

Format for Manuscript Revision: Randomized Clinical Trial

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 14610

Manuscript Type: ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Randomized Clinical Trial

Efficacy of moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy for first-line eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in gastrointestinal disease

Hwang JJ *et al.* Moxifloxacin and *H. pylori* eradication

Jae Jin Hwang, Dong Ho Lee, Ae-Ra Lee, Hyuk Yoon, Cheol Min Shin, Young Soo Park, Nayoung Kim

Jae Jin Hwang, Dong Ho Lee, Ae-Ra Lee, Hyuk Yoon, Cheol Min Shin, Young Soo Park, Nayoung Kim, Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam 463-707, South Korea

Author contributions: Hwang JJ and Lee DH were responsible for the study conception and design, data analysis and interpretation, and manuscript drafting; Lee A, Yoon H, Shin CM, Park YS, and Kim N critically revised the article for important intellectual content; all the authors reviewed and approved the final version to be published.

Supported by

Corresponding author: Dong Ho Lee, MD, Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, No. 300 Gumi-dong, Bundang-gu, Seongnam 463-707, Gyeonggi-do, South Korea. dhljohn@yahoo.co.kr

Abstract

BACKGROUND

AIM

To evaluate the efficacy of 14-d moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy as first-line eradication treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) infection.

METHODS

From December 2013 to August 2014, 161 patients with confirmed *H. pylori* infection randomly received 14 d of moxifloxacin-based sequential group (MOX-ST group, $n = 80$) or clarithromycin-based sequential group (CLA-ST group, $n = 81$) therapy. *H. pylori* infection was defined on the basis of at least one of the following three tests: a positive ^{13}C -urea breath test (^{13}C -UBT); histologic evidence of *H. pylori* by modified Giemsa staining; or a positive rapid urease test (CLOtest; Delta West, Bentley, Australia) by gastric mucosal biopsy. Successful eradication therapy for *H. pylori* infection was defined as a negative ^{13}C -urea breath test four weeks after the end of eradication treatment. Compliance was defined as good when drug intake was at least 85%. *H. pylori* eradication rates, patient compliance with drug treatment, adverse event rates, and factors influencing the efficacy of eradication therapy were evaluated.

RESULTS

The eradication rates by intention-to-treat analysis were 91.3% [73/80; 95% confidence interval (CI): 86.2%–95.4%] in the MOX-ST group and 71.6% (58/81; 95%CI: 65.8%–77.4%) in the CLA-ST group ($P = 0.014$). The eradication rates by per-protocol (PP) analysis were 93.6% (73/78; 95%CI: 89.1%–98.1%) in the MOX-ST group and 75.3% (58/77; 95%CI: 69.4%–81.8%) in the CLA-ST group ($P = 0.022$). Compliance was 100% in both groups. The adverse event rates were 12.8% (10/78) and 24.6% (19/77) in the MOX-ST and CLA-ST group, respectively ($P = 0.038$). Most of the adverse events were mild-to-moderate in intensity; there was none serious

enough to cause discontinuation of treatment in either group. In multivariate analysis, advanced age (≥ 60 years) was a significant independent factor related to the eradication failure in the CLA-ST group (adjusted odds ratio: 2.13, 95%CI: 1.97–2.29, $P = 0.004$), whereas there was no significance in the MOX-ST group.

CONCLUSION

The 14-d moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy is effective. Moreover, it shows excellent patient compliance and safety compared to the 14-d clarithromycin-based sequential therapy.

Keywords: *Helicobacter pylori*; First-line eradication treatment; Moxifloxacin; Sequential therapy; Eradication rate

Core tip: This is the first study to evaluate the efficacy of 14-d moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy compared to that of 14-d clarithromycin-based sequential therapy as a first-line eradication treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. Our study showed that the moxifloxacin-based therapy is effective and shows excellent patient compliance and safety compared with the clarithromycin-based sequential therapy. The high eradication rate, excellent compliance, and safety of the moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy suggest its suitability as an alternative to standard triple therapy.

INTRODUCTION

Helicobacter pylori (*H. pylori*) infection is the single most important factor causing chronic atrophic gastritis, peptic ulcer disease, gastric cancer, and gastric mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma^[1]. The eradication of *H. pylori* infection effectively reduces the incidence of peptic ulcer and gastric cancer and prevents their recurrence^[2]. The most important first-line treatment for eradication of *H. pylori* is currently the standard triple therapy comprising a proton pump inhibitor (PPI), clarithromycin, and either amoxicillin or metronidazole^[3,4]. Although many studies have indicated that this therapy is highly effective, the reported eradication rates vary between 70% and 95%^[5,6] and have shown a tendency to decrease due to increasing antibiotic resistance^[7,8]. Therefore, more effective alternative regimens are needed.

