

# World Journal of *Methodology*

Quarterly Volume 15 Number 2 June 20, 2025



**EDITORIAL**

Jeyaraman N, Jeyaraman M, Ramasubramanian S, Balaji S, Nallakumarasamy A. Visualizing medicine: The case for implementing graphical abstracts in clinical reporting. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 95966 [DOI: [10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.95966](https://doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.95966)]

Kolovou A, Gkoukoulis AN, Stefanou N, Samaila EM, Tsekoura M, Vlychou M, Matzaroglou C, Dailiana ZH. Musculoskeletal disorders in nursing staff. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 98043 [DOI: [10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.98043](https://doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.98043)]

Cheng CY, Hao WR, Cheng TH. Enhancing the outcomes of diabetic vitrectomy with pharmacological adjuvants. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 98912 [DOI: [10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.98912](https://doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.98912)]

Cheng CY, Hao WR, Cheng TH. Advancements in diabetic retinopathy: Insights and future directions. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 99454 [DOI: [10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.99454](https://doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.99454)]

**REVIEW**

Hetta HF, Ahmed R, Ramadan YN, Fathy H, Khorshid M, Mabrouk MM, Hashem M. Gut virome: New key players in the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 92592 [DOI: [10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.92592](https://doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.92592)]

**MINIREVIEWS**

Muthu S, Vadranapu S. Variations in quantifying patient reported outcome measures to estimate treatment effect. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 97078 [DOI: [10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.97078](https://doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.97078)]

Jeyaraman N, Jeyaraman M, Ramasubramanian S, Balaji S, Muthu S. Voices that matter: The impact of patient-reported outcome measures on clinical decision-making. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 98066 [DOI: [10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.98066](https://doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.98066)]

Wu KA, Pottayil F, Jing C, Choudhury A, Anastasio AT. Surgical site soft tissue thickness as a predictor of complications following arthroplasty. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 99959 [DOI: [10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.99959](https://doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.99959)]

Chauhan S, Chauhan R, Bhasin P, Bhasin M. Magnification: The game changer in dentistry. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 100937 [DOI: [10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.100937](https://doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.100937)]

Tüsüz Önata E, Özdemir Ö. Fecal microbiota transplantation in allergic diseases. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 101430 [DOI: [10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.101430](https://doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.101430)]

Nallakumarasamy A, Shrivastava S, Rangarajan RV, Jeyaraman N, Gandhi Devadas A, Ramasubramanian S, Jeyaraman M. Optimizing bone marrow harvesting sites for enhanced mesenchymal stem cell yield and efficacy in knee osteoarthritis treatment. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 101458 [DOI: [10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.101458](https://doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.101458)]

**ORIGINAL ARTICLE****Retrospective Study**

Manjunatha BS, Handge KT, Shah VS, Al-Thobaiti YE, Pateel DGS. Immunohistochemical expression of matrix metalloproteinase-9 and 13 in oral squamous cell carcinoma and their role in predicting lymph node metastasis. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 94514 [DOI: [10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.94514](https://doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.94514)]

**Prospective Study**

Au SCL, Chong SSY. Prognostic factors for acute central retinal artery occlusion treated with hyperbaric oxygen: The Hong Kong study report number five. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 96777 [DOI: 10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.96777]

Bećirović E, Bećirović M, Šegalo S, Bećirović A, Hadžić S, Ljuca K, Papić E, Ferhatbegović L, Ejubović M, Jagodić Ejubović A, Kovčić A, Šljivo A, Begagić E. Hemogram-derived ratios as prognostic markers for major adverse cardiovascular events in patients with non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 98143 [DOI: 10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.98143]

**Basic Study**

Tayal V, Mandal A, Haque M I, Mishra A, Kalra BS, Roy V. Anticonvulsant potential of rosuvastatin in combination with carbamazepine and valproate in animal models of epilepsy. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 99580 [DOI: 10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.99580]

**SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS**

Grewal H, Dhillon G, Buddhavarapu V, Verma RK, Munjal RS, Sharma P, Sidhu G, Kashyap R, Surani S. Strategic insights of telehealth platforms and strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats analysis of Amazon's clinical endeavors. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 98513 [DOI: 10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.98513]

**META-ANALYSIS**

Anand R, Nag DS, Patel R, Sharma P, Uppalapati VK, Singh UK. Comparative efficacy of hyperbaric bupivacaine vs hyperbaric ropivacaine in spinal anesthesia for cesarean section: A meta-analysis. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 99300 [DOI: 10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.99300]

**SCIENTOMETRICS**

Deng L, Zhou R, Zhang XJ, Peng YH. Global trend of review articles focused on cardiopulmonary bypass: Perspectives from bibliometrics. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 100432 [DOI: 10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.100432]

**LETTER TO THE EDITOR**

Akhtar M, Nashwan AJ. Evaluating Wharton's jelly-derived stem cell therapy in autism: Insights from a case study. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 100074 [DOI: 10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.100074]

Sinha RK, Sinha S, Nishant P, Morya AK, Singh A. Telemedicine and public health—pearls and pitfalls. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 100632 [DOI: 10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.100632]

**ABOUT COVER**

Peer Reviewer of *World Journal of Methodology*, Ayşegül Yıldız, MD, Professor, Founding Director, Department of Psychiatry, Aysegul Yildiz Private Wellness Clinic, Dokuz Eylül University, European College of Neuropsychopharmacology, Depression & Bipolar Disorder Foundation, Izmir, Türkiye. agul\_yildiz@hotmail.com

**AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of *World Journal of Methodology* (*WJM*, *World J Methodol*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of methodology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

*WJM* mainly publishes articles reporting research results obtained in the field of methodology and covering a wide range of topics including breath tests, cardiac imaging techniques, clinical laboratory techniques, diagnostic self-evaluation, cardiovascular diagnostic techniques, digestive system diagnostic techniques, endocrine diagnostic techniques, neurological diagnostic techniques, obstetrical and gynecological diagnostic techniques, ophthalmological diagnostic techniques, otological diagnostic techniques, radioisotope diagnostic techniques, respiratory system diagnostic techniques, surgical diagnostic techniques, *etc.*

**INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The *WJM* is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database.

**RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: *Xu Guo*; Production Department Director: *Xiang Li*; Cover Editor: *Ji-Hong Liu*.

**NAME OF JOURNAL**

*World Journal of Methodology*

**ISSN**

ISSN 2222-0682 (online)

**LAUNCH DATE**

September 26, 2011

**FREQUENCY**

Quarterly

**EDITORS-IN-CHIEF**

Timotius Ivan Hariyanto

**EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/2222-0682/editorialboard.htm>

**PUBLICATION DATE**

June 20, 2025

**COPYRIGHT**

© 2025 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

**INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

**GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

**GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

**PUBLICATION ETHICS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

**PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

**ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

**STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

**ONLINE SUBMISSION**

<https://www.f6publishing.com>



## Surgical site soft tissue thickness as a predictor of complications following arthroplasty

Kevin A Wu, Faheem Pottayil, Crystal Jing, Ankit Choudhury, Albert T Anastasio

**Specialty type:** Medical laboratory technology

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Peer-review report's classification**

**Scientific Quality:** Grade B

**Novelty:** Grade B

**Creativity or Innovation:** Grade B

**Scientific Significance:** Grade B

**P-Reviewer:** Meng J

**Received:** August 4, 2024

**Revised:** September 30, 2024

**Accepted:** October 22, 2024

**Published online:** June 20, 2025

**Processing time:** 115 Days and 1.2 Hours



**Kevin A Wu, Crystal Jing, Albert T Anastasio**, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Duke University Hospital, Durham, NC 27710, United States

**Faheem Pottayil**, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Medical College of Georgia at Augusta University, Augusta, GA 30912, United States

**Ankit Choudhury**, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI 53226, United States

**Corresponding author:** Kevin A Wu, BSc, Researcher, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Duke University Hospital, 2301 Erwin Road, Durham, NC 27710, United States. [kevin.a.wu@duke.edu](mailto:kevin.a.wu@duke.edu)

### Abstract

Appreciation of soft-tissue thickness (STT) at surgical sites is an increasingly recognized aspect of arthroplasty procedures as it may potentially impacting postoperative outcomes. Recent research has focused on the predictive value of preoperative STT measurements for complications following various forms of arthroplasty, particularly infections, across procedures such as total knee, hip, shoulder, and ankle replacements. Several studies have indicated that increased STT is associated with a higher risk of complications, including infection and wound healing issues. The assessment of STT before surgery could play a crucial role in identifying patients at a higher risk of complications and may be instrumental in guiding preoperative planning to optimize outcomes in arthroplasty procedures. Standardized measurement techniques and further research are essential to enhance the reliability and clinical utility of STT assessment for arthroplasty surgery.

