful if you manage to develop an algorithm.. And again, congratulations for you report.