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Differential analysis of intestinal microbiota and metabolites in mice with dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis

Jia-Li Wang, Xiao Han, Jun-Xiang Li, Rui Shi, Lei-Lei Liu, Kai Wang, Yu-Ting Liao, Hui Jiang, Yang Zhang, Jun-Cong Hu, Li-Ming Zhang, Lei Shi

BACKGROUND
Intestinal micro-ecological imbalances impair the intestinal barrier and induce intestinal inflammation, for example, ulcerative colitis (UC). According to the latest research, abnormalities in intestinal microbiota structure and their metabolites play a dominant role in UC progression; in addition, they could affect the mucus barrier based on different factors. Although numerous studies have confirmed the important role of intestinal microbiota in UC pathogenesis, the intricate connection between microbiota and metabolites and mucus barrier in UC occurrence remains unclear, and correlation analyses of differential microbiota and their metabolites under UC are relatively scarce.

AIM
To reveal the differential intestinal microbiota and metabolites in UC pathogenesis and explore more sensitive biomarker compositions.
We used the antibiotic combination method to establish intestinal pseudo-aseptic mice; afterward, dextran sulfate sodium (DSS) was applied to establish an acute experimental colitis mice model. Colitis severity, assessed based on disease activity index, colorectal length, colorectal wet weight, and histological lesions, and mucus-related staining (mucopolysaccharide alcian blue and immunofluorescence of mucin), was compared between the pseudo-aseptic and bacterial colitis mice. Finally, differential intestinal microbiota, metabolites, and their association and correlations, were analyzed by 16s rDNA sequencing in combination with non-targeted metabolomics, through gas chromatography-mass spectrometry.

RESULTS
Compared with the pseudo-aseptic mice, intestinal bacteria positive mice were more severely ill and their intestinal mucus loss was more pronounced in DSS-induced colitis ($P < 0.05$), suggesting that different microbiota and metabolites could cause the different degrees of colitis. Subsequently, we observed that in addition to Klebsiella, and Bacteroides, which were widely associated with colitis, Candidatus Stoquefichus, Anaerobiospirillum, Muribaculum, and Negativibacillus may be involved in protection against colitis. Furthermore, differential metabolites of the microbiota were mainly enriched in the synthesis-related pathways of key structural sequences of mucin. In combination with the mucin-related staining and immunofluorescence results, the findings indicate that the differential microbiota and their metabolites potentially regulate the composition and function of mucus under colitis.

CONCLUSION
Microbiota and their metabolites are major factors regulating the composition and function of mucus, in turn influencing the function and structure of intestinal mucus barrier under colitis. The different microbiota and metabolites identified in the present study could be novel biomarkers for colitis.
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Core Tip: We observed that the differences in microbiota and their metabolites can cause different degrees of colitis. The differential metabolites of the microbiota in colitis are mainly enriched in pathways related to the key structural sequence synthesis of mucin, and the different levels of the metabolites lead to differential expression of mucin and mucus. Therefore, the differential metabolites of colitis could regulate the composition and function of mucus. In addition, the differential intestinal microbiota and their metabolites in mice with colitis were largely associated with amino acid and energy metabolism.

INTRODUCTION
Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic nonspecific inflammatory disease of the colorectum and has an unknown cause. UC is more likely to occur in young and middle-aged people. Its main clinical symptoms include diarrhea, mucopurulent bloody stools, and a variety of extraintestinal manifestations, such as peripheral arthritis, erythema nodosum, anterior uveitis, and others that seriously affect the quality of life of patients. The World Health Organization lists UC as one of the most difficult modern diseases and as one of the most significant research topics in the field of digestion because it is difficult to cure, easily recurs, has a high risk of cancer, and often requires lifelong medication.

UC pathogenesis is complex and considered to be mediated by genetic susceptibility, microbial dysregulation, and environmental factors[1]. The intestinal barrier is a complex and prominent defense system that not only prevents the transfer of various toxins through the intestines into tissues and organs but also prevents the invasion of endogenous microorganisms, which is particularly prominent in maintaining homeostasis in the intestine and even the body[2]. The intestinal barrier consists of a mechanical, chemical, biological, and immune barrier. The role of biological barriers in UC is receiving
increasing attention. The latest research shows that abnormalities of the intestinal microbiota and its metabolites play a more important role in the progression of the course of UC[3]. In addition to being involved in constituting a biological barrier, the intestinal microbiota and metabolites also have the functions of biological antagonism, defense against infection, participation in the maturation of the immune system, regulation of intestinal mucus, regulation of intestinal epithelial metabolism, and nutrition[8].

Studies have confirmed that the number of goblet cells in the intestine of aseptic reared mice decreases, their morphology becomes smaller, the mucus layer becomes thinner, and the permeability also increases compared with conventionally reared mice[4]. Jakobsson et al[5] found that in C57BL/6J mice with two different intestinal microorganisms, although the thickness of the colonic mucus was similar, there was a significant difference in the permeability of the mucus barrier. Several other studies have found that intestinal microbiota and metabolites can affect mucus through the following effects: (1) Regulation of mucus synthesis: the microbiota’s structures, such as lipopolysaccharides, flagellae proteins, and inflammatory factors induced by induction, can directly regulate the mucus 2 protein, Mucin2 (MUC2) to produce mucus; probiotics can also provide the relevant nutrients required for MUC2 synthesis to upregulate its transcription; bacterial products and the inflammatory factor secretion caused by them also induce the formation of sulfotransferase, sulfate MUC2, and enhance the anti-inflammatory capacity of the intestine[6,7]; (2) Mucus secretion regulation: active substances, such as lipopolysaccharides and secondary bile salts, stimulate goblet cells to secrete MUC2 into the intestinal cavity to flush bacteria and resist invasion, but excessive MUC2 secretion causes goblet cell depletion and reduces the anti-infection ability of the intestinal mucosa[8,9]. It has also been suggested that inflammasomes can maintain the intestinal environment and microbiota homeostasis by promoting the production of interleukin-18 in sensing intestinal bacterial products or cell damage[10]; and (3) Mucus degradation regulation: primarily the slow degradation of probiotics represented by Akkermansia muciniphila, which is used to maintain the dynamic balance of the mucus layer and the rapid degradation of Escherichia coli, a representative of pathogenic bacteria that destroys the integrity of the mucus layer.

