

Retrospective Study

Can the detection of IgA anti-*Mycoplasma pneumoniae* added to IgM increase diagnostic accuracy in patients with infections of the lower respiratory airways?

Massimo De Paschale, Teresa Cerulli, Debora Cagnin, Alessia Paganini, Maria Teresa Manco, Luisa Belvisi, Cristina Morazzoni, Laura Marinoni, Carlo Agrappi, Paola Mirri, Pierangelo Clerici

Massimo De Paschale, Teresa Cerulli, Debora Cagnin, Alessia Paganini, Maria Teresa Manco, Luisa Belvisi, Cristina Morazzoni, Laura Marinoni, Carlo Agrappi, Paola Mirri, Pierangelo Clerici, Microbiology Unit, ASST-Ovest Milanese, Hospital of Legnano, 20025 Legnano, Italy

Author contributions: All authors equally contributed to this paper with the concept and design of the study, literature review and analysis, drafting and critical revision and editing, and final approval of the final version.

Institutional review board statement: The study was approved by the Technical-Scientific Committee of ASST-Ovest Milanese, Hospital of Legnano.

Informed consent statement: All study participants, or their legal guardians, provided informed verbal consent prior to study enrollment; in all cases data handling and analyses were performed in order to safeguard patient privacy, and identity.

Conflict-of-interest statement: No potential conflicts of interest. No financial support.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Correspondence to: Dr. Massimo De Paschale, Microbiology Unit, ASST-Ovest Milanese, Hospital of Legnano, Via Papa Giovanni Paolo II, 20025 Legnano, Italy. massimo.depaschale@asst-ovestmi.it
Telephone: +39-0331-449319
Fax: +39-0331-449578

Received: June 14, 2016

Peer-review started: June 17, 2016

First decision: July 27, 2016

Revised: August 13, 2016

Accepted: October 25, 2016

Article in press: October 27, 2016

Published online: November 25, 2016

Abstract**AIM**

To evaluate the increase in diagnostic yield, by using IgA in addition to IgM, instead of IgM alone, in relation to the age of the patients.

METHODS

The study considered 1067 blood samples from patients with clinical signs of lower respiratory tract infections, tested for anti-*Mycoplasma* IgG, IgM and IgA antibody.

RESULTS

The increase in diagnostic yield with IgA, compared to IgM detection alone was of 3.5% with statistically significant differences between age groups (0.8% for those equal/under 50 years of age and 4.3% for those over 50).

CONCLUSION

Our findings demonstrate that IgA detection lead to a twofold increase in the number of diagnoses among the older age groups, but it did not result in relevant increase among the younger age groups.

Key words: Community-acquired infections; Diagnostic yield; Elderly patients; IgA; *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*

© The Author(s) 2016. Published by Baishideng Publishing

Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: Diagnosis of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* infection relies on IgM detection but also IgA can be searched. There are few data on the range of increase of diagnosis adding the search for the IgA. Detection of IgA (without IgM) increases diagnosis of 3.5% compared to the detection of IgM alone. The greater increase is for the patients older than 50 years. Detection of IgA antibodies could be included in laboratory routine only in older patients.

De Paschale M, Cerulli T, Cagnin D, Paganini A, Manco MT, Belvisi L, Morazzoni C, Marinoni L, Agrappi C, Mirri P, Clerici P. Can the detection of IgA anti-*Mycoplasma pneumoniae* added to IgM increase diagnostic accuracy in patients with infections of the lower respiratory airways? *World J Clin Infect Dis* 2016; 6(4): 67-72 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3176/full/v6/i4/67.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5495/wjcid.v6.i4.67>

INTRODUCTION

Mycoplasma pneumoniae (*M. pneumoniae*) is one of the main causative agents for community-acquired infections of the lower and upper respiratory tract, especially during the first two decades of life^[1-4]. Because symptoms can be commonly confused with those caused by other pathogens, diagnosis must rely on specific tests such as immunological assays^[4-7]. Specific IgMs rapidly increase after the onset of the disease, reaching peak levels between 1-4 wk and then disappearing within a few months^[8,9]. Compared to IgGs, which increase at slower rates and persist longer at high levels in the serum, the detection of IgM allows to diagnose acute infection^[10-12].