Many alternative, first-line treatment regimens have been studied. Sequential therapy is one alternative regimen, which consists of a PPI and amoxicillin for the first seven days, followed by a PPI plus metronidazole and clarithromycin for another seven days^[9]. This regimen is currently recommended as an alternative first-line treatment for *H. pylori* infection in European guidelines^[3]. In Korea, a region with relatively high antibiotic resistance, the efficacy of sequential therapy has been reported in several randomized controlled trials, including our previous prospective study^[10-12]. These studies initially indicated sequential therapy to be effective, but recent studies have shown less satisfactory results. The main causes of sequential therapy failure are patient non-compliance and antibiotic resistance^[13]. Non-compliance is due mainly to patients' complicated schedules^[14]. Another key element of treatment failure is bacterial resistance to clarithromycin. Resistance to clarithromycin is relatively high in Korea^[15,16] and plays a role in diminishing the effect of sequential therapy^[13].

Recently, changing the antibiotic agents that are included in the eradication regimen to improve *H. pylori* eradication therapy efficacy has been studied. The reason for changing antibiotic agents is to overcome resistance to clarithromycin. Among several candidates for new antibiotic agents, moxifloxacin has received

attention. Compared with other fluoroquinolones, moxifloxacin has a low incidence of adverse events and small interactions with other drugs. Therefore, we hypothesized that 14-d moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy might increase *H. pylori* eradication as compared to clarithromycin-based sequential therapy in an area with high clarithromycin resistance. A head-to-head comparison between moxifloxacin and clarithromycin regimens has not been addressed in the literature yet.

The aim of the present study was to compare the *H. pylori* eradication rates, patient compliance, and adverse events between first-line moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy and clarithromycin-based sequential therapy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient selection

This study was conducted at Seoul National University Bundang Hospital between December 2013 and August 2014. A total of 161 patients with *H. pylori* infection were enrolled in this prospective, open-labeled, randomized pilot study. *H. pylori* infection was defined on the basis of at least one of the following three tests: (1) a positive ¹³C-urea breath test (¹³C-UBT); (2) histologic evidence of *H. pylori* by modified Giemsa staining in the lesser and greater curvature of the body and antrum of the stomach; or (3) a positive rapid urease test (CLOtest; Delta West, Bentley, Australia) by gastric mucosal biopsy from the lesser curvature of the body and antrum of the stomach. Patients were excluded if they had received PPIs, H₂ receptor antagonists, or antibiotics in the previous four weeks, or if they had used non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs or steroids in the two weeks prior to the ¹³C-UBT. The other exclusion criteria were age below 18 years, previous gastric surgery, or endoscopic mucosal dissection for gastric cancer, advanced gastric cancer, severe current disease (hepatic, renal, respiratory, or cardiovascular), pregnancy, and any condition thought to be associated with poor compliance (*e.g.*, alcoholism or drug addiction).

Study design

This prospective, open-labeled, single-center, randomized pilot study compared 14-d moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy with 14-d clarithromycin-based sequential therapy as a first-line eradication treatment of *H. pylori* infection. All enrolled participants filled in a questionnaire on demographic information, history of comorbidities, body mass index (BMI), smoking habit, and alcohol consumption. Each participant underwent esophagogastroduodenoscopy to confirm clinical diagnosis (such as gastritis or peptic ulcer disease) and to conduct a biopsy for *H. pylori* infection, colonization, atrophic changes, and intestinal metaplasia.

The 161 participants were randomly assigned to one of the two treatment groups using a computer-generated numeric sequence. The 14-d moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy group (MOX-ST group, $n = 80$) received 20 mg rabeprazole and 1 g amoxicillin twice daily for the first week, followed by 20 mg rabeprazole twice daily, 500 mg metronidazole twice daily, and moxifloxacin 400 mg once daily for the remaining week. Participants in the 14-d clarithromycin-based sequential therapy group (CLA-ST group, $n = 81$) received 20 mg rabeprazole and 1 g amoxicillin twice daily for the first week, followed by 20 mg rabeprazole, 500 mg metronidazole, and clarithromycin 500 mg twice daily for the remaining one week.

Patient compliance was evaluated by remnant pill counting and direct questions from a physician 1 wk after completion of the treatment. Compliance was defined as good when less than 15% of the pills were unconsumed at remnant pill counting. At the same time, all of the patients were asked about adverse events. Successful eradication therapy for *H. pylori* infection was defined as a negative ^{13}C -UBT test four weeks after the cessation of eradication treatment. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee at Seoul National University Bundang Hospital (IRB number: B-1409/268-103).

^{13}C -Urea breath test

Before the ^{13}C -UBT, the patients were instructed to stop taking medications (*i.e.*, antibiotics for 4 wk, or PPIs for 2 wk) that could affect the result, and fasted for a minimum of 4 h. After patients cleaned their oral cavities by gargling, a pre-dose

breath sample was obtained. Then, 100 mg of ¹³C-urea powder (UBiTkit™; Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was dissolved in 100 mL of water and was administered orally, and an additional breath sample was obtained. Breath samples were taken with special breath collection bags while patients were in the sitting position, both before drug administration (baseline) and 20 min after the powder medication. The samples were analyzed using an isotope-selective, non-dispersive infrared spectrometer (UBiT-IR 300®; Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan).

