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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for the possibility to review the manuscript titled: “Microcystic adnexal carcinoma misdiagnosed as a “recurrent epidermal cyst”: a case report”. The manuscript presents an interesting case, particularly important for dermatology, oncology and surgery. Indeed, benign skin lesions are fairly common. While in some cases they turn out to be malignant, requiring different treatment. The manuscript is easy to read and there are only few minor comments:

- What did the authors mean by “economic reasons” for wide excision surgery. Explain this in 1-2 sentences in the corresponding section.
- Please review the language of the manuscript there are several type mistakes in the text. (For example in conclusion section the word «the» in the first sentence repeats itself.
- Please discuss the importance of timely histological examination. It is often common for a medical facility to perform histology for all biological specimens (including benign skin lesions). The patient may have received a better treatment years ago if the skin specimen was studied histologically. Please take into account the recommendations in the spirit of improving the quality of submission.