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COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Due to the low incidence of gall bladder carcinoma, this type of carcinoma is usually referred to as part of the group, together with intrahepatic and extrahepatic carcinoma. Regarding the third reference in the paper (2005), newer studies have shown that liver progenitor cells can develop as cholangiocyte and hepatocyte (Moeini et al, Clin Cancer Research, 2015). Gallbladder carcinoma ARE sensitive to chemotherapy, contrary to the author's claim, as stated in ABC-02 Study (Valle et al, New England Journal of Medicine, 2010). Life expectancy with dual chemotherapy regimen is omitted. However, it is 11.7 months (ABC-02 Study).
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COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Dear authors, This manuscript shows the large number of squamous/adenosquamous carcinoma and adenocarcinoma of gallbladder with ROR2 and WNT5a expression for the first time. These are my comments, 1. The title of the manuscript is “A comparative study of ROR2 and WNT5a expression in squamous/adenosquamous carcinoma and adenocarcinoma of gallbladder” but from the introduction part and even in your results this two type of gallbladder cancer almost have the same prognosis. It might not be encouraged the reader. 2. The introduction part, what is the true scientific questions of this study. To described the expression levels of ROR2 and Wnt5a in gallbladder cancer for the first time or to compared two different types of gallbladder cancer or to investigate the risk factors of survival of cancer. You should state clearly. 3. There are a large number of results but seem to be redundant and did not correlated to the title and aim of study. 4. The discussion part seemed to be redundant. 5. The outcome of the study shows just that ROR2 and WNT5a expression associated with aggressive behavior of cancer that may be only little to apply in clinical practice because we all know that the gallbladder cancer has a poor prognosis.
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COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Dear Author This manuscript is original. Some parts of introduction and discussion was repeated. This parts should be out of article. Best regards