Statistical analyses

The primary and secondary outcomes of the present study were *H. pylori* eradication rates and treatment-related adverse events, respectively. The eradication rates were determined by intention-to-treat (ITT) and per-protocol (PP) analyses. ITT analysis compared the treatment groups, including all patients as originally allocated; the PP analysis compared the treatment groups, including only those patients who had completed the treatment as originally allocated. Mean standard deviations were calculated for quantitative variables. Student's *t* test was used to evaluate the continuous variables, and χ^2 test and Fisher's exact test were utilized to assess the non-continuous variables. Additionally, univariate and multivariate analyses were conducted to assess the effects of factors on the eradication rate. All statistical analyses were performed using the Predictive Analytics Software (PASW) 20.0 version for Windows (SPSS Inc., IBM, Chicago, IL, USA). A *P* value of less than 0.05 was defined as clinically significant.

RESULTS

Characteristics of patients

A schematic diagram of the study is provided in Figure 1. A total of 161 patients with *H. pylori* infection were randomly allocated to the MOX-ST group or the CLA-ST group by 1:1. Of the 161 patients, 155 (96.2%) completed their allocated regimens. The remaining six patients (3.8%) were excluded from study analysis. Therefore, 78 MOX-ST patients and 77 CLA-ST patients were included in the PP analysis. The

enrolled patients' baseline demographic and clinical characteristics did not statistically differ between the two groups (Table 1).

Helicobacter pylori eradication rates

Table 2 shows the rates of eradication of *H. pylori* infection according to the ITT and PP analyses. The overall ITT eradication rate was 81.3% (131/161). The final ITT eradication rates were 91.3% [73/80; 95% confidence interval (CI): 86.2–95.4%] in the MOX-ST group and 71.6% (58/81; 95%CI: 65.8–77.4%) in the CLA-ST group ($P = 0.014$, Table 2). The overall PP eradication rate was 84.5% (131/155), and the final PP eradication rates were 93.6% (73/78; 95%CI: 89.1%–98.1%) in the MOX-ST group and 75.3% (58/77; 95%CI: 69.4%–81.8%) in the CLA-ST group ($P = 0.022$, Table 2). The *H. pylori*-eradication rates in the MOX-ST group were significantly higher than those in the CLA-ST group, according to both the ITT ($P = 0.014$) and the PP analysis ($P = 0.022$).

Clinical factors influencing H. pylori eradication

To evaluate the clinical factors influencing the efficacy of *H. pylori* eradication, univariate analyses were performed (as listed in Table 3). In the CLA-ST group, the eradication rates of participants over 60 years of age was significantly lower than those of participants under 60 years of age ($P = 0.002$, Table 3). Other factors in the CLA-ST group did not affect the eradication response. No factors in the MOX-ST group affected the eradication response. The multivariate analysis revealed that age greater than 60 years [adjusted odds ratio (OR): 2.13, 95%CI: 1.97–2.29, $P = 0.004$] was an independent factor predictive of eradication failure in the CLA-ST group.

Adverse events and compliance

Table 5 lists the adverse events that occurred in the two groups. Adverse events occurred for 10 of 78 patients (12.8%) in the MOX-ST group and for 19 of 77 patients (24.6%) in the CLA-ST group. The difference was statistically significant ($P = 0.038$). The most common adverse events were bloating/dyspepsia (4/78, 5.1%) and taste

distortion (3/78, 3.8%) in the MOX-ST group and epigastric discomfort (5/77, 6.4%) and taste distortion (5/77, 6.4%) in the CLA-ST group. These differences were not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$). Most of the adverse events were mild-to-moderate in intensity; there was none serious enough to cause discontinuation of treatment in either group. The treatment compliance (as defined as taking at least 85% of scheduled medication doses) was 100% in both groups (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study that evaluated the efficacy of 14-d moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy compared with 14-d clarithromycin-based sequential therapy as a first-line eradication treatment of *H. pylori* infection. In this study, eradication rates in the MOX-ST group (ITT: 91.3%; PP: 93.6%) were higher than those in the CLA-ST group (71.6%/75.3%), with statistically significant differences ($P < 0.05$). These results represented statistically significant differences: namely, markedly higher eradication rates for the MOX-ST group ($P < 0.05$). Moreover, the total adverse-event rate for the MOX-ST group was 12.8% (10/78), which was significantly lower than that for the CLA-ST group (24.6%, 19/77), with statistically differences ($P = 0.038$). The drug compliance was 100% in both groups. Thus, our study showed the 14-d moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy is effective and shows excellent compliance and safety compared with the 14-d clarithromycin-based sequential therapy.

