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Abstract
The unique physicochemical properties inherent to nanoscale materials have unveiled numerous potential applications, spanning beyond the pharmaceutical and medical sectors into various consumer industries like food and cosmetics. Consequently, humans encounter nanomaterials through diverse exposure routes, giving rise to potential health considerations. Noteworthy among these materials are silica and specific metallic nanoparticles, extensively utilized in consumer products, which have garnered substantial attention due to their propensity to accumulate and induce adverse effects in the liver. This review paper aims to provide an exhaustive examination of the molecular mechanisms underpinning nanomaterial-induced hepatotoxicity, drawing insights from both in vitro and in vivo studies. Primarily, the most frequently observed manifestations of toxicity following the exposure of cells or animal models to various nanomaterials involve the initiation of oxidative stress and inflammation. Additionally, we delve into the existing in vitro models employed for evaluating the hepatotoxic effects of nanomaterials, emphasizing the persistent endeavors to advance and bolster the reliability of these models for nanotoxicology research.
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INTRODUCTION

In the rapidly advancing field of nanotechnology, the utilization of nanomaterials has become widespread across various industries, promising groundbreaking applications in medicine, electronics, and environmental science. Among the myriad potential benefits, the unique physicochemical properties of nanoparticles (NPs) have enabled remarkable achievements, from targeted drug delivery systems to innovative diagnostic tools. However, this surge in nanomaterial applications has brought forth concerns regarding their safety, particularly in the context of hepatotoxicity[1]. This comprehensive review aims to delve into the intricate landscape of nanoparticle-induced hepatotoxicity, exploring the diverse range of nanomaterials and their impacts on hepatic function. We will navigate through recent findings on prominent nanomaterials, including silver nanoparticles, carbon nanotubes, quantum dots, and gold nanoparticles, shedding light on the complex mechanisms underlying their hepatotoxic effects[2-4]. By examining the interplay between nanoparticles and liver cells, such as hepatocytes and Kupffer cells, this review seeks to provide a nuanced understanding of the potential risks associated with nanomaterial exposure.

NPs are classified into four main groups based on structural morphology: organic, inorganic, carbon-based, and composite[1,5]. Organic nanoparticles, derived from compounds like proteins and lipids, exhibit non-toxic and biodegradable properties, making them suitable for drug delivery, imaging, biosensors, and cancer treatment[5,6]. Inorganic nanoparticles, including metal-based, metal oxide-based, ceramic, and semiconductor nanoparticles, offer tailored electrical, optical, and magnetic properties for applications in biomedical science, catalysis, and imaging[5,7]. Quantum dots, semiconductor nanoparticles with size-dependent optoelectronic properties, find applications in electronic and biomedical industries[8,9]. Carbon-based nanoparticles, such as graphene, fullerenes, and carbon nanotubes, demonstrate unique structural configurations and are utilized in electrical and photonic devices, biomedical sciences, and nanocomposites[10,11]. Composite nanoparticles integrate different components, leading to unique physical and chemical properties, with three main categories: simple hybrid, core or shell structured, and multifunctional quantum nanoparticles, applied in electronics, optoelectronics, and biomedical sciences[12].

To ensure the safety of NPs within the human body, understanding their exposure route is crucial[13-15]. NPs can be orally exposed through food, drinks, supplements, or nanomedicines, with absorption occurring in organs like the stomach and small intestine. Factors like size, charge, and concentration influence absorption, with NPs under 100 nm diameter taken up directly through endocytosis in the small intestine. Inhalation is another exposure route, with NPs deposited in different regions of the respiratory tract, potentially translocating to other organs. Elimination of NPs from the lungs is complex and depends on physicochemical properties. Dermal exposure, through cosmetics and medications, is facilitated by the skin's permeability to nanoscale particles. Skin penetration varies based on factors like particle size and skin condition. Overall, understanding exposure routes is vital for assessing NP-induced toxicity and ensuring their safe utilization.

Various NPs exert hepatotoxic effects, with silica nanoparticles (SiNPs) showing size-dependent liver injury, synergies with other toxins, and impacts on cholesterol biosynthesis. Nickel oxide nanoparticles (NiO-NPs), tungsten trioxide nanoparticles (WO3 NPs), and copper oxide nanoparticles (Nano-CuO) induce oxidative stress-related liver damage, apoptosis, and genotoxicity[2,16-18]. Integrative omics analyses identify key proteins and disrupted metabolic pathways in SiNP-induced hepatotoxicity[19]. Zinc oxide (ZnO-NPs), titanium dioxide (TiO2NPs), magnesium oxide (MgO-NPs), aluminum oxide (Al2O3-NPs), chromium oxide (Cr2O3-NPs), and iron oxides (IONPs) exhibit diverse hepatotoxic mechanisms, including oxidative stress, endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, inflammation, and disruptions in metabolism[20-24]. Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) induce hepatotoxicity through inflammatory responses and oxidative stress, with variations in toxicity based on type and administration method[4]. Copper sulfide/cadmium sulfide nanoparticles (CuS/Cds-NPs), cobalt nanoparticles, and nanoclay particles also induce oxidative stress-mediated apoptosis and acute hepatotoxicity[25,26]. Various nanomaterials, such as nanocellulos, polystyrene nanoparticles, chitosan nanoparticles, hydroxyapatite nanoparticles, quantum dots, and gold nanoparticles, display hepatotoxicity through disrupted redox balance, altered metabolism, necrotic cell death, and impaired mitochondrial function[8,9,27-29]. The complexity of nanoparticle-induced hepatotoxicity highlights the need for comprehensive assessments and understanding for safe use.