**Key Words:** Soft-tissue thickness; Arthroplasty; Surgical complications; Total knee arthroplasty; Total hip arthroplasty; Total shoulder arthroplasty; Total ankle arthroplasty; Preoperative assessment; Wound healing; Infection risk

©The Author(s) 2025. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** This review examines the relationship between preoperative soft-tissue thickness (STT) and surgical complications in total knee arthroplasty, total hip arthroplasty, reverse shoulder arthroplasty, and total ankle arthroplasty. By synthesizing findings from multiple studies, we highlight the significant correlation between increased STT and higher complication rates. Our review underscores the importance of thorough preoperative assessment of STT to enhance surgical planning and patient outcomes in various arthroplasty procedures.

**Citation:** Wu KA, Pottayil F, Jing C, Choudhury A, Anastasio AT. Surgical site soft tissue thickness as a predictor of complications following arthroplasty. *World J Methodol* 2025; 15(2): 99959

**URL:** <https://www.wjgnet.com/2222-0682/full/v15/i2/99959.htm>

**DOI:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v15.i2.99959>

## INTRODUCTION

Total joint arthroplasty (TJA), which encompasses total hip arthroplasty (THA) and total knee arthroplasty (TKA) in addition to other forms of joint arthroplasty, is on the global rise due to its success in treating osteoarthritis (OA) and other debilitating joint conditions[1,2]. Along with an increase in OA in an aging population, comes an increase in arthroplasty procedures worldwide to restore mobility and help alleviate pain[3,4]. However, TJA, along with other surgical procedures, is not without risks and complications, which include surgical site and periprosthetic infection[5-9]. Infection can prolong hospital stay, increase costs, and even induce further surgeries or permanent disability[10]. Other complications include anesthetic-related issues, blood transfusion-related problems, and venous thromboembolism (VTE)[11]. These complications can be directly associated with increased morbidity and mortality. Therefore, understanding the risk factors for these complications must be understood to minimize risks and promote favorable outcomes.

Traditionally, body mass index (BMI) has been used to stratify patient risk before a TJA is performed due to its association with obesity, which has been a known risk factor for poorer surgical outcomes across a number of TJA procedure subtypes[12]. However, BMI can be a flawed measurement due to its poor ability to distinguish between muscle and fat within a body mass composition, and therefore lacks the ability to gauge for the presence of increased fatty soft tissue overlying the joint of interest[13-16]. Moreover, an individual with higher muscle compared to one with higher fat may have a lower risk for complications despite having a similar BMI. Alternative quantification methods, such as percent body fat and bioelectrical impedance analysis, correlate strongly with perioperative risks following TJA[17-19]. However, these measures require special testing that may not be easily accessible.

Soft-tissue thickness (STT) around the surgical site has shown relevance in predicting TJA outcomes and complications [20]. Increased STT can potentially complicate the arthroplasty surgery by requiring more dissection and retraction, which can lead to malalignment, component instability, longer operative duration, and post-operative infection and pain [21-24]. Advanced radiographic methods, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) scans, can be used to further characterize the extent of the surgical site. Developing these radiographic measurements may offer precise measurements of STT and proved a representation of the local tissue geography. These measurements can provide anticipatory and management information regarding the complexity and complications of tissue retraction and alignment during the procedure. One study has indicated that increased STT, measured by preoperative MRI, is associated with a higher risk for wound complications after THA[25]. While STT shows promise as a marker for increased complications across various arthroplasty procedures, the use of this metric is not widespread and has not been reported across outcomes studies.

Thus, this review aims to examine and understand the effects of the extensivity of local periarticular STT on surgical outcomes following several varieties of TJA. By comparing the predictive value between STT and BMI, the review seeks to identify reliable yet accurate risk stratification methods. Understanding the application of STT could lead to improvements in surgical anticipation and management, therefore improving patient outcomes in TJA.

## KNEE ARTHROPLASTY

The most prevalent TJA procedure is TKA, and the incidence of the procedure continues to increase. TKA is primarily performed in patients who have OA, rheumatoid arthritis (RA), and post-traumatic arthritis. Approximately seven studies investigated STT as a possible determinant of complication within TKA (Table 1). Of these seven studies, four found STT to be a significant determinant, while three of the studies did not find an association. The articles are summarized in Table 1.

Wagner *et al*[23] conducted a retrospective review in which the Patella-Femur Thickness Ratio (PFTR) was calculated to measure STT around the knee as a predictive measure to anticipate postoperative complications, specifically surgical site infection (SSI), after TKA[23]. This analysis of 528 patients indicated that those with a PFTR > 1 had a higher infection rate (5%) compared to patients with a PFTR < 1, indicating that PFTR may be a more reliable indicator of SSI risk than BMI, particularly in obese patients. The study included a small sample size of infections ( $n = 12$ ), reducing the power of the statistical analysis. Moreover, the study was specific to the center of the patella, reducing a capacity to understand the implication of fatty deposition in other areas of the knee.

Table 1 Soft-tissue thickness in total knee arthroplasty

Ref.	Type of study	n	Mean BMI	Mean age	Indications for surgery	Approach	STT measurement definition	Outcomes studied	Results	Inter-rater reliabilities	Challenges
Wagner <i>et al</i> [23]	Retrospective	528	35.5	57.8	Osteoarthritis	Not specified	PFTR measured from radiographs	SSI, BMI, PFTR	Significant association between higher PFTR and increased risk of SSI	Strong agreement ( $r = 0.952$ ; $P < 0.001$ )	Small number of infections, limited generalizability to non-obese populations
Watts <i>et al</i> [24]	Case-control	116	44.8	62.7	Osteoarthritis	Not specified	PPT and PTT measured from radiographs	SSI, reoperation risk, PPT, PTT	Higher PPT and PTT associated with increased risk of reoperation	Excellent (Pearson coefficients: PPT 0.95, PTT 0.98)	Retrospective design, small sample size, potential unaccounted variables
Vahedi <i>et al</i> [22]	Case-control	824	32.5	63.9	Osteoarthritis	Not specified	Medial STT at joint line on AP radiograph; Anterior STT 8 cm above joint line on lateral radiograph	PJI, STT, BMI	Higher medial and anterior STT associated with increased risk of PJI	Excellent (ICC: Anterior STT 0.94, medial STT 0.96)	Retrospective design, potential unmeasured confounders, variability in surgical techniques
Shearer <i>et al</i> [28]	Retrospective	4745	32.1	68.3	Osteoarthritis	Not specified	PPT; KAI	PJI, surgical duration	BMI > 35 was strongly associated with PJI; local adipose measures were not significant	Excellent for KAI (inter: 0.94, intra: 0.99), moderate for PPT (inter: 0.75, intra: 0.99)	Variations in measurement techniques, low incidence of PJI, retrospective design
Yu <i>et al</i> [25]	Retrospective	376	30.9	66.2	Osteoarthritis	Not specified	PASTI using femoral and tibial measurements	Minor and major wound complications	High PASTI (> 3.0) associated with more minor complications (tibial OR: 3.89, femoral OR: 2.09); no significant difference in major complications	Excellent for both femoral and tibial PASTI (inter: 0.980/0.984, intra: 0.985/0.967)	Retrospective design, accuracy dependent on standardized X-ray technique, limited by proper lateral radiographs only
Gupta and Kejrival [26]	Retrospective	494	30.6	71.3	Osteoarthritis	Medial parapatellar	PPT and PTT	Superficial wound complications	PTT $\geq 12$ mm associated with lower risk of superficial wound complications (RR: 0.54); PPT not significantly associated	Measurement reliabilities not evaluated in this study, but previous study by Watts <i>et al.</i> noted high reliabilities (PPT: Intra 0.95, inter 0.92; PTT: Intra 0.98, inter 0.96)	Retrospective design, non-standardized surgical techniques, dressing types, and rehabilitation protocols, small sample size, no comparison group for BMI $\geq 40$ kg/m <sup>2</sup>
Secrist <i>et al</i> [27]	Retrospective	453	32.9	66	Osteoarthritis	Not specified	LEG ratio: Width of soft tissue envelope divided by bone width on lateral radiographs	90-day postoperative complications	No significant difference in LEG ratio between patients with/without complications; LEG ratio had no utility in predicting complications	Not specified	Retrospective design, novel measurement method not validated in previous studies, did not analyze intraoperative variables, limited to short-term complications

PFTR: Prepatellar fat thickness ratio; SSI: Surgical site infection; BMI: Body mass index; PTT: Pretubercular thickness; PPT: Prepatellar thickness; ICC: Intra-class correlation; PJI: Periprosthetic joint infection; STT: Soft-tissue thickness; KAI: Knee Adipose Index; PASTI: Preoperative Anterior Soft-Tissue Index; OR: Odds ratio; RR: Relative risk; LEG: Lower extremity girth.