In the clarithromycin-based sequential therapy, the key theoretical basis is the effect of amoxicillin on the bacterial cell wall. Amoxicillin administered in the first half of the regimen damages cell wall of *H. pylori*; this is thought to overcome antibiotic resistance and increase eradication rate by two mechanisms. First, damage to the cell wall damage may ease the penetration of subsequent antibiotics into the *H. pylori* strain. Second, the damaged cell wall cannot develop efflux channels for clarithromycin^[17,18]. Several large, multicenter studies have reported high eradication rates with clarithromycin-based sequential therapy^[11,19,20]. An earlier Korean study on clarithromycin-based sequential therapy performed in 2008 and

2009 reported a high eradication rate (85.9% by ITT analysis and 92.6% by PP analysis)^[21]. However, subsequent studies performed in our institution suggest efficacy of clarithromycin-based sequential therapy is decreasing in Korea. The eradication rate of clarithromycin-based sequential therapy was 79.3% by ITT analysis and 81.9% by PP analysis in 2009 and 2010^[10], 75.6% (ITT) and 76.8% (PP) in 2011 and 2012^[22], and 71.6% (ITT) and 75.3% (PP) in 2013 and 2014 in these study. These findings imply that resistance to antibiotics in *H. pylori* treatment is increasing, and that clarithromycin-based sequential therapy might already be suboptimal in areas with high prevalence of clarithromycin resistance.

A recent meta-analysis evaluating *H. pylori* strains in Western populations found fluoroquinolone-resistance prevalence in less than 5.0%^[15]. Fluoroquinolone resistance in Japan is 15%^[23]. In Gyeonggi Province, Korea, the rates of resistance were 5.0% for levofloxacin and moxifloxacin, 5.0% for amoxicillin, 16.7% for clarithromycin, 34.3% for metronidazole, and 8.0% for tetracycline^[8]. These results might be related to different patterns of regional and institutional fluoroquinolone use^[24]. This explains why a moxifloxacin-based triple regimen achieved successful eradication in 84%–87% of cases (by PP analysis), as compared with the markedly lower rates recorded for levofloxacin-based triple regimens elsewhere in Asia^[25-28]. These results suggest that appropriate *H. pylori*-eradication therapies should be continually adjusted according to local bacterial resistance patterns. Therefore, we could explain that the reason moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy is more effective than clarithromycin-based sequential therapy in Korea is the low resistance to moxifloxacin compared with clarithromycin.

Our study showed that advanced age (≥ 60 years) was a significant independent factor related to the eradication failure in the CLA-ST group, whereas there was no significance in the MOX-ST group in multivariate analysis. Other studies have also reported that advanced age was associated with treatment failure in *H. pylori* eradication therapy^[29,30]. However, the mechanisms by which advanced age interfere with eradication remain unclear. Immunity degradation, which is one of the physiological changes of the human body by aging, may be associated with poor

treatment response^[31]. Further studies are needed to investigate the mechanisms underlying the association between advanced age and poor response to eradication treatment.

The most common adverse events of moxifloxacin are gastrointestinal disturbances, such as diarrhea and nausea. In the present study, the most common adverse events were taste distortion, epigastric discomfort, and abdominal bloating. The total adverse-event rate for the 14-day moxifloxacin-based sequential treatment was 12.8% (10/78), which was significantly lower than that for the 14-d clarithromycin-based sequential treatment (24.6%, 19/77). In both groups, the adverse events were mild to moderate; none was serious enough to require discontinuation or interfered with regular life.

This study has several limitations. First, this study was a single-center pilot study with a relatively small sample size. Larger prospective studies will be needed to confirm our results in regions where different patterns of resistant are present. However, we think our exploratory study would be a good reference for clinicians and researchers to help design new studies on this subject. Second, we could not investigate the antibiotic resistance in each patient. However, this was a pilot study comparing alternative first-line regimens in a Korean population. Moreover, selection bias is ruled out by randomized allocation of the participants, so that the prevalence of primary antibiotic resistance is expected to be equally distributed among the therapeutic groups.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, 14-d moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy is a more effective first-line eradication treatment than 14-d clarithromycin-based sequential therapy for *H. pylori* infection. The high eradication rate, excellent patient compliance, and safety of the moxifloxacin-based therapy suggest its suitability as an alternative to standard triple therapy. Further large prospective studies are required to determine the broad application of this regimen in comparison with currently approved first-line therapies.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

At present, researchers often read a scientific paper in the order of title, abstract, keywords, introduction, materials and methods, results, discussion, conclusions, and references. However, this reading order is associated with many deficiencies, because most researchers are very busy and cannot read the entire paper carefully. In contrast, authors hope that readers will read their papers as carefully as possible at the earliest time after publication, and that this reading will give a meaningful understanding of the paper's topic so that the reader will repeat or cite their work.

In order to help more readers to find what they want to read in the shortest possible time, we have added a section known as 'Article Highlights' to every paper published by BPG journals; this section will appear before the References section. This new section will consist of summarized information on the research background, motivation, objectives, methods, results, conclusions, and perspectives; the subsections will be titled accordingly (*e.g.*, *Research background*, *Research motivation*, *etc.*; see below). Each of these subsections should be a clear and concise but sufficiently detailed summary of the information provided in the guidelines below (1-4 sentences for each subsection should suffice). This section should not be a verbatim (copy-paste) repeat of the full text in the manuscript's main text sections (*i.e.* Methods, Results, or Conclusion).