However, while IgM values markedly increase in children and young patients^[8,13-16] adult and elderly patients who might have repeatedly been exposed to the infection may have a less vigorous immune response, or no response at all^[2,8,9,17-20]. In the event of reinfection, IgMs are produced less frequently and negative assay findings cannot exclude an ongoing infection especially in patients above the age of 45^[14,18,21].

Because IgAs develop in a more predictable way and a more rapid rate compared to IgMs, and rapidly decrease during the second month from onset of the disease, these antibodies are considered reliable markers of infection^[9,14,22]. Indeed, some authors emphasize that IgA detection can reveal to be useful in diagnosing infections^[23,24] especially in IgM negative patients^[9,22]. So far, different tests for IgA have been marketed and used in the laboratory routine^[25], however the impact of the introduction of these tests in terms of increase in laboratory diagnosis accuracy must be fully reevaluated.

The aim of the present study was to assess the usefulness of IgA in confirming suspicion of infections by *M. pneumoniae* and the increase in diagnostic yield

using IgA in addition to IgM - compared to IgM alone - in both younger and older patient groups.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was performed at the Microbiology Unit, Hospital of Legnano, which serves both patients hospitalized in the specialist medical and surgical departments, well as patients from the out-clinic. Between January 2012 and December 2014, 1067 samples collected from as many consecutive patients (49 out-patients and 1018 in-patients: 622 males and 445 females; mean age: 62.9 years, range 0.5-100) with clinical manifestations of infections of the lower respiratory airways who had been requested either by the hospital staff or by a GPs specifically for the search of anti-*Mycoplasma* IgG, IgM and IgA antibodies.

The IgG and IgM detection was performed by means of chemiluminescent assay (LIAISON *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* IgG and IgM; DiaSorin, Saluggia, Italy), whereas IgA detection was performed by immunoenzymatic assay (SeroMP recombinant IgA; Savyon Diagnostics Ltd, Ashdod, Israel). The tests were performed according to the manufacturers' instruction and the cut off for the three tests is 10 as index value (that is expressed for IgG and IgA as AU/mL or Arbitrary Units/mL and only as index value for IgM).

Statistical analysis

The data were statistically analyzed using the Fisher's exact test and linear regression method by SPSS software (Version 16.0, SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL).

RESULTS

The immunological assays of the 1067 samples yielded 178 (16.7%, 95%CI: 14.46-18.94) IgG positive, 66 (6.2%; 95%CI: 4.75-7.65) IgM positive and 50 (4.7%; 95%CI: 3.43-5.97) IgA positive with no statistical differences between out-patients and in-patients ($P = 0.845$ for IgG; 0.763 for IgM and 0.724 for IgA). Table 1 shows complete antibody profiles. Specifically, 53 individuals (groups C + E) (5.0%; 95%CI: 3.69-6.31) resulted positive for IgM but not for IgA, 37 (groups D + F) (3.5%; 95%CI: 2.40-4.60) IgA without IgM and 13 (groups G + H) (1.2%; 95%CI: 0.55-1.85) positive for both IgM and IgA. Overall, 103 subjects (groups C + D + E + F + G + H) (9.7%; 95%CI: 7.92-11.48) presented IgM and/or IgA antibodies.

The increase of diagnostic yield achieved by adding IgA investigation to IgM, compared to considering IgM alone resulted to be 3.5% (95%CI: 2.40-4.60).

Table 2 lists the positivity for antibodies classes for age groups and Table 3 shows the percentage increase of diagnosis (for each age group) adding IgA to IgM compared to cases that could be diagnosed considering IgM alone (with or without IgG). The data were analyzed by linear regression method, which pointed out a

Table 1 Serological profile for anti-*Mycoplasma pneumoniae* antibodies in patients with of lower respiratory airway infections

Group	Anti- <i>Mycoplasma pneumoniae</i> antibodies profiles			Patients	
	IgG	IgM	IgA	n (%)	95%CI
A	Negative	Negative	Negative	821 (76.9%)	74.37-79.43
B	Positive	Negative	Negative	143 (13.4%)	11.36-15.44
C	Negative	Positive	Negative	40 (3.7%)	2.57-4.83
D	Negative	Negative	Positive	21 (2.0%)	1.16-2.84
E	Positive	Positive	Negative	13 (1.2%)	0.55-1.85
F	Positive	Negative	Positive	16 (1.5%)	0.77-2.23
G	Negative	Positive	Positive	7 (0.7%)	0.20-1.20
H	Positive	Positive	Positive	6 (0.6%)	0.14-1.06