Similarly, Yu *et al*[25] developed tibial and femoral Preoperative Anterior Soft-Tissue Index (PASTI) ratios that deviated from lateral knee radiographs to predict postoperative complications[25]. The study indicated that among 374 patients, those with high PASTI (> 3.0) had significantly higher rates of minor complications for both tibial ( $P < 0.001$ ) and femoral ( $P < 0.013$ ) measurements, indicating a better predictor of complications of BMI. BMI indicated no significant correlation. The study was limited in its retrospective nature and dependency on accurate X-ray techniques for PASTI measurements.

Watts *et al*[24] used lateral knee radiographs to measure prepatellar thickness (PPT) and pretubercular thickness (PTT) to examine the relationship with early preoperative risk due to wound complication or infection after TKA in morbidly obese patients[24]. The study found that PPT and PTT are more predictive than BMI, indicating those with PPT  $\geq 15$  mm and PTT  $\geq 25$  mm had higher risks of reoperation (relative risks of 2.0 and 1.6, respectively). The study stated that BMI did not correspond with an increased preoperative risk. The study was limited in its retrospective design, possibly overlooking other surgical factors such as techniques and postoperative care.

Vahedi *et al*[22] investigated medial and anterior STT from AP and lateral radiographs to assess the association between STT and the risk of periprosthetic joint infection (PJI) following TKA[22]. The study indicated that patients with a higher prepatellar fat thickness ratio (PFTR) had an increased risk of infections. Those with a PFTR  $\geq 1$  had an infection rate of 5%, while those with PFTR < 1 had infection rates of 1.5% ( $P < 0.05$ ). The study was limited in its retrospective design and relatively small number of infection cases ( $n = 12$ ), limiting the generalizability of the study.

Gupta and Kejrival assessed anterior subcutaneous fat thickness and superficial wound complications in nonmorbidly obese patients who underwent TKA[26]. Within the 494 patients, those with a PTT  $\geq 12$  mm had a significantly lower risk to develop superficial complications within 90 days of the operation (relative risk 0.54,  $P = 0.028$ ). The results also indicated that PPT showed no significant association. The study was limited in its retrospective design and small sample size. Additionally, there were no comparison groups for patients with BMI  $\geq 40$ . The inter-rater and intra-rater reliability was not discussed.

Secrist *et al*[27] investigated whether BMI or lower extremity growth (LEG) ratio is a better predictive measure for complications in TKA[27]. Within 453 patients, those with LEG ratios above or below 5 indicated no significant difference in postoperative complication rates. However, BMI > 35 was more predictive of complications ( $P = 0.0637$ ). Moreover, the sensitivity and specificity of the LEG ratio as a predictive measure were poor. The study was limited in its retrospective design and unvalidated LEG ratio method. Intraoperative variables and comorbidities were not considered as well.

Shearer *et al*[28] investigated the predictive value of BMI and local knee adiposity measures for PJI and surgical duration after TKA[28]. The results indicated that those with a BMI > 35 were significantly associated with a higher risk for PJI (odds ratio = 2.9, 95%CI: 1.4-6.1). Conversely, knee adipose index (KAI) and prepatellar fat thickness did not correlate significantly with PJI. BMI and local adiposity measures correlated with higher surgical duration. The study was limited in its retrospective design and variability in X-ray techniques. Additionally, the infection rates were only captured within one year postoperatively, potentially not fully capturing the extensivity of possible infections.

Taken together, a growing number of studies have indicated that increased STT around the knee may correspond to higher complication risk and rates following TKA. Specific complications include SSI, PJI, and wound complications such as hematomas and wound dehiscence. Moreover, STT can lead to greater dead space, affecting wound healing in knee arthroplasty, resulting in prolonged operative times and more extensive soft tissue injury. Therefore, accurate preoperative assessment is imperative.

## HIP ARTHROPLASTY

THA is most commonly indicated in patients with primary OA of the hip, osteonecrosis of the femoral head, and femoral neck fractures[29]. Pre-operative assessment and planning in THA patients is critical, just as in any arthroplasty or surgical procedure. Radiographs with quantified magnification of the hip are obtained to initiate pre-operative planning with assessing anatomical landmarks, establishing acetabular cup and femoral stem size, and anticipating intra- and post-operative challenges[30].

Obesity, defined as a BMI  $\geq 30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, is a reducible risk factor that may be associated with some intra- and post-operative challenges. High BMI may pose an increased risk of superficial and deep infections, hip dislocations, re-operations, revisions, and readmissions[31,32] in THA. In one study regarding wound drainage in THA, obesity was shown to prolong wound healing, with morbidly obese patients having increased time to dry wounds. Furthermore, prolonged wound drainage was shown to be a significant predictor of wound infection[33]. Studies have shown that increased wound drainage time is associated with post-operative THA wound infection - both deep wound infections and superficial soft-tissue infection[34,35].

In addition, STT has been posed as another risk factor for complications in THA. There were eight articles examining the effect of STT on THA complications (Table 2). Measurement methodology of STT differed amongst studies, with two studies reporting thickness ratios, four studies reporting fat depth from greater trochanter to skin, and two studies using anterior superior iliac spine (ASIS) and pubic symphysis (PS) as measurement landmarks (Table 2). A variety of



Table 2 Soft-tissue thickness in total hip arthroplasty

Ref.	Type of study	Approach	STT measurement definition	Inter-rater and inter-observer reliabilities	Outcomes studied	Results
Bell <i>et al</i> [38]	Retrospective case-control analysis	Posterolateral	Skin to source distance; tip of the GT to skin; lateral prominence of GT to skin	Inter-rater reliabilities: Skin to source: 0.966; Tip of GT to skin: 0.958; lateral prominence of the GT to skin: 0.981	Compare interobserver reliability; peritrochanteric fat thickness association with increased wound complications and infection in early post-operative period	No association between peritrochanteric fat and infections/wound complications in primary THA patients
Hohmann <i>et al</i> [29]	Retrospective review	Lateral	Length from bilateral ASISs to the skin surface at a right angle to each ASIS as ASIS-thickness; Length from PS to skin surface at a right angle to the PS as PS-thickness	NR	Examine the relationship between postoperative acetabular cup angles and anterior pelvic STT overlying the anatomical landmarks; investigate the difference between obese patients and normal/overweight patients	No significant relationships between BMI, intraoperative cup placement, or final cup placement for both inclination and anteversion; No significant relationships between STT over either ASIS or pubic tubercle with respect to acetabular cup orientation; no association between inclination/anteversion angles and anterior pelvic soft tissues
Mayne <i>et al</i> [41]	Prospective series	Posterior	FD	NR	Post-THA complications: Dislocation, infection, periprosthetic fracture, wound dehiscence. Comparing with BMI and fat depth	Patients within upper quartile of FD were not at increased risk of developing complications, as compared to patients within lower quartile of FD; patients with highest BMI ( $\geq 40 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) had significantly increased risk of complications, as compared to patients with lower BMI ( $< 40 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ); Patients with highest BMI had significantly greater proportion of post-operative infection, as compared to lower BMI; number of patients within upper quartile of FD was 311, higher than the 60 patients in the BMI $\geq 40 \text{ kg/m}^2$ category. Conclusions: Fat depth is not more useful in predicting complications and poor outcomes following THA
Rey Fernandez <i>et al</i> [36]	Case-control study	Posterolateral	Distance from the tip of the GT to the skin following a perpendicular line to the femoral diaphysis in post-operative AP hip radiographs	NR	APJI	Larger STT radiographic measurement associated with higher risk of APJI
Sezgin <i>et al</i> [37]	Retrospective cohort review	Anterolateral	Distance between most lateral point on the GT to the skin, on an axis perpendicular to the anatomical axis of the femur; HFTR: Subcutaneous fat tissue thickness divided by diameter of femoral diaphysis at level just inferior to minor trochanter	Pearson's coefficients: 0.981 (inter-observer), 0.965 (intra-observer)	Use HFTR and determine efficacy as a predictor of failure risk in 1-year post-operative period of primary THA	Increased peri-incisional subcutaneous fat tissue thickness associated with higher risk of failure of THA ( <i>i.e.</i> reoperation, revision, death after 1 year)
Sprowls <i>et al</i> [40]	Retrospective cohort review	Anterolateral, posterior, lateral, direct anterior, hueter/smith-peterson	Thickness ratio (lateral/anterior): Lateral and anterior measurements of subcutaneous hip fat were obtained from CT, in slice where femoral head diameter was widest	NR	Compare thickness of subcutaneous fat in lateral hip incision (posterior, lateral, anterolateral approaches) with that of an approach using anterior incision (direct anterior and variations of Hueter or Smith-Peterson approach); examine relationship between BMI	Incision STT was greater for lateral hip incision approaches than for anterior incision; Greater BMI was associated with greater distribution of subcutaneous fat around the hip, based on sex and age; Lateral subcutaneous fat is greater in women, regardless of age or BMI