The content of Article Highlights will also be released through media including WeChat message forwarding, WeChat public number, Quick Response code, E-mail, Facebook, Twitter, and Google. The guidelines for writing and formatting Article Highlights are as follows:

Research background

The background, present status, and significance of the study should be described in detail.

Research motivation

The main topics, the key problems to be solved, and the significance of solving these problems for future research in this field should be described in detail.

Research objectives

The main objectives, the objectives that were realized, and the significance of realizing these objectives for future research in this field should be described in detail.

Research methods

The research methods (*e.g.*, experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials) that were adopted to realize the objectives, as well as the characteristics and novelty of these research methods, should be described in detail.

Research results

The research findings, their contributions to the research in this field, and the problems that remain to be solved should be described in detail.

Research conclusions

The most relevant of the following questions should be briefly answered:

What are the new theories that this study proposes?

What are the new methods that this study proposed?

Research perspectives

The most relevant of the following questions should be briefly answered:

What is the direction of the future research?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES

- 1 **Graham DY**. Helicobacter pylori infection in the pathogenesis of duodenal ulcer and gastric cancer: a model. *Gastroenterology* 1997; **113**: 1983-1991 [PMID: 9394739 DOI: 10.1016/S0016-5085(97)70019-2]
- 2 **Hopkins RJ**, Girardi LS, Turney EA. Relationship between Helicobacter pylori eradication and reduced duodenal and gastric ulcer recurrence: a review. *Gastroenterology* 1996; **110**: 1244-1252 [PMID: 8613015 DOI: 10.1053/gast.1996.v110.pm8613015]
- 3 **Malfertheiner P**, Megraud F, O'Morain CA, Atherton J, Axon AT, Bazzoli F, Gensini GF, Gisbert JP, Graham DY, Rokkas T, El-Omar EM, Kuipers EJ. Management of Helicobacter pylori infection--the Maastricht IV/ Florence Consensus Report. *Gut* 2012; **61**: 646-664 [PMID: 22491499 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2012-302084]
- 4 **Chey WD**, Wong BC. American College of Gastroenterology guideline on the management of Helicobacter pylori infection. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007; **102**: 1808-1825 [PMID: 17608775 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2007.01393.x]
- 5 **Lam SK**, Talley NJ. Report of the 1997 Asia Pacific Consensus Conference on the management of Helicobacter pylori infection. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1998; **13**: 1-12 [PMID: 9737564 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.1998.tb00537.x]
- 6 **Laheij RJ**, Rossum LG, Jansen JB, Straatman H, Verbeek AL. Evaluation of treatment regimens to cure Helicobacter pylori infection--a meta-analysis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1999; **13**: 857-864 [PMID: 10383518 DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2036.1999.00542.x]
- 7 **Kim JM**, Kim JS, Jung HC, Kim N, Kim YJ, Song IS. Distribution of antibiotic MICs for Helicobacter pylori strains over a 16-year period in patients from Seoul, South Korea. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004; **48**: 4843-4847 [PMID: 15561865 DOI: 10.1128/AAC.48.12.4843-4847.2004]
- 8 **Kim N**, Kim JM, Kim CH, Park YS, Lee DH, Kim JS, Jung HC, Song IS. Institutional difference of antibiotic resistance of Helicobacter pylori strains in Korea. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2006; **40**: 683-687 [PMID: 16940878 DOI: 10.1097/00004836-200609000-00004]

- 9 **Zullo A**, Rinaldi V, Winn S, Meddi P, Lionetti R, Hassan C, Ripani C, Tomaselli G, Attili AF. A new highly effective short-term therapy schedule for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2000; **14**: 715-718 [PMID: 10848654 DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2036.2000.00766.x]
- 10 **Oh HS**, Lee DH, Seo JY, Cho YR, Kim N, Jeoung SH, Kim JW, Hwang JH, Park YS, Lee SH, Shin CM, Cho HJ, Jung HC, Song IS. Ten-day sequential therapy is more effective than proton pump inhibitor-based therapy in Korea: a prospective, randomized study. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012; **27**: 504-509 [PMID: 21916989 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2011.06922.x]
- 11 **Kwon JH**, Lee DH, Song BJ, Lee JW, Kim JJ, Park YS, Kim N, Jeong SH, Kim JW, Lee SH, Hwang JH, Jung HC, Song IS. Ten-day sequential therapy as first-line treatment for *Helicobacter pylori* infection in Korea: a retrospective study. *Helicobacter* 2010; **15**: 148-153 [PMID: 20402817 DOI: 10.1111/j.1523-5378.2010.00748.x]
- 12 **Park HG**, Jung MK, Jung JT, Kwon JG, Kim EY, Seo HE, Lee JH, Yang CH, Kim ES, Cho KB, Park KS, Lee SH, Kim KO, Jeon SW. Randomised clinical trial: a comparative study of 10-day sequential therapy with 7-day standard triple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* infection in naïve patients. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2012; **35**: 56-65 [PMID: 22066530 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2011.04902.x]
- 13 **Houben MH**, van de Beek D, Hensen EF, de Craen AJ, Rauws EA, Tytgat GN. A systematic review of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy--the impact of antimicrobial resistance on eradication rates. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1999; **13**: 1047-1055 [PMID: 10468680 DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2036.1999.00555.x]
- 14 **Mégraud F**, Lamouliatte H. Review article: the treatment of refractory *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2003; **17**: 1333-1343 [PMID: 12786627 DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2036.2003.01592.x]
- 15 **Mégraud F**. H pylori antibiotic resistance: prevalence, importance, and advances in testing. *Gut* 2004; **53**: 1374-1384 [PMID: 15306603 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2003.022111]
- 16 **Lee JW**, Kim N, Kim JM, Nam RH, Chang H, Kim JY, Shin CM, Park YS, Lee DH, Jung HC. Prevalence of primary and secondary antimicrobial resistance of