Therefore, the intestinal microbiota and its metabolites can directly constitute a biological barrier and affect the mucus barrier to play a multi-dimensional regulatory role. It can be seen that the intestinal microbiota and metabolites have a central position in the pathogenesis of UC. At present, although many studies have confirmed the important role of intestinal microbiota in the pathogenesis of UC, the intricate connection between microbiota and metabolites in UC occurrence remains unclear, and the correlation analysis of UC differential microbiota and its metabolites is relatively scarce, suggesting the need to carry out further studies.

Based on the intestinal microbiota and metabolism, this study uses a combination of antibiotics to establish pseudo-aseptic mice. We followed the internationally accepted dextran sulfate sodium method to establish a UC model. By comparing the severity of the disease, mucus-related protein expression, and 16S rDNA sequencing of pseudo-aseptic and bacterial UC mice, we evaluated the practical significance of intestinal microbiota in UC pathogenesis in multiple dimensions. Further, through microbiota sequencing combined with non-targeted metabolomics association analysis, we tried to reveal the differential intestinal microbiota and microbiota metabolites in UC pathogenesis, exploring more sensitive biomarker compositions and providing new ideas for diagnosing UC.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental animals**

The animal protocol was designed to minimize pain or discomfort to the animals. Forty male C57BL/6J mice, specific pathogen free (SPF) grade, weighing 20 ± 2 g were purchased from SPF Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China, certificate No. SCXK-2019-0010). They were routinely raised in the SPF-level animal room of Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, with a 12-h light/night cycle, temperature of 22 ± 2 °C, and humidity of 50%-60% and were given access to unlimited food and drinking water. The experimental process complied with the ethical requirements formulated by the Laboratory Animal Ethics Subcommittee of the Academic Committee of Beijing University of Chinese Medicine (No. BUCM-2020-01162). The study design was shown in **Figure 1**.

**Establishment of pseudo-aseptic models**

Amphotericin B (catalog No. 1397-89-3), vancomycin hydrochloride (catalog No. 1404-93-9), neomycin sulfate (No. 1405-10-3), metronidazole (No. 443-48-1), and ampicillin sodium (No. 69-52-3) were all purchased from China Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd. After 1 wk of adaptive rearing of all mice, 40 mice were randomly divided into the pseudo-aseptic group (N group, n = 15) and the non-intervention group (K group, n = 25) using the random number table method. A schematic diagram of the model to replicate the pseudo-aseptic model of N group mice is shown in **Figure 2A**. Gastric lavage (0.1 mL/10 g) was first given with amphotericin B (1 mg/kg body weight) for 3 d, and from day 4, 1 mg/mL of ampicillin was added to drinking water. Meanwhile, mice were given a mixture of antibiotics...
that included vancomycin 50 mg/kg body weight, neomycin 100 mg/kg body weight, metronidazole 100 mg/kg body weight, and amphotericin B 1 mg/kg body weight every 12 h for a total of 21 d \((i.e., 4-24 \text{ d})\) [11].

**Establishment of a colitis model**

Pseudo-aseptic mice were labeled as pseudo-aseptic colitis model groups (group A, \(n = 15\)), and non-intervention mice were randomly divided into the following two groups using the random number table method: Blank group (group F, \(n = 10\)) and bacterial colitis model group (group G, \(n = 15\)). In addition to the blank group, the remaining 30 mice were free to drink 2.5% (w/v) dextran sulfate sodium (DSS) solution (molecular weight: 36000-50000 Da; MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA, United States) to establish an acute experimental colitis model.

**Analysis of the disease activity index**

The disease activity index (DAI) score includes the following three indicators: weight loss rate, stool consistency, and degree of fecal occult blood. The DAI score = (weight loss rate score + stool consistency score + fecal occult blood score)/3 [12], the specific scoring criteria are shown in Table 1.

**Measurement of colorectal length**

The abdominal cavity was exposed, and the pelvis was cut from the anus with scissors. The fascia and other structures from the rectum were cut with forceps and ophthalmic scissors upwards. An ileocecal mass, a large bulge, was discovered, cutting from the lower end of the ileocecal to the rectum, and the colorectal length was measured.

**Measurement of colorectal wet weight**

The removed colorectal wet weight was measured before dipping in the phosphate buffer solution, and the intestinal tube was cut along the longitudinal axis before weighing. The feces in it were cleaned up, and the wet weight of the intestinal tube was recorded.

**Colonic histological lesions score**

After the specimen was fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h, 4 \(\mu m\) sections were prepared after paraffin embedding. The histological lesions of the colon were observed under light microscopy after hematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining. The standard histological lesions scoring was used [13]. Table 2 provides the scores, with a total score of 0-16. One slice was made per mouse, and the average score of seven items per specimen was calculated.
Table 1 Disease activity index assessment standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Weight loss rate</th>
<th>Stool consistency</th>
<th>Fecal occult blood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1%-5%</td>
<td>Soft stool (mushy)</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5%-10%</td>
<td>Soft stool (mushy)</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10%-15%</td>
<td>Watery stools (mostly near the anus)</td>
<td>Visible bloody stools or hematochezia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weight loss rate = (weight the day before the material is taken - weight 2 d before the material is taken)/the weight of the day before the material is taken × 100%.

Table 2 Scoring criteria for histological lesions in the colon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goblet cells</td>
<td>No loss</td>
<td>Mild loss</td>
<td>Moderate loss</td>
<td>Severe loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal thickness</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Mild thickening</td>
<td>Moderate thickening</td>
<td>Severe thickening</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory cells</td>
<td>No infiltration</td>
<td>Mild increase</td>
<td>Moderate increase</td>
<td>Severe increase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submucosal inflammatory cell infiltration</td>
<td>No infiltration</td>
<td>Mild increase</td>
<td>Moderate increase</td>
<td>Severe increase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of disruption of mucosal structures</td>
<td>No damage</td>
<td>Mild destruction</td>
<td>Moderate destruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of epithelial cell ulcer area</td>
<td>No ulcers</td>
<td>0%-25%</td>
<td>25%-50%</td>
<td>50%-75%</td>
<td>75%-100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of crypt abscesses</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>4-6</td>
<td>7-9</td>
<td>&gt; 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Colon tissue mucopolysaccharide alcian blue staining

The paraffin sections were stained with alcian blue dye, and the HE staining step was continued. This was followed by washing, counterstaining, dehydrating, and clearing post-sealing. A panoramic scanner was used to scan the specimen, and the Caseviewer software (Hungarian 3D HISTECH, Pannoramic 250/MID1, version 2) took pictures. Each sample was photographed after setting the white balance of the high magnification field of view (× 400), and Image J software (National Institutes of Health, United States) was used to analyze the images. The area of positive staining was selected for statistical analysis.