					and distribution of subcutaneous fat, based on sex and age	
Sprohls <i>et al</i> [38]	Retrospective cohort review	Direct anterior, posterior	Subcutaneous fat depth measurement obtained from superficial extent of fat layer, along lateral skin flap. Anterior and lateral thickness measurements were obtained	NR	Intraoperative thickness of subcutaneous fat at incision site for direct anterior <i>vs</i> posterior approaches; Examine relationship between fat thickness and 90-day post-operative complications	More soft tissue encountered with posterior than direct anterior approach; greater STT was associated with greater rates of re-operation; excess incisional fat was associated with higher rates of wound complications
Suzuki <i>et al</i> [43]	Retrospective observational study	Anterolateral	Length from bilateral ASISs to the skin surface at a right angle to each ASIS. Average of right and left used as the ASIS-thickness; length from PS to skin surface at a right angle to the PS as PS-thickness	Intra- and inter-observer reliabilities > 0.900 (high intraclass correlation coefficient)	Evaluate association between cup alignment errors and obese patients	PS-thickness and ASIS-thickness associated with radiographic anteversion and inclination errors, while BMI only associated with radiographic anteversion errors; PS-thickness and ASIS-thickness both risk factors for cup implantation error of acetabular component using HipCOMPASS technology

ASIS: Anterior superior iliac spine; CT: Computed tomography; FD: Fat depth; GT: Greater trochanter; HFTR: Hip fat thickness ratio; NR: Not reported; PS: Pubic symphysis; STT: Soft-tissue thickness; APJI: Acute periprosthetic joint infection; BMI: Body mass index; THA: Total hip arthroplasty.

approaches exist amongst studies, with anterior, anterolateral, and posterior being the most common. Association between STT and THA complications varied between studies, with some concluding that increased STT increases risk of wound and post-operative complications[36-38] and other studies noting no such association[39]. In one study looking at associated characteristics in patients with increased STT, it was found that STT was greater in lateral hip incision approaches as compared to the anterior approach[40]. In addition, a greater BMI was associated with a greater STT with predominance of lateral fat greater in women[40]. However, as noted by Mayne *et al*[41], BMI is a non-specific indicator of obesity and does not take into account anatomy such as fat distribution. Thus, STT and BMI are not necessarily interchangeable and STT may offer unique predictive value that BMI does not[41].

Some studies analyzed the effect of STT on post-operative acetabular cup positioning. Cup positioning in THA is critical, as malpositioning may lead to loosening of hardware, impingement, and increased rate of post-operative hardware dislocation. Increased STT is believed to obscure anatomical landmarks and increase rates of acetabular cup malpositioning[42]. Hohmann *et al*[29] reported no significant relationship between STT and acetabular cup placement [29], while Suzuki *et al*[43] reported that PS-thickness and ASIS-thickness were associated with cup implantation errors [43].

Limitations to the current literature on STT and complications of THA include the small sample size of patients that develop post-operative complications or re-operation. Another limitation is inherent in the imaging data available such as positioning of patients, calibration markers, and magnification which can all skew measurements[29,39,40]. Rey Fernández *et al*[36] has indicated that increased STT, measured by preoperative MRI, is associated with a higher risk for wound complications after THA[36].

## SHOULDER ARTHROPLASTY

OA, RA, complex fractures of the proximal humerus, osteonecrosis of the humeral head, irreparable tears of the rotator cuff with or without arthropathy, and revisions of failed prosthesis are the most commonly indicated reasons to perform shoulder arthroplasty[44]. Shoulder arthroplasty can serve as a successful treatment option for this variety of pathologies once nonsurgical options have been exhausted[44-46]. Between 2011 and 2017, the number of primary shoulder arthroplasties increased by 103.7%, and reverse shoulder arthroplasties (RSA) increased by 191.3%. A linear projection model and Poisson model have predicted a 67.2% and 235.2% increase in shoulder arthroplasties, respectively, by 2025[46].

A meta-analysis from Bohsali *et al*[47], examined articles published between 2006 and 2015 pertaining to total shoulder arthroplasty (TSA) complications and RSA complications. The overall complication rate for both procedures was 11%. For TSA, common complications from highest to lowest incidence were component loosening, glenoid wear, instability, rotator cuff tear, periprosthetic fracture, neural injury, infection, hematoma, deltoid injury, and VTE. For RSA, common complications from highest to lowest incidence were instability, periprosthetic fracture, infection, component loosening, neural injury, acromial and/or scapular spine fracture, hematoma, deltoid injury, rotator cuff tear, and VTE[47].

To date, there is no literature evaluating the role of radiographic STT in predicting TSA complications. In RSA, increased radiographic STT has been identified as a significant predictor of operative and post-operative complications. In a retrospective chart review of patients who underwent RSA, a greater shoulder STT from measurements of the radius from the humeral head center to the skin, deltoid radius-to-humeral head radius ratio, deltoid size, and subcutaneous tissue size were demonstrated to be a strong predictor of operative time, length of stay, and postoperative infection rate (Table 3)[48]. Specifically, the distance from the humeral head center to the skin was shown to have the highest predictive

**Table 3 Soft-tissue thickness and complications in shoulder arthroplasty**

Ref.	Type of Study	Approach	Soft-tissue thickness measurement	Inter-rater and inter-observer reliabilities	Outcomes studied	Results
Wu <i>et al</i> [48] (2023)	Retrospective chart review	Reverse shoulder arthroplasties	Distance from the center of the humeral head to the skin. Ratio of the deltoid radius to the humeral head radius. Deltoid size. Subcutaneous tissue size	NR	Length of stay. Operative time. Infection	Greater shoulder soft-tissue thickness is a strong predictor of length of stay, operative time, and postoperative infection in primary reverse shoulder arthroplasties patients

NR: Not reported.

power for these outcomes[48]. Thus, indicating that greater STT poses a substantial challenge during RSA, leading to prolonged surgeries and increased postoperative complications.

STT can be obtained relatively easily from the preoperative radiograph, thus allowing for an estimation of adipose tissue distribution at the surgical site. Furthermore, prior operative shoulder surgical status and patient smoking status should be of particular interest. Orthopedic surgeons may use this assessment to plan for potential postoperative complications in patients with greater STT, prior shoulder arthroplasty, and a history of smoking with extended oral antibiotic prophylaxis or another suitable alternative[49,50].

Optimizing patients before surgery extends beyond just STT. Using a large dataset, Boddapati *et al*[51] prospectively collected 30-day outcomes to determine the relationship between revision TSA and primary TSA postoperative complications. From this, they identified wound infections to be significantly more common in revision TSA compared to primary TSA. Patients with a history of smoking served as a significant independent risk factor in the development of postoperative wound infections, which is supported by previous literature demonstrating increase in the risk of postoperative healing complications in both orthopedic and non-orthopedic procedures[49,50]. Boddapati *et al*[51], credited this increase in wound infections to the increased rate of *Propionibacterium* acne colonization of the shoulder, specifically mentioning that this bacterium is most commonly found in the pilosebaceous follicles of the upper body, such as the axilla, and that a prior prosthetic implant significantly increases the risk of infection[51].