Helicobacter pylori in Korea from 2003 through 2012. *Helicobacter* 2013; **18**: 206-214 [PMID: 23241101 DOI: 10.1111/hel.12031]

17 **Zullo A**, De Francesco V, Hassan C, Morini S, Vaira D. The sequential therapy regimen for Helicobacter pylori eradication: a pooled-data analysis. *Gut* 2007; **56**: 1353-1357 [PMID: 17566020 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2007.125658]

18 **Murakami K**, Fujioka T, Okimoto T, Sato R, Kodama M, Nasu M. Drug combinations with amoxicillin reduce selection of clarithromycin resistance during Helicobacter pylori eradication therapy. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2002; **19**: 67-70 [PMID: 11814770 DOI: 10.1016/S0924-8579(01)00456-3]

19 **Sánchez-Delgado J**, Calvet X, Bujanda L, Gisbert JP, Titó L, Castro M. Ten-day sequential treatment for Helicobacter pylori eradication in clinical practice. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2008; **103**: 2220-2223 [PMID: 18564109 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2008.01924.x]

20 **Wu DC**, Hsu PI, Wu JY, Opekun AR, Kuo CH, Wu IC, Wang SS, Chen A, Hung WC, Graham DY. Sequential and concomitant therapy with four drugs is equally effective for eradication of H pylori infection. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2010; **8**: 36-41.e1 [PMID: 19804842 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2009.09.030]

21 **Kim YS**, Kim SJ, Yoon JH, Suk KT, Kim JB, Kim DJ, Kim DY, Min HJ, Park SH, Shin WG, Kim KH, Kim HY, Baik GH. Randomised clinical trial: the efficacy of a 10-day sequential therapy vs. a 14-day standard proton pump inhibitor-based triple therapy for Helicobacter pylori in Korea. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2011; **34**: 1098-1105 [PMID: 21923713 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2011.04843.x]

22 **Lim JH**, Lee DH, Choi C, Lee ST, Kim N, Jeong SH, Kim JW, Hwang JH, Park YS, Lee SH, Shin CM, Jo HJ, Jang ES, Song Is, Jung HC. Clinical outcomes of two-week sequential and concomitant therapies for Helicobacter pylori eradication: a randomized pilot study. *Helicobacter* 2013; **18**: 180-186 [PMID: 23305083 DOI: 10.1111/hel.12034]

23 **Miyachi H**, Miki I, Aoyama N, Shirasaka D, Matsumoto Y, Toyoda M, Mitani T, Morita Y, Tamura T, Kinoshita S, Okano Y, Kumagai S, Kasuga M. Primary

- levofloxacin resistance and gyrA/B mutations among *Helicobacter pylori* in Japan. *Helicobacter* 2006; **11**: 243-249 [PMID: 16882327 DOI: 10.1111/j.1523-5378.2006.00415.x]
- 24 **McMahon BJ**, Hennessy TW, Bensler JM, Bruden DL, Parkinson AJ, Morris JM, Reasonover AL, Hurlburt DA, Bruce MG, Sacco F, Butler JC. The relationship among previous antimicrobial use, antimicrobial resistance, and treatment outcomes for *Helicobacter pylori* infections. *Ann Intern Med* 2003; **139**: 463-469 [PMID: 13679322 DOI: 10.7326/0003-4819-139-6-200309160-00008]
- 25 **Watanabe Y**, Aoyama N, Shirasaka D, Maekawa S, Kuroda K, Miki I, Kachi M, Fukuda M, Wambura C, Tamura T, Kasuga M. Levofloxacin based triple therapy as a second-line treatment after failure of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication with standard triple therapy. *Dig Liver Dis* 2003; **35**: 711-715 [PMID: 14620620 DOI: 10.1016/S1590-8658(03)00432-8]
- 26 **Wong WM**, Gu Q, Chu KM, Yee YK, Fung FM, Tong TS, Chan AO, Lai KC, Chan CK, Wong BC. Lansoprazole, levofloxacin and amoxicillin triple therapy vs. quadruple therapy as second-line treatment of resistant *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2006; **23**: 421-427 [PMID: 16423001 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2006.02764.x]
- 27 **Lee JH**, Hong SP, Kwon CI, Phyun LH, Lee BS, Song HU, Ko KH, Hwang SG, Park PW, Rim KS, Kim S. [The efficacy of levofloxacin based triple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication]. *Korean J Gastroenterol* 2006; **48**: 19-24 [PMID: 16861877]
- 28 **Kang MS**, Park DI, Yun JW, Oh SY, Yoo TW, Park JH, Kim HJ, Cho YK, Sohn CI, Jeon WK, Kim BI. [Levofloxacin-azithromycin combined triple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication]. *Korean J Gastroenterol* 2006; **47**: 30-36 [PMID: 16434866]
- 29 **Tsay FW**, Tseng HH, Hsu PI, Wang KM, Lee CC, Chang SN, Wang HM, Yu HC, Chen WC, Peng NJ, Lai KH, Wu DC. Sequential therapy achieves a higher eradication rate than standard triple therapy in Taiwan. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012; **27**: 498-503 [PMID: 21871025 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2011.06885.x]