Colonic MUC2 immunofluorescence staining

Paraffin sections were de-waxed and then repaired by antigens. Primary antibodies (MUC2, 1:200, GB11344, Wuhan Servicebio Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Wuhan China), secondary incubated antibodies, and 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole-stained nuclei were added after fluorescence quenching and serum blocking. Each sample was photographed and collected after setting a high magnification field of view (× 200) white balance; the image was analyzed using the Image J software mentioned above. The percentage of the positively stained area (area%) was selected for statistical analysis.

16s rDNA sequencing of the intestinal microbiota

The genomic DNA of the sample was extracted using a DNA extraction kit, followed by agarose gel electrophoresis. NanoDrop2000 was used to detect the concentration of the DNA. Takara’s Tks Gflex DNA Polymerase was used for polymerase chain reaction (PCR), ensuring amplification efficiency and accuracy using genomic DNA as a template and specific primers with barcodes. Identification of the microbiota diversity corresponding V3-V4 regions was done using primers 343F and 798R. This study sample was assayed for mouse fecal microbiota, and the V3-V4 zone forward primer was set as 343F-5′-TACGGRAGGCAGCAG-3′, and the reverse primers used were: 798R-5′- AGGGTATCTAATCCT-3′. The PCR products were detected by electrophoresis, purified with magnetic beads after detection, purified as a two-round PCR template, amplified by two-round PCR, and then used for electrophoresis detection, purification with magnetic beads after detection, and Qubit quantification of the PCR products after purification. The PCR products were mixed in equal amounts according to PCR product concentrations and sequenced on a PCR machine (580BR10905; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, United States). Using Vsearch (version 2.4.2) software, the high-quality sequence valid tags obtained by quality control were operational taxonomic unit (OTU) classified according to 97% similarity. The
sequence with the largest abundance in each OTU was selected as the representative sequence of the OTU. The Ribosomal Database Project classifier naive Bayesian classification algorithm was used to compare the representative sequence with the database to obtain the OTU annotation information.

Aseptic conditions were used to collect mouse feces. The feces were retained on clean filter paper, immediately picked up with disinfected forceps, placed in cryopreservation tubes, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored in a -80 °C ultra-low temperature freezer for subsequent use in the sequencing of fecal microbiota. The biological information for differential microbiota compared on a website (https://cloud.oebiotech.cn/task/) included the following: OTU abundance, community distribution statistics of microbiota, alpha diversity analysis, beta diversity analysis, and microbial multivariate statistical analysis [differential species heat map and 16S-based Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) function prediction].
Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis and identification of intestinal microbiota metabolites

Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) was used to detect and identify metabolites of the fecal microbiota. Processes included: sample pretreatment, metabolite extraction, metabolite derivatization, GC-MS detection, data pretreatment, and statistical analysis. The chromatographic conditions of this study were: DB-5MS capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm, Agilent J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, United States), high purity helium carrier gas (purity not less than 99.999%), flow rate 1.0 mL/min, and a temperature of 260 °C at the inlet. The injection volume was 1 μL, the injection was not shunted, and the solvent was delayed by 5 min. Program heating was as follows: The initial temperature of the column oven was 60 °C, maintained for 0.5 min, heated to 125 °C at a rate of 8 °C/min, heated up to 210 °C at a rate of 5 °C/min, heated up to 270 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min, and heated up to 305 °C at a rate of 20 °C/min for 5 min. Mass spectrometry conditions: Electron bombardment ion source, ion source temperature of 230 °C, four-stage rod temperature of 150 °C, and electron energy of 70 eV. The scanning method was full scan mode, and the quality of scanning ranged from m/z 50-500. Metabolite data analysis included multivariate statistical analysis, univariate statistical analysis, differential metabolite screening, correlation analysis, and metabolic pathway enrichment analysis.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 22.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IBM, United States) was used to analyze all data. Measurements that conform to the normal distribution were expressed as mean ± SD, with t-test analysis for comparisons between two groups and one-way ANOVA for multi-group comparisons. Fischer’s least significant difference was used for homogeneous variances and Tamhane’s T2 for unequal variances. The measurement data of the abnormal distribution were expressed as median and quartile spacing (P25, P75), with the Mann-Whitney nonparametric test used for comparison between two groups and the Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test used for multi-group comparison. The intergroup comparison of the counting data was expressed using median and interquartile spacing (P25, P75), and the Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test was used for multi-group comparisons of the counting data. The statistical methods of the present study were reviewed by Prof. Zhao-Lan Liu from the Center for Evidence-based Chinese Medicine, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine.

RESULTS

Combination of five antibiotics could effectively establish an intestinal pseudo-aseptic mouse model

After 24 d of combined antibiotic application, there was no significant difference in body weight between pseudo-aseptic mice and mice in the non-intervention group (25.52 vs 25.64, P > 0.05, Figure 2B). The number of OTUs in the intestinal microbiota of non-intervention mice was significantly higher than that of pseudo-aseptic mice (2259.50 vs 389.83, P < 0.01, Figure 2C), indicating that the abundance of intestinal microbiota in pseudo-aseptic mice was significantly reduced. Subsequently, a columnar accumulation of species relative abundance at the phylum taxonomic level was plotted, showing the top 15 species in abundance, and it was found that the intestines of non-intervention mice were dominated by the Bacteroidetes (77.1%) and Firmicutes phyla (18.8%). In contrast, the intestinal communities of pseudo-aseptic mice were dominated by the Proteobacteria phylum (96.9%), with a distinctly single community structure (Figure 2D). A further selection of the Shannon index for the violin plot showed that the species richness and microbiota community diversity of the intestinal microbiota of the non-intervention mice were significantly greater than those of the pseudo-aseptic group (6.75 vs 1.78, P < 0.01, Figure 2E). The Rank Abundance analysis found that the span of the horizontal axis of the non-intervention mice curve was significantly larger than that of the pseudo-aseptic mice, indicating that the composition of the non-intervention mouse microbiota was relatively rich, and the span of the longitudinal axis of the non-intervention mice curve was smaller than that of the pseudo-aseptic mice, indicating that the species composition of the non-intervention mice microbiota was more uniform (Figure 2F). Further beta diversity analysis found that the two groups of microbiota differed substantially between groups and could be significantly separated (Figure 2C). Based on the above results, it was confirmed that the combined antibiotic method adopted by this study could effectively remove the intestinal microbiota of mice and establish an intestinal pseudo-aseptic mouse model, and its microbiota abundance, community structure, and alpha and beta diversity are significantly reduced.