## ANKLE ARTHROPLASTY

Late-stage ankle arthritis is a disabling degenerative disease of the tibiotalar joint that inflicts significant pain and causes impaired function in patients[52-54]. After unsuccessful nonoperative conservative management, total ankle arthroplasty (TAA) and tibiotalar arthrodesis are the two most common surgical treatment options[55]. Over the past 30 years, TAA has become increasingly more popular with the development of newer implant designs and improved surgical techniques. Karzon *et al*[52] performed a retrospective database review and identified a total of 41060 TAAs performed from 2009-2019, where annual volumes increased by 136.1% from 2,180 in 2009 to 5147 in 2019[52]. From 2017-2030, the incidence of TAAs has been projected to increase from 110% to 796%[55]. A systematic review observed a mean complication rate of 23.7% for TAA, where the postoperative complications from highest to lowest incidence were aseptic loosening, intraoperative fracture, implant failure, and wound problems.

As evident from this review, preoperative radiographic STT has been suggested as a predictor outcome following hip, knee and shoulder arthroplasty. To date, only one study has explored radiographic STT as a predictive metric for identifying patients at risk of requiring revision surgery following primary TAA. Wu *et al*[56] performed a retrospective comparative study on 323 patients who underwent primary TAA between 2003 and 2019 (Table 4)[56]. Preoperative tibial tissue thickness was calculated as the distance from the posterior distal tibia to the anterior distal tibia, and preoperative talus tissue thickness was calculated as the distance from the lateral process of the talus to the head/neck junction of the talus. In patients who required revision surgery there was greater preoperative tibial and talus tissue compared to those not requiring revision. Furthermore, a multivariable logistic regression controlling for age, gender, BMI, American Society of Anesthesiologists classification, diabetes status, smoking status, primary diagnosis, and implant type demonstrated that both tibial tissue and talus tissue were significant predictors of revision surgery with tibial tissue thickness being the stronger of the two.

Implant malalignment may offer an explanation for STT being a predictor for revision following primary TAA. Implant malalignment can result in elevated edge loading, polyethylene wear, bearing subluxation, and premature failure of primary TAA. Additionally, malalignment can exacerbate any uneven distribution of force in the bone[57,58]. A retrospective study from Richter *et al*[59] examined primary TAA using a single-design three-component ankle implant in 1006 patients. The cumulative incidence of implant revision was 9.8%, and the most common indication for revision was instability at 34%. In this study, instability was defined as the progressive varus/valgus malalignment of the hindfoot and/or coronal malalignment of the talus in the ankle mortise[59]. Furthermore, a study from Clough *et al*[60] reported the clinical and radiological outcomes for 200 TAAs using the Scandinavian Total Ankle Replacement implant. Edge-loading of implants from coronal plane malalignment (varus or valgus) was the reason for revision surgery in 25% of patients. Three of their patients were required to have the polyethylene components exchanged due to excessive wear,

**Table 4 Soft-tissue thickness and complications in ankle arthroplasty**

Ref.	Type of study	Approach	Soft-tissue thickness measurement	Inter-rater and inter-observer reliabilities	Outcomes studied	Results
Wu <i>et al</i> [56] (2024)	Retrospective Study	Anterior	Posterior distal tibia to the anterior distal tibia (tibial tissue). Lateral process of talus to the head/neck junction of the talus (talus tissue)	Inter-observer Reliabilities: Tibial Tissue: 0.982; Talus Tissue: 0.935	Revision total ankle arthroplasty	Greater soft-tissue thickness was strong predictor of revision total ankle arthroplasty

and one patient was ultimately converted to an ankle fusion due to a late stress fracture that resulted in malalignment [60].

Increased STT could pose a challenge for proper alignment, as the increased thickness may result in orthopedic surgeons experiencing a higher degree of surgical complexity when properly positioning the implant within the ankle joint and performing soft tissue balancing. To this point, increased STT at the surgical site could impair visualization of the surgical field, further increasing surgical complexity when attempting to achieve proper alignment. A key limitation to address between the ankle joint in comparison to the hip and knee specifically, is the difference in soft-tissue coverage. Previous literature has underscored the importance of sufficient soft tissue coverage of the prosthesis in managing wound healing complication[61]. The ankle joint has significantly less soft tissue coverage compared to the hip and knee, and hence could affect the risk of complications following TAA. This reduced coverage means that while increased STT has been demonstrated to be predictive of complications in other lower-extremity arthroplasty, the specific anatomical characteristics of the ankle could alter these dynamics and warrants further research exploring this in greater depth. Overall, patients who experience greater STT may benefit from strategies aimed at managing the impact of STT on proper implant alignment through preoperative planning and intraoperative techniques[62].

## MEASUREMENT CONSIDERATIONS

Inter-rater reliability pertains to consistency of measurements between multiple raters, and intra-rater reliability refers to consistency of measurements between an individual rater. Inter- and intra-rater reliability is important in the ability to replicate results and can be quantified using coefficients examples include intra-class correlation and Pearson ( $r$ )[63]. In pre-operative planning, this is important, as lower reliability may cause inaccurate mapping and registration of anatomical landmarks, and thus leading to improper positioning of prostheses[64].

The ability to make accurate and repeatable measurements is often limited by the radiographs obtained. As discussed in prior sections, positioning of patients may affect measurements. In addition, presence of inflammation and hematoma may affect STT and other metrics[36]. Standard positioning and calibration practices may mitigate some discrepancies in radiographs.

Due to the variation in measurement methodology of STT, a standardized measurement technique may prove beneficial. For THA, STT measurements that have been described encompass taking distances between two structures or using radiographic lines and various ratios. For TKA, measurements also vary across studies, ranging from measuring thicknesses (*i.e.* PPT, pre-tubercular thickness, anterior STT, medial STT) to ratios (*i.e.* prepatellar fat thickness ratio, KAI, periarticular soft-tissue index, lower extremity girth)[36].

## FUTURE DIRECTIONS

STT has shown promise as a potential predictive tool for complications after TJA. However, accurately measuring the soft tissue is essential for respective validity and reliability. Accurate and consistent measures of STT allow for proper guidance in surgical planning and may help in understanding patient outcomes, allowing for enhanced preoperative patient counseling and expectation management. Measurements of STT have the potential to significantly enhance clinical decision-making by being integrated into existing clinical pathways. For example, incorporating STT indicators could help identify patients at higher risk for pressure ulcers or wound complications, allowing for early intervention and tailored management plans. Additionally, STT measurements could inform surgical planning by helping to customize treatment approaches based on individual soft tissue characteristics, improving outcomes and reducing complications. Overall, using STT data in risk assessment and patient management could lead to more personalized and effective care strategies.

Integrating artificial intelligence (AI) in preoperative assessment is a promising aspect for further research. Further resources and research with AI capability have shown its capabilities in analyzing image data at an accurate, reliable, and timely rate[65,66]. Therefore, AI can be a potential avenue to provide an accurate and precise way to deconstruct the complexity of the soft tissue envelope surrounding the joints. Additionally, redefining and standardizing current measurement techniques is essential for producing accurate and reproducible metrics. Additionally, developing ratios or indexes that account for individual baseline size in evaluating soft tissue distribution compared to simple measurements alone can make these metrics more accurate. Several ratios and indices have been proposed to account for variations in tissue distribution. In TKA patients, Wagner *et al*[23] examined a ratio comparing prepatellar fat to the patella itself to



account for patient size[23]. Similarly, Secrist *et al*[27] investigated the LEG ratio in TKA. In RSA, Wu *et al*[48] used a ratio comparing the size of the deltoid radius to the humeral head[48].

Several studies have explored the use of AI to evaluate various body parts including musculoskeletal structures[67,68]. Ning *et al*[69] employed a network model to accurately measure plantar STT in weight-bearing foot X-rays, demonstrating its potential for assessing foot health[69]. Additionally, foundation models have been explored as a possibility to address complex segmentation[67,68,70]. Foundation models are neural networks trained on vast data using creative learning objectives, enabling them to perform zero-shot learning on new data without traditional labels[68]. They have shown transformative abilities in natural language processing and demonstrated promising segmentation performance. For example, Gu *et al*[70] recently developed a deep-learning model using 8485 annotated slices to segment bone within MRI images[70]. These developments and models can be developed in the future to include STT and provide risk stratification.