- 30 **Perri F**, Villani MR, Festa V, Quitadamo M, Andriulli A. Predictors of failure of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication with the standard 'Maastricht triple therapy'. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2001; **15**: 1023-1029 [PMID: 11421878 DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2036.2001.01006.x]
- 31 **Liang SY**, Mackowiak PA. Infections in the elderly. *Clin Geriatr Med* 2007; **23**: 441-56, viii [PMID: 17462528 DOI: 10.1016/j.cger.2007.01.010]

Footnotes

Institutional review board statement: The study was reviewed and approved by the Seoul National University Bundang Hospital Institutional Review Board.

Clinical trial registration statement:

Informed consent statement: All study participants, or their legal guardian, provided informed written consent prior to study enrollment.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All authors declare no potential conflicting interests related to this paper.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

CONSORT 2010 statement:

Figure Legends




Figure 1 Flow schematic of the study included in intention-to-treat and per-protocol analyses. MOX-ST: 14-d moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy; CLA-ST: 14-d clarithromycin-based sequential therapy; ITT: Intention-to-treat; PP: Per-protocol.

**Table 1 Demographic and clinical data at baseline (intention-to-treat population),
n (%)**

| | MOX-ST | CLA-ST | P value |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Included in ITT analysis | 80 | 81 | NS |
| Age (yr), mean \pm SD | 59.3 \pm 13.1 | 59.4 \pm 13.1 | 0.235 |
| Gender (male) | 34 (42.5) | 33 (40.7) | 0.773 |
| BMI (kg/m ²), mean \pm SD | 22.9 \pm 2.2 | 22.7 \pm 2.9 | 0.352 |
| Current smoker | 11 (13.7) | 10 (12.3) | 0.385 |
| Alcohol drinking | 13 (16.2) | 9 (11.1) | 0.351 |
| Diabetes | 5 (6.2) | 8 (9.8) | 0.125 |
| Hypertension | 19 (23.7) | 23 (28.3) | 0.407 |
| Previous history of peptic ulcer | 12 (15.0) | 9 (11.1) | 0.348 |
| Endoscopic diagnosis | | | 0.624 |
| Gastritis | 70 (87.6) | 70 (86.6) | |
| Gastric ulcer | 4 (5.0) | 5 (6.1) | |
| Duodenal ulcer | 1 (1.2) | 1 (1.2) | |
| Gastric and duodenal ulcer | 1 (1.2) | 0 (0.0) | |
| Adenoma | 4 (5.0) | 4 (4.9) | |
| Dysplasia | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.2) | |
| Positive CLOtest | 59 (73.7) | 62 (76.5) | 0.955 |
| <i>H. pylori</i> colonization | | | 0.588 |
| Negative | 3 (3.7) | 5 (6.1) | |
| Mild | 34 (42.5) | 36 (44.4) | |
| Moderate | 32 (40.0) | 24 (29.6) | |
| Marked | 11 (13.8) | 16 (19.9) | |
| Atrophic change | 8 (10.0) | 2 (2.4) | 0.087 |
| Intestinal metaplasia | 10 (12.5) | 13 (16.0) | 0.761 |
| Drop out | 2 (2.5) | 4 (4.9) | 0.113 |
| Noncompliance | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | |

| | | |
|---|---------|---------|
| Follow-up loss | 2 (2.5) | 4 (4.9) |
| Discontinued therapy due to adverse events | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |

MOX-ST: 14-d moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy; CLA-ST: 14-d clarithromycin-based sequential therapy; ITT: Intention-to-treat; SD: Standard deviation; BMI: Body mass index; CLOtest: Rapid urease test; *H. pylori*: *Helicobacter pylori*; NS: Not significant; BMI: Body mass index.