Table 2 Presence of serological markers for anti-*Mycoplasma pneumoniae* antibodies, divided per age group in patients with infections of the lower airway tract

Antibodies	Age (yr)								
	0-10	11-20	21-30	31-40	41-50	51-60	61-70	71-80	> 80
n	91	30	25	48	55	91	155	289	283
IgG	12	7	6	13	10	21	29	42	38
Positive	(13.2%)	(23.3%)	(24.0%)	(27.1%)	(18.2%)	(23.1%)	(18.7%)	(14.5%)	(13.4%)
95%CI	6.25-20.15	8.17-38.43	7.26-40.74	14.53-39.67	8.00-28.40	14.44-31.76	12.56-24.84	10.44-18.56	9.43-17.37
IgM	22	8	3	7	2	5	5	6	8
Positive	(24.2%)	(26.7%)	(12.0%)	(14.6%)	(3.6%)	(5.5%)	(3.2%)	(2.1%)	(2.8%)
95%CI	15.40-33.00	10.87-42.53	0.00-24.74	4.61-24.59	0.00-8.52	0.82-10.18	0.43-5.97	0.45-3.75	0.88-4.72
IgA	6	3	1	2	0	5	5	14	14
Positive	(6.6%)	(10.0%)	(4.0%)	(4.2%)	(0%)	(5.5%)	(3.2%)	(4.8%)	(4.9%)
95%CI	1.50-11.70	0.00-20.74	0.00-11.68	0.00-9.87	0.00-0.00	0.82-10.18	0.43-5.97	2.34-7.26	2.38-7.41
IgM and/or IgA	23	8	3	8	2	9	10	18	22
Positive	(25.3%)	(26.7%)	(12.0%)	(16.7%)	(3.6%)	(9.9%)	(6.5%)	(6.2%)	(7.8%)
95%CI	16.37-34.23	10.87-42.53	0.00-24.74	6.15-27.25	0.00-8.52	3.76-16.04	2.62-10.38	3.42-8.98	4.68-1.92

Table 3 Increase of diagnosis divided per age group adding search of IgA to IgM, compared to cases that can be diagnosed considering IgM alone

Antibodies	Age (yr)								
	0-10	11-20	21-30	31-40	41-50	51-60	61-70	71-80	> 80
n	91	30	25	48	55	91	155	289	283
IgM without IgA	17 (18.7%)	5 (16.7%)	2 (8.0%)	6 (12.5%)	2 (3.6%)	4 (4.4%)	5 (3.2%)	4 (1.4%)	8 (2.8%)
95%CI	10.69-26.71	3.35-30.05	0.00-18.63	3.14-21.86	0.00-8.52	0.19-8.61	0.43-5.97	0.05-2.75	0.88-4.72
IgA without IgM	1 (1.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (2.1%)	0 (0%)	4 (4.4%)	5 (3.2%)	12 (4.1%)	14 (4.9%)
95%CI	0.00-3.24	0.00-0.00	0.00-0.00	0.00-6.16	0.00-0.00	0.19-8.61	0.43-5.97	1.81-6.39	2.38-7.41
IgM plus IgA	5 (5.5%)	3 (10.0%)	1 (4.0%)	1 (2.1%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.1%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0%)
95%CI	0.82-10.18	0.00-20.74	0.00-11.68	0.00-6.16	0.00-0.00	0.00-3.24	0.00-0.00	0.00-1.66	0.00-0.00
Increase of diagnosis	1.1%	0%	0%	2.1%	0%	4.4%	3.2%	4.1%	4.9%
95%CI	0.00-3.24	0.00-0.00	0.00-0.00	0.00-6.16	0.00-0.00	0.19-8.61	0.43-5.97	1.81-6.39	2.38-7.41

significant correlation with the patient age for the IgA ($P = 0.048$) and, stratified subjects according to two age groups: up to 50 years of age and > 50 years of age; a statistical difference was found ($P = 0.035$). Stratifying by the age groups (below/equal and above 50 years of age), a diagnostic increase of 0.8% was observed for individuals under/equal 50 years (95%CI: 0.00-1.91), and of 4.3% in those over 50 years of age (95%CI: 2.91-5.69) ($P = 0.0052$).