Mice with bacteria were more severely ill in DSS-induced colitis

On day 2 of taking the DSS solution, stool changes and fecal occult blood test were positive, and the mice gradually lost weight from day 3 onwards. The symptoms gradually worsened with the prolongation of the DSS drinking time. During the entire molding period, four mice died in the pseudo-aseptic group and five mice died in the bacterial group. Performing DAI scoring on the last day of molding revealed an increase in both groups of colitis mice and greater severity of illness in the bacterial
mice (Figure 3A). After dissecting the intestinal tube, the length of the colorectum and the wet weight of the intestinal tube were measured, and it was found that the intestines of the two groups of colitis mice were significantly shortened and that the wet weight was significantly increased, especially in the bacterial mice. (Figures 3B-D). Subsequently, 10 mice in each group were selected for histological lesion evaluation of the intestinal mucosa, and the results showed that the four layers of colonic mucosa structure in the blank group were clear, the goblet cells were abundant, there was no obvious inflammatory cell infiltration, and the mucosal thickness was normal. In contrast, the mucosal structure of the colitis mice was destroyed; the four-layer structure disappeared, the inflammatory cells infiltrated, the submucosal layer was partially affected, and no obvious crypt abscess was seen (Figure 3E). The histological performance of pseudo-aseptic mice was between blank and bacterial mice, and the histological lesions were light. The score was significantly lower than that of bacterial mice (Figure 3F).

**Intestinal mucus loss was more pronounced in DSS-induced colitis mice with bacteria**

HE staining revealed that goblet cells in the intestinal mucosa of colitis mice were reduced. Then, through alcian blue staining, it was found that the colon mucosa of blank mice was rich in mucopolysaccharides and goblet cells. The mucopolysaccharides and goblet cells in the colons of mice with colitis were significantly reduced, the mucus layer was interrupted, and the goblet cells were significantly reduced and vaculated (Figures 4A and B), and the characteristics of mucus of pseudo-aseptic mice came in between those of blank and bacterial mice. Immunofluorescence staining of MUC2, the most important structural and functional mucus protein, was significantly decreased (P < 0.01) in the intestines of the bacterial colitis model compared with those of the blank group. Expression of MUC2 in the pseudo-aseptic colitis mice came in between that of the blank and bacterial mice (Figures 4C and D).

The above two studies suggest that different intestinal microbiota have different effects on mucin and mucus, and the degree of colitis that eventually forms is different. The method of free drinking DSS solution can successfully establish a colitis model, but the symptoms of colitis, disease activity, intestinal mucosal histological structure, and mucus distribution in pseudo-aseptic mice are less than those in intestinal bacterial mice. The appearance of this difference may be related to the diversity of the intestinal microbiota or the difference in microbiota metabolites between pseudo-aseptic and bacterial colitis mice. The study then used 16s rDNA sequencing combined with non-targeted metabolomics technology to reveal this characteristic difference, further explore the potential differential intestinal microbiota and metabolites of colitis mice, and screen out the characteristic differential microbiota and metabolites in colitis.

**Analysis of different characteristic microbiota in DSS-induced colitis mice**

Six mice were randomly selected from each group by the random number table method for 16s rDNA microbiota sequencing, and by comparing the number of OTUs, it was found that the OTU number of the intestinal microbiota of blank group mice was significantly higher than that of mice with bacteria (P < 0.01, Figure 5A). Subsequently, the diversity index dilution curve with goods coverage index and specaccum species accumulation curves were constructed, and the results showed that the two curves tended to be stable at the end, indicating that the sequencing data of each sample were sufficient and that sampling was sufficient (Figures 5B and C). The observed species index was used to plot the box plot and found that the alpha diversity of the intestinal microbiota of mice with bacterial colitis was significantly lower than that of the blank group (Figure 5D). Beta diversity analysis of the composition of the intestinal microbiota of the two groups of mice was further studied, and the results showed that the two groups were separated farther apart, which proved that there was a significant difference (Figure 5E).

Subsequently, Wilcoxon rank sum test was used for clustering at the genus taxon level of the microbiota, and Wilcoxon was performed on the different species of intestinal microbiota, and 50 different species with P (FDR) < 0.05 and names were selected for heat map cluster analysis (Figure 5F). Compared with that in the blank group, it was found that the following bacterial genera in the intestinal microbiota of mice with bacterial colitis were significantly increased (P < 0.05): *Anaerobicispirillum*, *Rikenellaceae* RC9 gut group, *Prevotellaceae* Ca6AI group, *Escherichia-Shigella*, *Klebsiella*, *Amaerotruncus*, *Negativibacillus*, *Candidatus Stoquefichus*, *Blautia*, *Verrucomicrobiurn* UBA1819, *Helicobacter*, and *Lachnolostrium*. The above bacterial genera were significantly elevated in mice with colitis and can be considered harmful bacteria that cause the occurrence or aggravation of colitis.

The bacterial genera that significantly decreased (P < 0.05) in the intestinal microbiota of mice with bacterial colitis were: *Bacteroides*, *Prevotellaceae* UCG-001, *Prevotellaceae* NK3B31, *Acetatitactor*, *Murrabaculum*, *Lactobacillus*, *Eubacterium* coprostamoligenes, *Candidatis Saccharinum*, and *Eubacterium brachy*. The above bacterial genera were significantly reduced in colitis mice, and we think that they may be able to prevent or mitigate the occurrence and development of colitis.

Phylogenetic Investigation of Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States analysis was then used to predict the composition of differential microbial gene functions of known phylum level classifications, and the predicted KEGG results were displayed using cluster heat maps (Figure 5G). The study found that in the classification of the phylum level there were 28 KEGG signaling pathways with obvious enrichment of different microbiota in mice with colitis. Combined with the characteristics of the colitis disease itself and P (FDR) values, we deduced that the pathways involved were, from large to
Figure 3 Mice with bacteria were more severely ill in dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis. A: Disease activity index score; B: Colon length; C: Colon photos; D: Colon weight; E: Histological lesions score; F: Hematoxylin-eosin staining. A: Microbiota colitis group, F: Blank group, G: Microbiota+ colitis group.

