PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Transplantation*

Manuscript NO: 80235

Title: Transitioning of renal transplant pathology from allograft to xenograft and tissue engineering pathology: Are we prepared?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03475330

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Pakistan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-20

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-28 01:12

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-28 06:50

Review time: 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments to the Author The manuscript entitled "Transitioning of renal transplant pathology from allograft to xenograft and tissue engineering pathology: are we prepared? " has been reviewed. Unfortunately, I have concluded that the findings presented in this manuscript are not new or incomplete.   ·I agree with the author that it would become a topic of review manuscript since xenotransplantation for kidney was performed in last year. （ N Engl J Med. 2022 May 19;386(20):1889-1898.） However, the manuscript the author prepared has no description about the transplant nor tissue engineering.   I also recommend the author to have some comments for pigs that are generated by Revivicor.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Transplantation*

Manuscript NO: 80235

Title: Transitioning of renal transplant pathology from allograft to xenograft and tissue engineering pathology: Are we prepared?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05481632

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MCh

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Pakistan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-20

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-29 13:42

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-30 09:52

Review time: 20 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very interesting topic, very nicely prepared manuscript. A much needed aspect of transplant medicine which is adequately explained.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Transplantation*

Manuscript NO: 80235

Title: Transitioning of renal transplant pathology from allograft to xenograft and tissue engineering pathology: Are we prepared?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06217031

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: DNB, MD

Professional title: Academic Fellow, Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Pakistan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-20

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-29 11:04

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-01 04:27

Review time: 1 Day and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Novel and Excellent   insight on field which is going to be need of future.   Though you have provided language editing certificate, the manuscript can be again submitted for language and grammar corrections to enhance the quality of the same

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A useful and timely review to let the scientific community know about the latest evolution on the xenotransplantation field. I would recommend some revisions: 1)The status of histopathology in XenoTx is more or less similar to its status in allotransplantation (alloTx). I would say that it is less developed, specifically because there is no an extensive literature.   2) Chronic rejection: I would suggest the author to develop more this section, also in comparison to the other paragraphs.