Future studies should be implemented, ideally across multicenter samples, to fully enhance the clinical utility of measurements of STT and establish reliable and standardized protocols and thresholds. This additional research will optimally allow for confident and effective preoperative planning and risk stratification for TJA patients when STT is measured and used. Utilizing advanced imaging modalities can be a way to provide more detailed and consistent measurements. CT, already used in spine surgery to assess STT, can be further investigated for TJA[71]. CT scans can provide a more reproducible measurement of soft tissue around the joints. Future research must investigate whether CT scans can provide a better predictive measure for postoperative complications.

---

## CONCLUSION

This comprehensive review highlights the significance of preoperative STT as a predictive measure for complications following arthroplasty procedures, including total knee, hip, shoulder, and ankle replacements. The findings across studies generally indicate that increased STT is often associated with higher risks of postoperative complications such as infections, wound healing issues, and SSI. These complications can lead to extended hospital stays, increased healthcare costs, and the need for further surgical interventions. While BMI has traditionally been used to assess patient risk, it falls short in accurately representing local tissue composition relevant to specific joints. In contrast, STT provides a more precise measure, particularly with advancements in radiographic techniques such as MRI and CT scanning that allow for detailed preoperative assessment. Several studies have demonstrated that STT is a reliable predictor of complications, offering valuable insights for preoperative planning and patient management. However, variability in measurement methodologies and the need for standardized techniques highlight the necessity for further research. The integration of AI in analyzing STT could revolutionize preoperative assessments by providing accurate and timely evaluations of the soft tissue envelope surrounding the joints. Continued research is essential to refine these methods and fully realize the potential of STT in guiding preoperative planning and optimizing arthroplasty results.

---

## FOOTNOTES

**Author contributions:** Wu KA conceptualized the study; Pottayil F, Jing C, and Choudhury A collected the data and created the tables; Wu KA, Pottayil F, Jing C, Choudhury A and Anastasio AT drafted, critically reviewed, and edited the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the article for publication.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Country of origin:** United States

**ORCID number:** Kevin A Wu 0000-0001-8296-1152; Albert T Anastasio 0000-0001-5817-3826.

**S-Editor:** Liu H

**L-Editor:** A

**P-Editor:** Guo X

---

## REFERENCES

- 1 Shichman I, Roof M, Askew N, Nherera L, Rozell JC, Seyler TM, Schwarzkopf R. Projections and Epidemiology of Primary Hip and Knee Arthroplasty in Medicare Patients to 2040-2060. *JB JS Open Access* 2023; 8 [PMID: 36864906 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.OA.22.00112]
- 2 Nham FH, Patel I, Zalikha AK, El-Othmani MM. Epidemiology of primary and revision total knee arthroplasty: analysis of demographics, comorbidities and outcomes from the national inpatient sample. *Arthroplasty* 2023; 5: 18 [PMID: 37004093 DOI: ]

- 10.1186/s42836-023-00175-6]
- 3 **GBD 2021 Osteoarthritis Collaborators.** Global, regional, and national burden of osteoarthritis, 1990-2020 and projections to 2050: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. *Lancet Rheumatol* 2023; **5**: e508-e522 [PMID: 37675071 DOI: 10.1016/S2665-9913(23)00163-7]
  - 4 **Havelin LI,** Fenstad AM, Salomonsson R, Mehnert F, Furnes O, Overgaard S, Pedersen AB, Herbergs P, Kärrholm J, Garellick G. The Nordic Arthroplasty Register Association: a unique collaboration between 3 national hip arthroplasty registries with 280,201 THRs. *Acta Orthop* 2009; **80**: 393-401 [PMID: 19513887 DOI: 10.3109/17453670903039544]
  - 5 **Baek SH.** Identification and preoperative optimization of risk factors to prevent periprosthetic joint infection. *World J Orthop* 2014; **5**: 362-367 [PMID: 25035840 DOI: 10.5312/wjo.v5.i3.362]
  - 6 **Wu KA,** Kugelman DN, Seidelman JL, Seyler TM. Native Joint Septic Arthritis. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 2024; **13** [PMID: 39061278 DOI: 10.3390/antibiotics13070596]
  - 7 **Onggo JR,** Onggo JD, de Steiger R, Hau R. Greater risks of complications, infections, and revisions in the obese versus non-obese total hip arthroplasty population of 2,190,824 patients: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2020; **28**: 31-44 [PMID: 31705995 DOI: 10.1016/j.joca.2019.10.005]
  - 8 **Anastasio AT,** Wu KA, Kim BI, Nunley JA, DeOrio JK, Easley ME, Adams SB. A Propensity Score Matched Exploration of Infection in Primary Total Ankle Arthroplasty. *Foot Ankle Int* 2023; **44**: 1158-1165 [PMID: 37772926 DOI: 10.1177/10711007231198429]
  - 9 **Wu KA,** Kutzer KM, Kugelman DN, Seyler TM. Fall Prevention after Hip and Knee Arthroplasty. *Orthop Clin North Am* 2024 [DOI: 10.1016/j.ocl.2024.05.003]
  - 10 **Gidey K,** Gidey MT, Hailu BY, Gebreamlak ZB, Niriayo YL. Clinical and economic burden of healthcare-associated infections: A prospective cohort study. *PLoS One* 2023; **18**: e0282141 [PMID: 36821590 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0282141]
  - 11 **Lung BE,** Kanjiya S, Bisogno M, Komatsu DE, Wang ED. Risk factors for venous thromboembolism in total shoulder arthroplasty. *JSES Open Access* 2019; **3**: 183-188 [PMID: 31709360 DOI: 10.1016/j.jses.2019.07.003]
  - 12 **Foreman CW,** Callaghan JJ, Brown TS, Elkins JM, Otero JE. Total Joint Arthroplasty in the Morbidly Obese: How Body Mass Index  $\geq$ 40 Influences Patient Retention, Treatment Decisions, and Treatment Outcomes. *J Arthroplasty* 2020; **35**: 39-44 [PMID: 31530460 DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2019.08.019]
  - 13 **Rothman KJ.** BMI-related errors in the measurement of obesity. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2008; **32** Suppl 3: S56-S59 [PMID: 18695655 DOI: 10.1038/ijo.2008.87]
  - 14 **Correa-Valderrama A,** Stangl-Herrera W, Echeverry-Vélez A, Cantor E, Ron-Translateur T, Palacio-Villegas JC. Relationship between Body Mass Index and Complications during the First 45 Days after Primary Total Hip and Knee Replacement: A Single-Center Study from South America. *Clin Orthop Surg* 2019; **11**: 159-163 [PMID: 31156766 DOI: 10.4055/cios.2019.11.2.159]
  - 15 **Moran M,** Walmsley P, Gray A, Brenkel IJ. Does body mass index affect the early outcome of primary total hip arthroplasty? *J Arthroplasty* 2005; **20**: 866-869 [PMID: 16230236 DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2005.02.008]
  - 16 **Deshmukh RG,** Hayes JH, Pinder IM. Does body weight influence outcome after total knee arthroplasty? A 1-year analysis. *J Arthroplasty* 2002; **17**: 315-319 [PMID: 11938508 DOI: 10.1054/arth.2002.30776]
  - 17 **Ledford CK,** Millikan PD, Nickel BT, Green CL, Attarian DE, Wellman SS, Bolognesi MP, Queen RM. Percent Body Fat Is More Predictive of Function After Total Joint Arthroplasty Than Body Mass Index. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2016; **98**: 849-857 [PMID: 27194495 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.15.00509]
  - 18 **Ledford CK,** Ruberte Thiele RA, Appleton JS Jr, Butler RJ, Wellman SS, Attarian DE, Queen RM, Bolognesi MP. Percent body fat more associated with perioperative risks after total joint arthroplasty than body mass index. *J Arthroplasty* 2014; **29**: 150-154 [PMID: 24973929 DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2013.12.036]
  - 19 **Yamashita K,** Kondo T, Osugi S, Shimokata K, Maeda K, Okumura N, Matsudaira K, Shintani S, Muramatsu T, Matsushita K, Murohara T. The significance of measuring body fat percentage determined by bioelectrical impedance analysis for detecting subjects with cardiovascular disease risk factors. *Circ J* 2012; **76**: 2435-2442 [PMID: 22784998 DOI: 10.1253/circj.cj-12-0337]
  - 20 **Parikh S,** Pannu TS, Davis T, Gomez O, Corces A. Local Soft-Tissue Thickness vs. Body Mass Index as Predictors of Complications After Total Knee or Hip Arthroplasty: A Literature Review. *JBJS Rev* 2023; **11** [PMID: 38016004 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.RVW.22.00128]
  - 21 **Saini A,** Dbeis A, Bascom N, Sanderson B, Golden T. A Radiographic Abdominal Pannus Sign is Associated With Postoperative Complications in Anterior THA. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2023; **481**: 1014-1021 [PMID: 36218821 DOI: 10.1097/CORR.0000000000002447]
  - 22 **Vahedi H,** Ward DT, Lee YS, Shohat N, Chen AF. Greater Knee Soft Tissue Thickness Predisposes Patients to Subsequent Periprosthetic Joint Infection After Total Knee Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 2020; **35**: 1924-1927 [PMID: 32192832 DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2020.02.049]
  - 23 **Wagner RA,** Hogan SP, Burge JR, Bates CM, Sanchez HB. The Radiographic Prepatellar Fat Thickness Ratio Correlates With Infection Risk After Total Knee Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 2018; **33**: 2251-2255 [PMID: 29555491 DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2018.02.022]
  - 24 **Watts CD,** Houdek MT, Wagner ER, Taunton MJ. Subcutaneous Fat Thickness Is Associated With Early Reoperation and Infection After Total Knee Arthroplasty in Morbidly Obese Patients. *J Arthroplasty* 2016; **31**: 1788-1791 [PMID: 26989030 DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2016.02.008]
  - 25 **Yu S,** Siow M, Odeh K, Long WJ, Schwarzkopf R, Iorio R. Periarticular Soft Tissue Envelope Size and Postoperative Wound Complications Following Total Knee Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 2018; **33**: S249-S252 [PMID: 29550169 DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2018.02.046]
  - 26 **Gupta VK,** Kejrival R. Pretubercular Subcutaneous Thickness Is a Protective Factor for Superficial Wound Complications After Total Knee Arthroplasty in Nonmorbidly Obese Patients. *J Arthroplasty* 2020; **35**: 255-258 [PMID: 31558297 DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2019.08.046]
  - 27 **Secrist ES,** Baratz MD, Drew JM, Goldstein JM, Salava JK, Odum SM, Fehring TK. Lower Extremity Girth Does Not Predict Complications in TKA. *J Arthroplasty* 2021; **36**: 2765-2770 [PMID: 33888388 DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2021.03.039]
  - 28 **Shearer J,** Agius L, Burke N, Rahardja R, Young SW. BMI is a Better Predictor of Periprosthetic Joint Infection Risk Than Local Measures of Adipose Tissue After TKA. *J Arthroplasty* 2020; **35**: S313-S318 [PMID: 32139192 DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2020.01.048]
  - 29 **Hohmann E,** Bryant A, Tetsworth K. Anterior pelvic soft tissue thickness influences acetabular cup positioning with imageless navigation. *J Arthroplasty* 2012; **27**: 945-952 [PMID: 22036932 DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2011.09.017]
  - 30 **Della Valle AG,** Padgett DE, Salvati EA. Preoperative planning for primary total hip arthroplasty. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2005; **13**: 455-462 [PMID: 16272270 DOI: 10.5435/00124635-200511000-00005]
  - 31 **Barrett M,** Prasad A, Boyce L, Dawson-Bowling S, Achan P, Millington S, Hanna SA. Total hip arthroplasty outcomes in morbidly obese patients: A systematic review. *EFORT Open Rev* 2018; **3**: 507-512 [PMID: 30305935 DOI: 10.1302/2058-5241.3.180011]
  - 32 **Ponnusamy KE,** Somerville L, McCalden RW, Marsh J, Vasarhelyi EM. Revision Rates and Functional Outcome Scores for Severely, Morbidly, and Super-Obese Patients Undergoing Primary Total Hip Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *JBJS Rev* 2019; **7**:

- e11 [PMID: 31045688 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.RVW.18.00118]
- 33 **Patel VP**, Walsh M, Sehgal B, Preston C, Dewal H, Di Cesare PE. Factors Associated with Prolonged Wound Drainage After Primary Total Hip and Knee Arthroplasty. *The J Bone Joint Sur-Am Vol* 2007; **89**: 33-38 [DOI: 10.2106/00004623-200701000-00005]
- 34 **Surin VV**, Sundholm K, Bäckman L. Infection after total hip replacement. With special reference to a discharge from the wound. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1983; **65**: 412-418 [PMID: 6874711 DOI: 10.1302/0301-620X.65B4.6874711]
- 35 **Saleh K**, Olson M, Resig S, Bershadsky B, Kuskowski M, Gioe T, Robinson H, Schmidt R, McElfresh E. Predictors of wound infection in hip and knee joint replacement: results from a 20 year surveillance program. *J Orthop Res* 2002; **20**: 506-515 [PMID: 12038624 DOI: 10.1016/S0736-0266(01)00153-X]
- 36 **Rey Fernández L**, Angles Crespo F, Miguela Álvarez SM, Bernaus-Johnson MC, Bartra Ylla A, Font-Vizcarra L. Soft-tissue thickness radiographic measurement: a marker to evaluate acute periprosthetic joint infection risk in total hip replacement. *J Bone Jt Infect* 2021; **6**: 211-217 [PMID: 34159045 DOI: 10.5194/jbji-6-211-2021]
- 37 **Sezgin EA**, Ali AK, Ataoglu MB, Orhan Ö, Odluyurt M, Esen E. Novel radiographic hip fat thickness ratio correlates with early re-operation following total hip arthroplasty. *Hip Int* 2022; **32**: 62-66 [PMID: 33682484 DOI: 10.1177/1120700021991783]
- 38 **Sprowls GR**, Allen BC, Wilson TJ, Pruszyński JE, Hammonds KAP. Predictive value of lateral soft tissue thickness for complications after total hip arthroplasty with a lateral incision. *Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent)* 2020; **33**: 336-341 [PMID: 32675949 DOI: 10.1080/08998280.2020.1753455]
- 39 **Bell JA**, Jeong A, Bohl DD, Levine B, Della Valle C, Nam D. Does peritrochanteric fat thickness increase the risk of early reoperation for infection or wound complications following total hip arthroplasty? *J Orthop* 2019; **16**: 359-362 [PMID: 31011248 DOI: 10.1016/j.jor.2019.03.025]
- 40 **Sprowls GR**, Pruszyński JE, Allen BC. Distribution of Subcutaneous Fat Around the Hip in Relation to Surgical Approach for Total Hip Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 2016; **31**: 1213-1217 [PMID: 26781393 DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2015.12.015]
- 41 **Mayne AIW**, Cassidy RS, Magill P, Diamond OJ, Beverland DE. Increased fat depth is not associated with increased risk of surgical complications following total hip arthroplasty. *Bone Joint J* 2020; **102-B**: 1146-1150 [PMID: 32862677 DOI: 10.1302/0301-620X.102B9.BJJ-2020-0207.R1]
- 42 **Kennedy JG**, Rogers WB, Soffe KE, Sullivan RJ, Griffen DG, Sheehan LJ. Effect of acetabular component orientation on recurrent dislocation, pelvic osteolysis, polyethylene wear, and component migration. *J Arthroplasty* 1998; **13**: 530-534 [PMID: 9726318 DOI: 10.1016/s0883-5403(98)90052-3]
- 43 **Nishida K**, Suzuki T, Kakutani K, Yurube T, Maeno K, Kurosaka M, Doita M. Gene therapy approach for disc degeneration and associated spinal disorders. *Eur Spine J* 2008; **17** Suppl 4: 459-466 [PMID: 19005696 DOI: 10.1007/s00586-008-0751-5]
- 44 **Buck FM**, Jost B, Hodler J. Shoulder arthroplasty. *Eur Radiol* 2008; **18**: 2937-2948 [PMID: 18618117 DOI: 10.1007/s00330-008-1093-8]
- 45 **Kim SH**, Wise BL, Zhang Y, Szabo RM. Increasing incidence of shoulder arthroplasty in the United States. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2011; **93**: 2249-2254 [PMID: 22258770 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.J.01994]
- 46 **Wagner ER**, Farley KX, Higgins I, Wilson JM, Daly CA, Gottschalk MB. The incidence of shoulder arthroplasty: rise and future projections compared with hip and knee arthroplasty. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2020; **29**: 2601-2609 [PMID: 33190759 DOI: 10.1016/j.jse.2020.03.049]
- 47 **Bohsali KI**, Bois AJ, Wirth MA. Complications of Shoulder Arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2017; **99**: 256-269 [PMID: 28145957 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.16.00935]
- 48 **Wu KA**, Helmkamp J, Levin JM, Hurley ET, Goltz DE, Cook CE, Pean CA, Lassiter TE, Boachie-Adjei YD, Anakwenze O, Klifto C. Association between radiographic soft-tissue thickness and increased length of stay, operative time, and infection rate after reverse shoulder arthroplasty. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2024; **33**: 1267-1275 [PMID: 38036256 DOI: 10.1016/j.jse.2023.10.017]
- 49 **Sørensen LT**. Wound healing and infection in surgery. The clinical impact of smoking and smoking cessation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Surg* 2012; **147**: 373-383 [PMID: 22508785 DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.2012.5]
- 50 **Pugely AJ**, Martin CT, Gao Y, Schweizer ML, Callaghan JJ. The Incidence of and Risk Factors for 30-Day Surgical Site Infections Following Primary and Revision Total Joint Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 2015; **30**: 47-50 [PMID: 26071247 DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2015.01.063]
- 51 **Boddapati V**, Fu MC, Schairer WW, Gulotta LV, Dines DM, Dines JS. Revision Total Shoulder Arthroplasty is Associated with Increased Thirty-Day Postoperative Complications and Wound Infections Relative to Primary Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. *HSS J* 2018; **14**: 23-28 [PMID: 29398990 DOI: 10.1007/s11420-017-9573-5]
- 52 **Karzon AL**, Kadakia RJ, Coleman MM, Bariteau JT, Labib SA. The Rise of Total Ankle Arthroplasty Use: A Database Analysis Describing Case Volumes and Incidence Trends in the United States Between 2009 and 2019. *Foot Ankle Int* 2022; **43**: 1501-1510 [PMID: 36050924 DOI: 10.1177/10711007221119148]
- 53 **Wu KA**, Anastasio AT, Krez AN, O'Neill C, Adams SB, DeOrio JK, Easley ME, Nunley JA. Diabetic management and infection risk in total ankle arthroplasty. *Foot Ankle Surg* 2024; **30**: 552-556 [PMID: 38637171 DOI: 10.1016/j.fas.2024.04.008]
- 54 **Wu KA**, Anastasio AT, Lee D, Kim BI, Valan B, Krez AN, Adams SB, Nunley JA, Easley ME, DeOrio JK. Revision Total Ankle Arthroplasty Using the INBONE II System. *Foot Ankle Int* 2024; **45**: 557-566 [PMID: 38445584 DOI: 10.1177/10711007241232633]
- 55 **Shah JA**, Schwartz AM, Farley KX, Mahmoud K, Attia AK, Labib S, Kadakia RJ. Projections and Epidemiology of Total Ankle and Revision Total Ankle Arthroplasty in the United States to 2030. *Foot Ankle Spec* 2022; 19386400221109420 [PMID: 35833386 DOI: 10.1177/19386400221109420]
- 56 **Wu KA**, Anastasio AT, Krez AN, Kutzer KM, DeOrio JK, Easley ME, Nunley JA, Adams SB. Association of Radiographic Soft Tissue Thickness With Revision Total Ankle Arthroplasty Following Primary Total Ankle Arthroplasty: A Minimum of 5-year Follow-up. *Foot Ankle Orthop* 2024; **9**: 24730114241255351 [PMID: 38803651 DOI: 10.1177/24730114241255351]
- 57 **Gill LH**. Challenges in total ankle arthroplasty. *Foot Ankle Int* 2004; **25**: 195-207 [PMID: 15132926 DOI: 10.1177/107110070402500402]
- 58 **Wu KA**, Anastasio AT, DeOrio JK, Nunley JA, Easley ME, Adams SB. Exploring Revision Total Ankle Arthroplasty Failures A Comparison Between Failed and Successful Revision Cases. *Foot Ankle Spec* 2024; 19386400241274551 [PMID: 39305052 DOI: 10.1177/19386400241274551]
- 59 **Richter D**, Krähenbühl N, Susdorf R, Barg A, Ruiz R, Hintermann B. What Are the Indications for Implant Revision in Three-component Total Ankle Arthroplasty? *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2021; **479**: 601-609 [PMID: 33105302 DOI: 10.1097/CORR.0000000000001517]
- 60 **Clough T**, Bodo K, Majeed H, Davenport J, Karski M. Survivorship and long-term outcome of a consecutive series of 200 Scandinavian Total Ankle Replacement (STAR) implants. *Bone Joint J* 2019; **101-B**: 47-54 [PMID: 30601052 DOI: 10.1302/0301-620X.101B1.BJJ-2018-0801.R1]
- 61 **Gross CE**, Garcia R, Adams SB, DeOrio JK, Easley ME, Nunley JA 2nd. Soft Tissue Reconstruction After Total Ankle Arthroplasty. *Foot*