DAI: Disease activity index. Compared with the blank group, aP < 0.01, bP < 0.05. Compared with microbiota+ colitis group, cP < 0.01, dP < 0.05.

small according to the difference in significance: biodegradation and metabolism of symbionts, cell growth and death, biosynthesis and metabolism of glycans, energy metabolism, immune system diseases, digestive system, nucleotide metabolism, metabolic diseases, metabolism of terpenoids and polyketone compounds, amino acid metabolism, protein folding, classification and degradation, cancer, metabolism of cofactors and vitamins, immune system, metabolism of other amino acids, transport and catabolism, lipid metabolism, cellular processes and signaling, signaling molecules and interactions, and enzyme families. In summary, it was observed that the functional prediction of differential intestinal microbiota was mainly concentrated in the pathways related to the metabolism of various substances. Thus, we carried out further research on microbiota metabolism.
Figure 4 Intestinal mucus loss was more pronounced in dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis mice with bacteria. A: Alcian blue staining; B: Percentage of positively stained areas; C: Percentage of MUC2-positive stained areas; D: Mucin2 (MUC2) immunofluorescence staining. A: Microbiota colitis group, F: Blank group, G: Microbiota+ colitis group. MUC2: Mucin2. Compared with the blank group, *P < 0.01. Compared with the microbiota+ colitis group, **P < 0.05.

Analysis of different microbiota metabolites in DSS-induced colitis mice

Orthogonal partial least-squares discrimination analysis was used to distinguish the overall differences in metabolic profiles between groups and look for differential metabolites between groups. It can be found that the microbiota metabolites of the blank group and the colitis mice have good polymerization, the intra-group difference is small, and the separation between the groups is obvious, indicating that there are obvious differences between the two groups of metabolites (Figure 6A). The P values and fold
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change values of the two groups performed on the t-test were visualized using the volcano plot (Figure 6B), and the differential metabolites between the two groups were further screened (the standard was set to the variable weight value > 1, and the P value of the t-test < 0.05). Subsequently, all significantly different metabolites were hierarchically clustered, and a clustered heat map was plotted (Figure 6C). After analysis, it was found that there were 7 kinds of metabolites with significant downregulation in the intestinal microbiota of mice with bacterial colitis, and according to the significant differences, the order was: Galacturonic acid, maltose, lactitol, D-ribose, pyrophosphate, lactulose, and N-acetyl-5-hydroxytryptamine; there were 50 kinds of metabolites significantly upregulated in the intestinal microbiota of mice with bacterial colitis, the top 10 metabolites by the significant difference in size were: D-tagletose, o-phosphateserine, 5-methoxytryptamine, spermine, ribonic acid, creatinine, pinitol, sarcosine, 3-hydroxybutyric acid, and phenol (Table 3). Subsequently, through correlation data matrix analysis (Figure 6E), the degree of correlation between significantly different metabolites was quantified, and it was found that the significantly upregulated differential metabolites in colitis were most closely related to pyrophosphate, lactulose, galacturonic acid, maltose, and lactitol, and the significantly upregulated metabolites were closely related to o-phosphate, sonol, L-tryptophan, butylenediamine, 5-methoxytryptamine, spermine, p-hydroxyphenylpropionic acid, and L-lactic acid.

Based on the KEGG database, the study used the KEGG ID of differential metabolites to enrich the metabolic pathways of differential metabolites, and the P (FDR) < 0.05 in the metabolic pathway was used as the significance of the enrichment of the metabolic pathway, and the significant enrichment signaling pathway of the top 20 was selected for bubble mapping (Figure 6D). The analysis found that the differential metabolites of colitis mice were mainly enriched in: biosynthesis of aminoacyl tRNA; arginine and proline metabolism; ABC transporter; biosynthesis of valine, leucine, and isoleucine; cAMP
Table 3 Differential metabolites of gut microbiota in dextran sulfate sodium-colitis mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VIP</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>log2 (FC)</th>
<th>Metabolites</th>
<th>Expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.760</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>2.207</td>
<td>Digalacturonic acid</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.128</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>3.470</td>
<td>D-maltose</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.747</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>1.927</td>
<td>Lactitol</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.898</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-2.342</td>
<td>D-tagatose</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.323</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-3.519</td>
<td>O-phosphoserine</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.111</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.845</td>
<td>5-methoxytryptamine</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.659</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-1.867</td>
<td>Spermine</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.493</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-4.048</td>
<td>Ribonate acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.726</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-1.952</td>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.983</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-5.714</td>
<td>Plinitol</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.716</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-2.032</td>
<td>Sarcosine</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.327</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-1.259</td>
<td>3-hydroxybutyric acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.593</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-1.715</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.771</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-5.062</td>
<td>Ascorbic acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.181</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-3.167</td>
<td>Montanic acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.985</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-2.701</td>
<td>L-tryptophan</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.857</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-2.522</td>
<td>2,3-dihydroxyxypyrinidine</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.490</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-5.993</td>
<td>Putrescine</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.090</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.853</td>
<td>Shikimic acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.303</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-1.191</td>
<td>Malonic acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.539</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-1.639</td>
<td>L-lactic acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.010</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.779</td>
<td>L-threonine</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.549</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>-1.665</td>
<td>Xanthosine</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.277</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>-1.301</td>
<td>hydroxy-3-(4’-hydroxy-3’4-methoxyphenyl)propionic acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.297</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>-1.238</td>
<td>Leucine</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.113</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-0.947</td>
<td>7-hydroxyxynicotinic acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.766</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-2.298</td>
<td>3,6-anhydro-d-galactose</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.103</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-3.424</td>
<td>3-deoxyhexitol</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.162</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>-1.079</td>
<td>Thymidine</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.259</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-1.171</td>
<td>4-aminobutyric acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.118</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>-1.076</td>
<td>Aminomalonate</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.490</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>-4.348</td>
<td>Maltitol</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.607</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>-4.806</td>
<td>Butane-2,3-diol</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.388</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>-1.622</td>
<td>(4-hydroxyphenyl)-4-propionic acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.138</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>-0.998</td>
<td>Threose</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.283</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>-1.266</td>
<td>2-hydroxybutanoic acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.148</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>-1.130</td>
<td>3-hydroxyxypalmitic acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.081</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>-0.983</td>
<td>Epicatechin</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.219</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>-1.150</td>
<td>L-valine</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.415</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>-1.560</td>
<td>Erythronic acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.556</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>-2.451</td>
<td>Ornithine</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Up-arrows represent upregulated metabolites, and down-arrows represent downregulated metabolites in microbiota + colitis mice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>p_value</th>
<th>Metabolite</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.935</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>Diacetone alcohol</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>Beta-glutamic acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.442</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>Sinapinic acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.874</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>Atropine</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.211</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>L-asparagine</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.103</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>4',5-dihydroxy-7-glucosyloxyflavanone</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.334</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>L-glutamine</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.530</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>Adenosine</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.070</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>Pyruvic acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.051</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>Cerotinic acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.026</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>L-tyrosine</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.646</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>Urocanic acid</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Up-arrows represent upregulated metabolites, and down-arrows represent downregulated metabolites in microbiota + colitis mice.

signaling pathway; metabolism of glycine, serine, and threonine; metabolism of alanine, aspartic acid, and glutamic acid; pantothenic acid and CoA biosynthesis; GABA synapses; biosynthesis of phenylalanine, tyrosine, and tryptophan; D-arginine and D-ornithine metabolism, glutathione metabolism, butyrate metabolism, and hypoxia-inducible factor-1 signaling pathways. In general, the differential metabolites of the intestinal microbiota of colitis mice are mainly concentrated in amino acid and energy metabolism.