DISCUSSION

Overall, our results show that 9.7% of patients presenting lower respiratory airway infections were actually infected by *M. pneumoniae*, in agreement with data from literature, documenting it as the causative agent in 5%-30% of cases of community acquired pneumonia^[18,26-29]. Our study revealed a higher percentage of infection in younger patients under the age of twenty, among which

Mycoplasma was associated to approximately one fifth of the overall infections.

Based on IgA screening, the detection of these antibodies (without IgM) led to a broad diagnostic increase of 3.5% compared to the detection of IgM alone. However, the greater increase was for the "over 50" group. Indeed, as suggested in literature, older patients may not produce IgM during infection by *M. pneumoniae*^[8,13,16-21]; hence its inconsistent absence in this category of patients is a well-acknowledged limitation to *Mycoplasma* serology. In this setting, IgAs appear to be so far the only way to detect infection by this agent. Yet, the presence or absence of specific IgM in presence of specific IgA levels allows to differentiate between primary infection and reinfection, therefore, the estimation of both IgM and IgA is necessary for the maximal detection of an ongoing *M. pneumoniae* infection. Moreover, specific IgG levels in our patient population remained elevated for many weeks and were not useful from a diagnostic point of view.

In general, IgA were detected across all age groups; while these were associated to IgM in the younger age groups, this finding did not translate into an increase in diagnostic yield for such age groups. Nevertheless, IgA doubled the number of diagnoses in absolute values among the older age groups, suggesting that the search for IgA could be helpful whenever more sophisticated techniques, such as those of molecular biology, are not available.

Some authors have indicated the DNA detection by PCR as the gold standard for diagnosis of acute Mycoplasma infection^[8,30-33], but other authors have emphasized the limits and have stressed the heterogeneity in sensitivity, the variability of results with regard to the time of collection (detection more frequent in early infection, less frequent during later stages of the disease) and positivity even in some healthy subjects^[7,14,16,18,34,35]. Accordingly, the Authors suggest that serology should be combined with PCR, rather than be replaced by it^[7,14,18]. Such observation is even more relevant considering that the molecular biology techniques may not be always available in some hospitals especially in countries and regions with limited resources^[7].

In conclusion, IgA detection has demonstrated to be useful and reliable in confirming diagnoses of suspected *M. pneumoniae* infections in older patients, yielding higher diagnostic accuracy as compared to detection of IgM alone. This suggests detection of IgA antibodies could be included in laboratory routine in older patients showing clinical signs of lower respiratory tract infections.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Dr. Andrea Boselli, Chief of Medicine Laboratory of Diagnostic Center La Quiete (Varese) for the statistical analysis and to Manuella Walker (Pencil and Papers srl - Italy) for the language editing of the manuscript.

COMMENTS

Background

Mycoplasma pneumoniae (*M. pneumoniae*) is one of the main causative agents for community-acquired infections of the lower and upper respiratory tract, especially during the first two decades of life. Because symptoms can be commonly confused with those caused by other pathogens, diagnosis must rely on specific tests such as immunological assays. Diagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infection relies on detection of anti-Mycoplasma IgM; yet, while IgM values markedly increase in children and young patients, the immune response in adult and elderly patients who might have repeatedly been exposed to the infection may be less vigorous or even absent. Because IgAs develop in a more predictable way and at a more rapid rate compared to IgMs, the search of these antibodies in addition to IgM might add to diagnostic accuracy. However, so far, there is not enough evidence to support IgA as a reliable marker for infection, nor on the impact of its introduction in terms of increase in laboratory diagnosis accuracy so far has not been sufficiently evaluated.

Research frontiers

In the area of laboratory diagnosis, there is much interest in obtaining a higher diagnostic yield when there is a suspicion of infections by *M. pneumoniae*. Currently diagnoses are based on determination of IgMs alone for all age groups, and do not foresee routine determination of IgA in addition to IgM.

Innovations and Breakthroughs

Different tests for IgA have been marketed and used in the laboratory routine, but the impact of the introduction of these tests in terms of increase in laboratory diagnosis accuracy has not been sufficiently evaluated. In the present study, the authors evaluated the increase in diagnostic yield and the utility of this test in relation to the age of the patients.

Applications

IgA is a significantly useful marker in patient age groups > 50 years of age, increasing up to twofold the number of positive diagnoses.