Table 2 *Helicobacter pylori* eradication rates

| | MOX-ST | CLA-ST | P value |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| ITT analysis | | | |
| Eradication rate | 91.3% (73/80) | 71.6% (58/81) | 0.014 |
| 95%CI | 86.2%-95.4% | 65.8%-77.4% | |
| PP analysis | | | |
| Eradication rate | 93.6% (73/78) | 75.3% (58/77) | 0.022 |
| 95%CI | 89.1%-98.1% | 69.4%-81.8% | |

ITT: Intention-to-treat; PP: Per-protocol; CI: Confidence interval; MOX-ST: 14-d moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy; CLA-ST: 14-d clarithromycin-based sequential therapy.

Table 3 Univariate analysis of clinical factors influencing the efficacy

| | MOX-ST | | CLA-ST | |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| | Eradication rate | <i>P</i> value | Eradication rate | <i>P</i> value |
| Age (yr) | | 0.436 | | 0.002 |
| < 60 | 97.2% (35/36) | | 81.1% (30/37) | |
| ≥ 60 | 90.4% (38/42) | | 70.0% (28/40) | |
| Gender | | 0.383 | | 0.622 |
| Male | 91.1% (31/34) | | 71.8% (23/32) | |
| Female | 95.4% (42/44) | | 77.7% (35/45) | |
| Body mass index | | 0.651 | | 0.743 |
| < 25 | 95.2% (20/21) | | 86.9% (20/23) | |
| ≥ 25 | 92.9% (53/57) | | 70.3% (38/54) | |
| Smoking | | 0.585 | | 0.377 |
| (-) | 97.0% (65/67) | | 77.6% (52/67) | |
| (+) | 72.7% (8/11) | | 60.0% (6/10) | |
| Alcohol | | 0.417 | | 0.082 |
| (-) | 96.9% (63/65) | | 77.9% (53/68) | |
| (+) | 76.9% (10/13) | | 55.5% (5/9) | |
| Diabetes | | 0.706 | | 0.107 |
| (-) | 94.5% (70/74) | | 76.8% (53/69) | |
| (+) | 75.0% (3/4) | | 62.5% (5/8) | |
| Hypertension | | 0.322 | | 0.096 |
| (-) | 91.6% (55/60) | | 79.6% (43/54) | |
| (+) | 100.0% (18/18) | | 65.2% (15/23) | |
| History of ulcer | | 0.454 | | 0.828 |
| (-) | 92.5% (62/67) | | 75.0% (51/68) | |
| (+) | 100.0% (11/11) | | 77.7% (7/9) | |
| Presence of Ulcer | | 0.352 | | 0.076 |
| (-) | 92.6% (63/68) | | 78.7% (52/66) | |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------|---------------|-------|
| (+) | 100.0% (10/10) | | 54.5% (6/11) | |
| Positive CLOtest | | 0.259 | | 0.374 |
| (-) | 76.1% (16/21) | | 63.1% (12/19) | |
| (+) | 100.0% (57/57) | | 79.3% (46/58) | |
| Atrophic change | | 0.111 | | 0.071 |
| (-) | 95.7% (67/70) | | 76.0% (57/75) | |
| (+) | 75.0% (6/8) | | 50.0% (1/2) | |
| Intestinal metaplasia | | 0.270 | | 0.322 |
| (-) | 95.5% (65/68) | | 76.5% (49/64) | |
| (+) | 80.0% (8/10) | | 69.2% (9/13) | |
| Bacterial density | | 0.296 | | 0.507 |
| None | 66.7% (2/3) | | 60.0% (3/5) | |
| Mild | 90.6% (29/32) | | 67.6% (23/34) | |
| Moderate | 100.0% (32/32) | | 78.2% (18/23) | |
| Marked | 90.9% (10/11) | | 93.3% (14/15) | |
| Compliance | | ns | | NS |
| Poor | 0.0% (0/0) | | 0.0% (0/0) | |
| Good | 93.6% (73/78) | | 75.3% (58/77) | |
| Adverse events | | 0.493 | | 0.494 |
| (-) | 92.6% (63/68) | | 77.6% (45/58) | |
| (+) | 100.0% (10/10) | | 68.4% (13/19) | |

MOX-ST: 14-d moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy; CLA-ST: 14-d clarithromycin-based sequential therapy; CLOtest: Rapid urease test; NS: Not significant.

Table 4 Adverse events and compliance, *n* (%)

| Adverse events | MOX-ST (<i>n</i> = 78) | CLA-ST (<i>n</i> = 77) | <i>P</i> value |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Bloating/ dyspepsia | 4 (5.1) | 4 (5.3) | 0.383 |
| Taste distortion | 3 (3.8) | 5 (6.4) | 0.316 |
| Epigastric discomfort | 2 (2.6) | 5 (6.4) | 0.296 |
| Nausea | 1 (1.3) | 1 (1.3) | 0.505 |
| Abdominal pain | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.3) | 0.309 |
| Diarrhea | 0 (0.0) | 3 (3.9) | 0.075 |
| Constipation | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NS |
| Total | 10 (12.8) | 19 (24.6) | 0.038 |
| Compliance | 78 (100.0) | 77 (100.0) | NS |

MOX-ST: 14-d moxifloxacin-based sequential therapy; CLA-ST: 14-d clarithromycin-based sequential therapy; NS: Not significant.