Finally, the correlation analysis of differential metabolites and differential microbiota species of the two groups of intestinal microbiota was carried out using Speedman correlation analysis, and the correlation matrix of the differential metabolites of top 20 and the microbiota at the genus taxonomic level was plotted (Figure 6F). The results showed that 3-deoxyhexanol, ascorbic acid, 2,3-butanediol, trihydroxybutyric acid, L-tryptophan, levo valine, leucine, o-phosphoserine, pineol, butylamine, and sarcosine were not only positively correlated with the genera significantly elevated in colitis (P < 0.05) but also significantly negatively correlated with the significantly reduced genera (P < 0.05), from which it can be speculated that the above substances are differential metabolites that can cause or aggravate colitis, and are positively correlated with their occurrence and development. Galacturonic acid was positively correlated with the significantly reduced genera in colitis (P < 0.05), while lactulose was negatively correlated with the significantly elevated genera (P < 0.05). Therefore, it can be considered that galacturonic acid and lactulose may play a beneficial role in the occurrence and development of colitis.

**DISCUSSION**

This study found that bacterial colitis caused more mucosal damage and inflammation than bacteria pseudo-aseptic colitis. The destruction of intestinal mucus was more pronounced, speculating that the intestinal microbiota contributed to colitis. The differences in the microbiota could determine the severity of colitis. However, in the past, the academic community has discussed the mechanism of the intestinal microbiota in UC, mostly based on research on the characteristics of the microbiota itself, such as flagellar protein, lipopolysaccharide, etc., often ignoring the co-metabolism of the host and the microbiota or the self-metabolism of the microbiota. Its metabolites can be used by the host intestinal epithelial cells again, thereby participating in and maintaining the intestinal microbiome balance of the host[14]. Modern research has gradually concluded that the intestinal microbiota in UC can affect the intestinal microenvironment through different characteristics of the species itself and metabolites produced by metabolism, including affecting the function of epithelial structures, changing the state of mucus synthesis, secretion, and degradation, and promoting or inhibiting immune responses and inflammatory responses[15,16].

We found that certain microbiota and metabolites were significantly elevated in colitis mice after comparing them to blank mice, suggesting that the microbiota and metabolites were involved in colitis or aggravated it. Significantly reduced microbiota and metabolites in colitis are deemed to have a protective effect on colitis. Further, the correlation analysis between the differential microbiota and metabolites and the analysis of KEGG pathway enrichment found that the intestinal differential microbiota and its metabolites in mice with colitis were mainly concentrated in amino acid and energy metabolism.
Figure 6 Analysis of different microbiota metabolites in dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis mice. A: Orthogonal partial least-squares discrimination analysis; B: Metabolite volcano plot; C: Clustered heat map of significantly expressed metabolites; D: Bubble mapping of the top 20 significant Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathways of metabolites; E: Correlation matrix analysis with significant metabolites; F: Correlation matrix of the top 20 differential metabolites and the microbiota at the genus levels. F: Blank group; G: Microbiota+colitis group.
There are 10 to 100 trillion microorganisms in the human gastrointestinal tract, and in the past few decades, the impact of the gut microbiota on human health has received widespread interest from science and the general public. The gut microbiome comprises bacteria, viruses, fungi, and archaea that live in different states in the human gastrointestinal tract. More and more studies have confirmed that the intestinal microbiota is closely related to inflammatory bowel disease, metabolic disease, liver disease, hypertension, and other diseases\cite{17,18}. As probiotics and other means to restore and rebuild the normal intestinal microbiota have shown good therapeutic effects for a variety of diseases, the intestinal microbiota is considered to be a new target for the occurrence or treatment of many diseases \cite{19}.

However, because the intestinal microbiota not only has a large number but also has a complex distribution and structural composition, the driving mechanism of the intestinal microbiota in many diseases is still unclear, which hinders the construction of intestinal microbiota models for specific diseases and the search for disease characteristic intestinal microbiota. Therefore, the development of aseptic mice is the most effective tool for conducting intestinal microbiota research, and aseptic mice can provide scientific methods for solving such problems\cite{20}.

Currently, two main methods have emerged in academia to study the effects of the microbiota on mouse physiology and disease: aseptic mice and antibiotic treatment regimens (pseudo-aseptic mice). Aseptic mice do not contain any bacteria, viruses, eukaryotic microorganisms, or other saprophytic or parasitic related life forms\cite{21}, but the high labor and cost of generating and maintaining them have resulted in many research teams not being able to use this model. In addition, this chronic lack of stimulation from foreign antigens can leave the development of its organs in an idealized aseptic state, leading to significant limitations in the structure and function of organs in the body, especially the immune system\cite{22}.

Scientists have created another method using multiple antibiotics for gut sterilization to solve this problem. Broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy usually eliminates most of the intestinal microbiota of mice. It can be easily applied to any genotype or condition in mice. Still, because it is impossible to eliminate the intestinal microbiota, it is generally referred to as pseudo-aseptic mice relative to aseptic mice\cite{23}.

Different antibiotics selectively deplete different members of the microbiota, with metronidazole and clindamycin targeting anaerobic bacteria; vancomycin being effective only against gram-positive bacteria; polymyxin B specifically targeting gram-negative bacteria\cite{24}; amphotericin B having strong antimicrobial activity against candida spores, etc.; neomycin being effective against both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria; and ampicillin being more effective against gram-positive bacteria. Viridans streptococci and Enterococcus\cite{25}. Pseudo-aseptic mice can therefore be established by extensively depleting the intestinal microbiota by using different kinds of antibiotic mixtures. In this study, the establishment of intestinal pseudo-aseptic mice was built by combining species antibiotics, and the 16s rDNA sequencing of the microbiota was confirmed.