- Ankle Int* 2016; **37**: 522-527 [PMID: 26686238 DOI: 10.1177/1071100715624206]
- 62 **Gunaratnam C**, Bernstein M. Factors Affecting Surgical Decision-making-A Qualitative Study. *Rambam Maimonides Med J* 2018; **9** [PMID: 29406843 DOI: 10.5041/RMMJ.10324]
- 63 **Jung IH**, Yoon KW, Kim YJ, Lee SK. Analysis According to Characteristics of 18 Cases of Brachial Plexus Tumors : A Review of Surgical Treatment Experience. *J Korean Neurosurg Soc* 2018; **61**: 625-632 [PMID: 30196660 DOI: 10.3340/jkns.2018.0045]
- 64 **Ohashi H**, Matsuura M, Okamoto Y, Okajima Y. Intra- and intersurgeon variability in image-free navigation system for THA. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2009; **467**: 2305-2309 [PMID: 19381745 DOI: 10.1007/s11999-009-0833-7]
- 65 **Bonnin M**, Müller-Fouarge F, Estienne T, Bekadar S, Pouchy C, Ait Si Selmi T. Artificial Intelligence Radiographic Analysis Tool for Total Knee Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 2023; **38**: S199-S207.e2 [PMID: 36858127 DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2023.02.053]
- 66 **Batailler C**, Shatrov J, Sappey-Marinière E, Servien E, Parratte S, Lustig S. Artificial intelligence in knee arthroplasty: current concept of the available clinical applications. *Arthroplasty* 2022; **4**: 17 [PMID: 35491420 DOI: 10.1186/s42836-022-00119-6]
- 67 **He YT**, Huang FX, Jiang XR, Nie YX, Wang MH, Wang JG, Chen H. Foundation model for advancing healthcare: Challenges, opportunities, and future directions. 2024 preprint. Available from: arXiv:240403264 [DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2404.03264]
- 68 **Mazurowski MA**, Dong H, Gu H, Yang J, Konz N, Zhang Y. Segment anything model for medical image analysis: An experimental study. *Med Image Anal* 2023; **89**: 102918 [PMID: 37595404 DOI: 10.1016/j.media.2023.102918]
- 69 **Ning X**, Ru T, Zhu J, Wu L, Chen L, Ma X, Huang R. Deep learning-assisted segmentation of X-ray images for rapid and accurate assessment of foot arch morphology and plantar soft tissue thickness. *Sci Rep* 2024; **14**: 20028 [PMID: 39198590 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-024-71025-x]
- 70 **Gu H**, Colglazier R, Dong H, Zhang J, Chen Y, Yildiz Z. SegmentAnyBone: A Universal Model that Segments Any Bone at Any Location on MRI. 2024 preprint. Available from: arXiv:240112974 [DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2401.12974]
- 71 **Rojas CA**, Vermess D, Bertozzi JC, Whitlow J, Guidi C, Martinez CR. Normal thickness and appearance of the prevertebral soft tissues on multidetector CT. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2009; **30**: 136-141 [PMID: 19001541 DOI: 10.3174/ajnr.A1307]





Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**  
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-3991568  
**E-mail:** [office@baishideng.com](mailto:office@baishideng.com)  
**Help Desk:** <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