Terminology

Specific IgM anti-Mycoplasma rapidly increase after the onset of the disease, reaching peak levels between 1-4 wk and then disappearing within a few months. Specific IgGs increase at slower rates and persist longer at high levels in the serum. The specific IgAs develop in a more predictable way and a more rapid rate compared to IgMs, and rapidly decrease during the second month from onset of the disease.

Peer-review

It is an interesting paper showing that IgA mycoplasma antibodies contribute to diagnostic yield increase, compared with usage of only IgM mycoplasma antibodies in older patients > 50 years old.

REFERENCES

- 1 **Donalisio MR**, Arca CH, Madureira PR. Clinical, epidemiological, and etiological profile of inpatients with community-acquired pneumonia at a general hospital in the Sumaré microregion of Brazil. *J Bras Pneumol* 2011; **37**: 200-208 [PMID: 21537656 DOI: 10.1590/S1806-37132011000200010]
- 2 **Lieberman D**, Shvartzman P, Lieberman D, Ben-Yaakov M, Lazarovich Z, Hoffman S, Moskovitz R, Ohana B, Leinonen M, Luffy D, Boldur I. Etiology of respiratory tract infection in adults in a general practice setting. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1998; **17**: 685-689 [PMID: 9865980 DOI: 10.1007/s100960050161]
- 3 **Miyashita N**, Sugi T, Kawai Y, Oda K, Yamaguchi T, Ouchi K, Kobashi Y, Oka M. Radiographic features of Mycoplasma pneumoniae pneumonia: differential diagnosis and performance timing. *BMC Med Imaging* 2009; **9**: 7 [PMID: 19400968 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2342-9-7]