The pathogenesis of ulcerative colitis is complex, and most of the current views are that the interaction of multiple factors causes it. The academic community summarizes the pathogenesis of UC as follows: in genetically susceptible people, such as those suffering from depression and anxiety-related conditions, the intestinal microbiota involved in the intestinal barrier is destroyed, and the immune system function is disrupted, resulting in an excessive immune-inflammatory response\cite{26}.

The pathogenesis of UC involves multiple mechanisms, and the colitis model established by different methods is suitable for different research purposes, among which DDS is the most widely used chemical drug for the preparation of colitis models. In the DDS model, sulfated polysaccharides act as a direct chemical toxin of the colon epithelium by reducing the number of mucins and destroying the intestinal epithelial mucus layer\cite{27}, causing intestinal epithelial cells damage and structural destruction of the tightly connected complex, while breaking the distribution of the intrinsic intestinal microbiota and affecting the bacterial community structure and diversity\cite{28}. The permeability of the intestinal barrier is increased, and harmful macromolecular antigens, bacteria, toxins, and other substances successfully pass through the intestinal barrier, causing abnormal responses to inherent and adaptive immunity and cascading amplification of inflammation and eventually forming colitis.

A growing number of epidemiological clues point to the onset of UC being closely related to dysbiosis of the gut microbiota. Colon contents provide bacteria with a rich nutritional environment and become the main settlement of the intestinal microbiota, which provides energy and nutrients to the host through metabolites\cite{29}. Under physiological circumstances, the intestinal microbiota mainly has the following functions: first, it forms a biological barrier with the intestinal mucosal epithelium, blocks exogenous antigenic substances from entering the intestinal mucosa, and produces different metabolic and secondary metabolites through its metabolism to provide energy for the intestinal epithelium; secondly, it participates in the differentiation of T lymphocytes, and jointly regulates mucosal immunity with lymphatic centers and immune cells to regulate immune function; thirdly, it participates in the metabolism and synthesis of a variety of amino acids, proteins, and other substances and participates in the composition of the mucosal barrier\cite{30}.

Studies have shown that bacteria that promote mucus degradation, such as Ruminococcus and Entamoeba histolytica, have been found in larger numbers in patients with UC compared to healthy individuals. Listeria that inhibits mucus synthesis has increased significantly, in contrast, Lactobacillus that promotes MUC2 secretion has decreased significantly in monocytogenes\cite{31}. Escherichia coli
infection can disrupt the balance of intestinal flora and disrupt the intestinal microenvironment[32]. By colonizing the intestinal epithelial cells and secreting enterotoxins, E. coli damages the intestinal mucus layer, reduces the protein expression of tight junctions and adhesive junctions, impairs the intestinal mucus barrier structure, increases intestinal permeability, and induces inflammatory responses and the production of cytokines, such as tumour necrosis factor-a, in addition to increasing MUC2 degradation, which is directly involved in UC pathogenesis[33]. In the stool specimens of patients with UC, the Proteobacteria phylum increased significantly, and the phyla Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes decreased significantly[34], accompanied by different degrees of expression changes in various amino acids, such as decreased valine and glutamate expression; increased tryptophan and isoleucine content; increased pyruvate metabolism; decreased citric acid expression; and decreased short-chain and medium-chain fatty acids in energy metabolism[35]. Other studies have shown that the protective microbiota of Clostridium, Prevotella, Bifidobacterium, and Lactobacillus acidophilus were significantly reduced in UC[36], while the invasive Ekmanelia and E. coli were significantly increased. The metabolites of short-chain fatty acids, tryptophan, and bile acids[37] that provide nutrients and energy and protect the epithelium were also significantly reduced[38]. Besides Klebsiella, Escherichia-Shigella, Bacteroides, Lactobacillus, which are well-known microbiota in colitis, we also found Candidatus Ststuefichus, Anaerobiospirillum, Muribaculum, Rikenellaceae RC9 gut group, Candidatus Saccharimonos, Prevotellaceae Gg6A1 group, and Negativibacillus. We believe these are emerging microbiota that induces or protects against colitis.

Some studies have reported a certain relationship between other emerging flora and metabolites and colitis. For example, Faecalibacterium can influence goblet cell differentiation, mucin synthesis, and glycosylation in colonic epithelium, thereby regulating the intestinal mucus barrier[39]. Lachnospiraceae can degrade mucins and are major consumers of mucins. Lachnospiraceae over-deplete MUC2, reduce the thickness of the mucus layer and cause severe inflammation in the colon in DSS-induced UC mice[40]. Allobaculum mucolyticum secretes a high amount of mucin O-glycan targeting carbohydrate active enzymes, which enables it to efficiently degrade intestinal mucins, thereby degrading the host’s protective mucus layer and disrupting the mucus barrier[41]. Blautia’s excessive concentrations in the body may lead to the elevation of secondary bile acids, such as lithocholic acid and deoxycholic acid, which in turn induce UC[42]. A study observed significant differences in the contents of Brautia in the fecal and mucosal microbial communities of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) patients and healthy people[43]. It has also been demonstrated intestinal inflammation in IBD patients is associated with increased Faecalibaculum relative abundance[44].

In addition, substantial changes have been evident in colitis, including the marked elevation in 3-deoxyhexanol and o-phosphoserine levels and the reduction in galacturonic acid and other microbiota-derived metabolites. Such findings have not been widely reported with regard to the occurrence and development of colitis. By reviewing the literature, we found that MUCs, as a large and complex class of glycosylated proteins, are characterized by important "mucin domains" composed of a protein core. This core contains proline, threonine, and serine, all three of which are known as rich-PTS sequences. Interestingly, through enrichment analysis, we found that these differential metabolites in this study are primarily enriched in the mucin domain of the rich-PTS sequence synthesis pathways. Levy et al[45] studies have shown that taurine and arginine can further promote the synthesis and expression of MUC2 by modulating the NLRP6 signaling pathway, thereby protecting the intestinal epithelium and mucus barrier. Therefore, we believe that these differential microbiota metabolites in colitis are likely to regulate the composition and function of mucus.

This study found differential intestinal microbiota and their metabolites in colitis. Preliminary studies, such as enrichment analysis, have initially found that these differential microbiota and metabolites are likely to be directly involved and affect the mucus barrier of the intestine. However, this study has shortcomings; namely, the lack of verification links for transplanting differentially expressed intestinal microbiota or metabolites into colitis mice; if an experimental design of microbiota or metabolite transplantation is added, we believe that the scientific nature of this study can be further improved, which is a research question we will evaluate further.

