



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Manuscript NO: 55980

Title: Effects of smartphone-based interventions and monitoring on bipolar disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Reviewer’s code: 05207387

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: DSc, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: South Korea

Author’s Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-04-12

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-07-23 10:47

Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-26 13:52

Review time: 3 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thanks for recommending me as a reviewer. This study was compared the effect of smartphone-based interventions and monitoring with control methods in treating patients with bipolar disorder (BD). The study was well written overall. Also, This study is very interesting. If the author makes a few changes, the quality of the study will be higher. 1. The author explained the background of the study well in the introduction section. However, if the introductory section more specifically explains the need for 'smartphone arbitration', it can help readers understand. 2. Page 7, Literature search: It is necessary to specifically mention the period of the literature search. 3. Page 8, Data extraction If the author describes the exclusion criteria of the study in more detail, it can help the reader understand. 4. Did author analyze 'publication bias' in this meta-study? It is necessary to present a funnel plot. If a "funnel plot" was not presented for special reasons, the author should add this as a limitation in the 'Discussion' section.