- 4 **Waites KB**, Talkington DF. Mycoplasma pneumoniae and its role as a human pathogen. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2004; **17**: 697-728, table of contents [PMID: 15489344 DOI: 10.1128/CMR.17.4.697-728.2004]
- 5 **Principi N**, Esposito S, Blasi F, Allegra L; Mowgli study group. Role of Mycoplasma pneumoniae and Chlamydia pneumoniae in children with community-acquired lower respiratory tract infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 2001; **32**: 1281-1289 [PMID: 11303262 DOI: 10.1086/319981]
- 6 **Shangguan Z**, Sun Q, Zhang M, Ding J, Yi L, Gao Y, Zhan A, Zhao R, Ci X. Mycoplasma pneumoniae infection in hospitalized adult patients with community-acquired pneumonia in China. *J Infect Dev Ctries* 2014; **8**: 1259-1266 [PMID: 25313601 DOI: 10.3855/jidc.4721]
- 7 **Zhang L**, Zong ZY, Liu YB, Ye H, Lv XJ. PCR versus serology for diagnosing Mycoplasma pneumoniae infection: a systematic review & meta-analysis. *Indian J Med Res* 2011; **134**: 270-280 [PMID: 21985809]
- 8 **de Barbeyrac B**, Obeniche F, Ratsima E, Labrousche S, Moraté C, Renaudin H, Pereyre S, Bébéar CM, Bébéar C. [Serologic diagnosis of chlamydial and Mycoplasma pneumoniae infections]. *Ann Biol Clin (Paris)* 2006; **64**: 409-419 [PMID: 17040871 DOI: 10.1684/abc.2006.0002]
- 9 **Sillis M**. The limitations of IgM assays in the serological diagnosis of Mycoplasma pneumoniae infections. *J Med Microbiol* 1990; **33**: 253-258 [PMID: 2124271 DOI: 10.1099/00222615-33-4-253]
- 10 **Hirschberg L**, Krook A, Pettersson CA, Vikerfors T. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of Mycoplasma pneumoniae specific immunoglobulin M. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1988; **7**: 420-423 [PMID: 3137050 DOI: 10.1007/BF01962354]
- 11 **Vikerfors T**, Brodin G, Grandien M, Hirschberg L, Krook A, Pettersson CA. Detection of specific IgM antibodies for the diagnosis of Mycoplasma pneumoniae infections: a clinical evaluation. *Scand J Infect Dis* 1988; **20**: 601-610 [PMID: 3146809 DOI: 10.3109/00365548809035660]
- 12 **Wreghitt TG**, Sillis M. A micro-capture ELISA for detecting Mycoplasma pneumoniae IgM: comparison with indirect immunofluorescence and indirect ELISA. *J Hyg (Lond)* 1985; **94**: 217-227 [PMID: 3921607 DOI: 10.1017/S0022172400061428]
- 13 **Cimolai N**, Cheong AC. An assessment of a new diagnostic indirect enzyme immunoassay for the detection of anti-Mycoplasma pneumoniae IgM. *Am J Clin Pathol* 1996; **105**: 205-209 [PMID: 8607446 DOI: 10.1093/ajcp/105.2.205]
- 14 **Daxboeck F**, Krause R, Wenisch C. Laboratory diagnosis of Mycoplasma pneumoniae infection. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2003; **9**: 263-273 [PMID: 12667235 DOI: 10.1046/j.1469-0691.2003.00590.x]
- 15 **Petitjean J**, Vabret A, Gouarin S, Freymuth F. [Evaluation of four commercial immunoglobulin G (IgG)- and IgM- specific enzyme immunoassays for diagnosis of Mycoplasma pneumoniae infections]. *Pathol Biol (Paris)* 2002; **50**: 530-537 [PMID: 12490415 DOI: 10.1016/S0369-8114(02)00349-8]
- 16 **Waris ME**, Toikka P, Saarinen T, Nikkari S, Meurman O, Vainionpää R, Mertsola J, Ruuskanen O. Diagnosis of Mycoplasma pneumoniae pneumonia in children. *J Clin Microbiol* 1998; **36**: 3155-3159 [PMID: 9774556]
- 17 **Jacobs E**. Serological diagnosis of Mycoplasma pneumoniae infections: a critical review of current procedures. *Clin Infect Dis* 1993; **17** Suppl 1: S79-S82 [PMID: 8399943 DOI: 10.1093/clindis/17.Supplement_1.S79]
- 18 **Martínez MA**, Ruiz M, Zunino E, Luchsinger V, Avendaño LF. Detection of Mycoplasma pneumoniae in adult community-acquired pneumonia by PCR and serology. *J Med Microbiol* 2008; **57**: 1491-1495 [PMID: 19018018 DOI: 10.1099/jmm.0.2008/003814-0]
- 19 **Uldum SA**, Jensen JS, Søndergård-Andersen J, Lind K. Enzyme immunoassay for detection of immunoglobulin M (IgM) and IgG antibodies to Mycoplasma pneumoniae. *J Clin Microbiol* 1992; **30**: 1198-1204 [PMID: 1583120]
- 20 **Waites KB**, Lanier Thacker W, Talkington DF. The value of culture and serology for detection of Mycoplasma pneumoniae infections in the clinical laboratory in the age of molecular diagnostics. *Clin Microbiol News* 2001; **23**: 123-129 [DOI: 10.1016/S0196-4399(01)89042-5]
- 21 Mycoplasma pneumoniae. *Lancet* 1991; **337**: 651-652 [PMID: 1671999 DOI: 10.1016/0140-6736(91)92461-A]