CONCLUSION

Candidatus Ststuefichus, Anaerobiospirillum, Rikenellaceae RC9 gut group, Prevotellaceae Gg6A1 group and Negativibacillus are potentially emerging flora that induce or aggravate colitis, and Candidatus Saccharimonos and Muribaculum are potentially emerging flora that prevent or alleviate colitis. 3-Deoxyhexanol and o-phosphoserine may cause or aggravate colitis, whereas galacturonic acid may play beneficial roles in colitis alleviation and recovery. The differential metabolites of the flora are mainly enriched in the synthesis-related pathways of the rich-PTS sequence of the mucin MUC2 domain. They can influence the composition and function of mucus by regulating mucin expression, and finally act on the mucus barrier to induce aggravation or reduce colitis prevention.
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Research background
The role of gut microbiota in ulcerative colitis (UC) cannot be ignored; however, most of current research is only based on the microbiota itself, ignoring microbiota metabolism. Microorganisms can reduce many biologically active substances, such as short-chain fatty acids, which have strong immunomodulatory effects. Modern studies have reported that the destruction of the integrity of the mucus barrier is an early pathological change in UC. Different gut microbiota and their metabolites can influence the intestinal mucus barrier through different pathways, including altering epithelial structure, affecting mucin synthesis, secretion and degradation, and modulating immune responses.

Research motivation
At present, although many studies have confirmed the important role of gut microbiota in UC, the intricate relationship between microbiota and metabolites in UC has not been fully clarified. Association analysis of differential flora and their metabolites are required. It is worthwhile to conduct this study based on the intestinal mucus barrier to further reveal the potential differential biomarkers of UC.

Research objectives
The aim of the present study was to reveal the differential gut microbiota and metabolites that affect mucus in UC pathogenesis. The regulatory effect provides new evidence and new ideas for UC diagnosis.

Research methods
In the present study, based on the intestinal mucus barrier, taking the intestinal flora and metabolism as the breakthrough point, a combination of antibiotics was used to establish pseudo-aseptic mice, and the widely used dextran sodium sulfate was used to establish colitis mice. Disease severity, mucus-associated protein expression, bacterial 16s rDNA sequences, and non-targeted metabolomes of bacterial and bacterial colitis mice were examined. The tract microbiota and metabolites play potentially important roles in UC pathogenesis by affecting the mucus barrier.

Research results
This study found that: (1) The antibiotics combination can effectively remove the intestinal flora of mice, and reduce bacterial abundance, and α and β diversity, alter community structure, and successfully establish pseudo-aseptic mice; (2) Comparing the bacteria-bearing mice with the pseudo-aseptic mice, the bacteria-bearing mice had more severe colitis based on disease activity index, more severe intestinal mucosal damage, and more obvious intestinal mucus loss; (3) In the intestinal flora of colitis mice, Candidatus Stoquefichus, Anaerobiospirillum, Rikenellaceae RC9 gut group, Prevotellaceae Ga6A1 group, and Negativibacillus were significantly increased, while Candidatus Saccharimonas and Murr baculum were significantly decreased. The significantly up-regulated metabolites in the intestinal flora of colitis mice included 3-deoxyhexitol and ortho-phosphoserine, and the significantly down-regulated metabolites were galacturonic acid, etc.; and (4) Further enrichment analysis found that the above differential metabolites were mainly associated with amino acid and energy metabolism. Spearman correlation analysis found that 3-deoxyhexitol, o-phosphoserine, etc. were positively correlated with the occurrence and development of colitis, and galacturonaldehyde was positively correlated with the occurrence and development of colitis. There was a significant positive correlation between galacturonic acid and lactulose and the reduction and colitis recovery.

Research conclusions
Candidatus Stoquefichus, Anaerobiospirillum, Rikenellaceae RC9 gut group, Prevotellaceae Ga6A1 group, and Negativibacillus are potentially emerging flora that induce or aggravate colitis, and Candidatus Saccharimonas and Murr baculum are potentially emerging flora that prevent or alleviate colitis. 3-Deoxyhexitol and o-phosphoserine may cause or aggravate colitis, while galacturonic acid may play a beneficial role in colitis alleviation and recovery. The differential metabolites of the flora are mainly enriched in the synthesis-related pathways of the rich-PTS sequence of the mucin MUC2 domain. They can affect the composition and function of mucus by regulating the expression of mucin, and finally act on the mucus barrier to induce aggravation or reduce colitis prevention.

Research perspectives
This study identified some less-reported differential gut microbiota and their metabolites in colitis, which could affect UC progression by modulating mucin synthesis, altering mucus status and the mucus barrier. In future studies, we will carry out in-depth transplantation experiments of fecal bacteria and metabolites to further verify the experimental conclusions of this study.
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Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) encompasses ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD), which are characterized by chronic inflammation of the gastrointestinal tract. The pathogenesis of IBD is complex, involving both genetic and environmental factors. The role of the gut microbiome in IBD has gained significant attention in recent years, with accumulating evidence suggesting that alterations in the gut microbiota can contribute to disease development and progression.

Several studies have explored the impact of dietary fat on the gut microbiome and its potential role in the development of IBD. For instance, a study by Wang et al. (2019) demonstrated that dietary fat-induced taurocholic acid promotes pathobiont expansion and colitis in Il10-/- mice. This finding highlights the potential role of bile acids in modulating the intestinal microbiota and its impact on IBD.

Another study by Ungaro et al. (2017) investigated the role of the gut microbiota in the management of Helicobacter pylori infection. They found that the gut microbiota can influence the outcome of H. pylori treatment, highlighting the importance of considering the microbiome in the context of IBD.

Moreover, research has shown that Clostridium difficile, a common cause of antibiotic-associated diarrhea, can be modulated by gut microbiota. A study by Schubert et al. (2019) demonstrated that the gut microbiota plays a crucial role in colonization resistance against C. difficile. This emphasizes the importance of understanding the gut microbiome’s role in the development and treatment of IBD.

In addition, targeting specific components of the gut microbiota, such as the bile acid metabolite taurocholic acid, can be therapeutic in IBD. A study by Nakajima et al. (2016) showed that taurocholic acid can promote the expansion of pathobionts and induce colitis in Il10-/- mice, providing a potential therapeutic target for IBD.

Overall, these studies underscore the critical role of the gut microbiome in the pathogenesis of IBD and highlight the potential for modulating the microbiome as a therapeutic strategy. Further research is needed to fully understand the complex interplay between the gut microbiome, dietary factors, and the development of IBD, paving the way for novel therapeutic approaches.