- 22 **Granström M**, Holme T, Sjögren AM, Ortqvist A, Kalin M. The role of IgA determination by ELISA in the early serodiagnosis of Mycoplasma pneumoniae infection, in relation to IgG and mucapture IgM methods. *J Med Microbiol* 1994; **40**: 288-292 [PMID: 8151681 DOI: 10.1099/00222615-40-4-288]
- 23 **Ciarrocchi G**, Tocchini M, d'Anzeo M, Farnocchia E, Rondello G, Cimarelli ME, Berti B, Ianniello A. Specific IgA antibodies in Mycoplasma pneumoniae infections. *Microbiol Med* 2011; **26**: 100-103 [DOI: 10.4081/mm.2011.2366]
- 24 **Watkins-Riedel T**, Stanek G, Daxboeck F. Comparison of SeroMP IgA with four other commercial assays for serodiagnosis of Mycoplasma pneumoniae pneumonia. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2001; **40**: 21-25 [PMID: 11448559 DOI: 10.1016/S0732-8893(01)00250-4]
- 25 **Busson L**, Van den Wijngaert S, Dahma H, Decolvenaer M, Di Cesare L, Martin A, Vasseur L, Vandenberg O. Evaluation of 10 serological assays for diagnosing Mycoplasma pneumoniae infection. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2013; **76**: 133-137 [PMID: 23537789 DOI: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2013.02.027]
- 26 **Gómez J**, Baños V, Ruiz Gómez J, Soto MC, Muñoz L, Nuñez ML, Canteras M, Valdés M. Prospective study of epidemiology and prognostic factors in community-acquired pneumonia. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1996; **15**: 556-560 [PMID: 8874072 DOI: 10.1007/BF01709363]
- 27 **Lieberman D**, Schlaeffer F, Lieberman D, Horowitz S, Horovitz O, Porath A. Mycoplasma pneumoniae community-acquired pneumonia: a review of 101 hospitalized adult patients. *Respiration* 1996; **63**: 261-266 [PMID: 8884996 DOI: 10.1159/000196557]
- 28 **Liu YN**, Chen MJ, Zhao TM, Wang H, Wang R, Liu QF, Cai BQ, Cao B, Sun TY, Hu YJ, Xiu QY, Zhou X, Ding X, Yang L, Zhuo JS, Tang YC, Zhang KX, Liang DR, Lü XJ, Li SQ, Liu Y, Yu YS, Wei ZQ, Ying KJ, Zhao F, Chen P, Hou XN. [A multicentre study on the pathogenic agents in 665 adult patients with community-acquired pneumonia in cities of China]. *Zhonghua Jiehe He Huxi Zazhi* 2006; **29**: 3-8 [PMID: 16638292]
- 29 **Morozumi M**, Takahashi T, Ubukata K. Macrolide-resistant Mycoplasma pneumoniae: characteristics of isolates and clinical aspects of community-acquired pneumonia. *J Infect Chemother* 2010; **16**: 78-86 [PMID: 20094751 DOI: 10.1007/s10156-009-0021-4]
- 30 **Dorigo-Zetsma JW**, Verkooyen RP, van Helden HP, van der Nat H, van den Bosch JM. Molecular detection of Mycoplasma pneumoniae in adults with community-acquired pneumonia requiring hospitalization. *J Clin Microbiol* 2001; **39**: 1184-1186 [PMID: 11230455 DOI: 10.1128/JCM.39.3.1184-1186.2001]
- 31 **Beersma MF**, Dirven K, van Dam AP, Templeton KE, Claas EC, Goossens H. Evaluation of 12 commercial tests and the complement fixation test for Mycoplasma pneumoniae-specific immunoglobulin G (IgG) and IgM antibodies, with PCR used as the "gold standard". *J Clin Microbiol* 2005; **43**: 2277-2285 [PMID: 15872256 DOI: 10.1128/JCM.43.5.2277-2285.2005]
- 32 **Templeton KE**, Scheltinga SA, Graffelman AW, Van Schie JM, Crielaard JW, Sillekens P, Van Den Broek PJ, Goossens H, Beersma MF, Claas EC. Comparison and evaluation of real-time PCR, real-time nucleic acid sequence-based amplification, conventional PCR, and serology for diagnosis of Mycoplasma pneumoniae. *J Clin Microbiol* 2003; **41**: 4366-4371 [PMID: 12958270 DOI: 10.1128/JCM.41.9.4366-4371.2003]
- 33 **Ursi D**, Dirven K, Loens K, Ieven M, Goossens H. Detection of Mycoplasma pneumoniae in respiratory samples by real-time PCR using an inhibition control. *J Microbiol Methods* 2003; **55**: 149-153 [PMID: 14500006 DOI: 10.1016/S0167-7012(03)00131-3]
- 34 **Kenny GE**, Kaiser GG, Cooney MK, Foy HM. Diagnosis of

Mycoplasma pneumoniae pneumonia: sensitivities and specificities of serology with lipid antigen and isolation of the organism on soy peptone medium for identification of infections. *J Clin Microbiol* 1990; **28**: 2087-2093 [PMID: 2121791]

35 **Gnarpe J**, Lundbäck A, Sundelöf B, Gnarpe H. Prevalence of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* in subjectively healthy individuals. *Scand J Infect Dis* 1992; **24**: 161-164 [PMID: 1641592 DOI: 10.3109/00365549209052607]

P- Reviewer: García-Elorriaga G, Moschovi MA, Pourshafie MR
S- Editor: Gong XM **L- Editor:** A **E- Editor:** Lu YJ





Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: <http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx>

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