In conclusion, this review not only synthesizes existing knowledge but also highlights critical gaps in understanding nanoparticle-induced hepatotoxicity. By proposing recommendations for future research, we aim to guide the scientific
Figure 1: Diagram showing NPs induced hepatotoxicity through crosstalk between endoplasmic reticulum stress, oxidative stress, autophagic and apoptotic pathways. AKT: Protein kinase B; ALR: Autophagic lysosome reformation; ATF 3/4/6: Activating transcription factor 3/4/6; Atg 5/12: Autophagy related gene 5/12; BAK: Bcl-2 homologues antagonist/killer; Bax: Bcl-2-associated X-protein; Bim: Bcl-2 interacting mediator of cell death; Casp 3/8/9: Caspase 3/8/9; CHOP: C/EBP Homologous Protein; CTSD: Cathepsin D; DDIT3: DNA damage inducible transcript 3; DR: Death receptor; EIF2AK3: Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2-alpha kinase 3; EIF2S1: Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 subunit 1; IRE1: Inositol-requiring enzyme type-1; LAMP1/2: Lysosome-associated membrane protein 1/2; LC3B: Microtubule-associated proteins 1A/1B light chain 3B; LC3II: LC3-phosphatidylethanolamine conjugate; PERK: Protein kinase RNA like endoplasmic reticulum kinase; PGC1α: Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1 alpha; P: Phosphate; Parkin: Parkin RBR E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase; PINK1: PTEN induced kinase; PIP5K1B: Phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate 5 kinase type 1 beta; PM: Plasma membrane; PUMA: p53 upregulated modulator of apoptosis; TFAM: Mitochondrial transcription factor A; TFEB: Transcription factor EB; XBP1/1S: X box binding protein 1/1S.

Community toward developing safer nanomaterials and fostering responsible practices in nanotechnology. As the field continues to evolve, this exploration into nanotoxicology endeavors to contribute to the ethical and sustainable advancement of nanotechnology.

MAJOR TYPES AND APPLICATIONS OF NANOPARTICLES

Nanoparticles are categorized into four groups based on structural morphology: Organic, inorganic, carbon-based, and composite. Some of the most important types of nanoparticles are listed below:

**Organic nanoparticles**

Organic nanoparticles, derived from compounds like proteins, carbohydrates, lipids, and polymers, encompass micelles, dendrimers, liposomes, nanogels, polymeric NPs, and ferritin[]. Generally non-toxic and biodegradable, they may have a hollow core, such as liposomes, and are sensitive to thermal and electromagnetic radiation. Formed through non-covalent interactions, these labile organic NPs are easily cleared from the body. Nanospheres or nano-capsules, common polymeric forms, collectively referred to as labeled polymorphic NPs, possess properties like a high surface area to volume ratio, stability, inertness, ease of functionalization, and unique optical, electrical, and magnetic behaviors, making them suitable for applications in drug delivery, imaging, biosensors, and cancer treatment[].

**Inorganic nanoparticles**

Inorganic nanoparticles, devoid of carbon atoms, are hydrophilic, non-toxic, and biocompatible, providing high mechanical strength and stability. Precise control over size, shape, and composition allows researchers to design nanoparticles with tailored electrical, optical, and magnetic properties for targeted biomedical applications[].

**Metal-based nanoparticles**

Metal-based nanoparticles, derived from various metals through disruptive or constructive methods and typically ranging in size from 10 to 100 nm, including aluminum (Al), cadmium (Cd), cobalt (Co), copper
Das SK et al. Nanoparticle and hepatotoxicity

Figure 2 Diagram showing nanoparticles induced hepatotoxicity through inflammatory pathway and its crosstalk with oxidative stress, endoplasmic reticulum stress and apoptotic pathways. AP1: Activator protein 1; Apaf-1: Apoptotic peptidase activating factor 1; ASK1: Apoptosis signal-regulating kinase 1; ATF3/4: Activating transcription factor 3/4; BAK: Bcl-2 homologues antagonist/killer; Bax: Bcl-2-associated X-protein; Bcl2: B-cell lymphoma 2; BclXL: B-cell lymphoma-extra-large; Bim: Bcl-2 interacting mediator of cell death; Ca++: Calcium ion; Casp 3,6,7,9: Caspase 3,6,7,9; Casp 12: Caspase 12; CHOP: C/EBP Homologous Protein; CyC: Cytochrome C; eIF2α: Eukaryotic initiation factor 2 alpha; GSDMS: Gasdermins; IKK: IκB kinase; IκB: Inhibitor of nuclear factor kappa; IL-6/18: Interleukin 6/18; IL-1β: Interleukin 1β; IRE1: Inositol-requiring enzyme type 1; JNK: Jun N-terminal kinase; mtROS: Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species; NFκβ: Nuclear factor kappa beta; NLRP3: NOD-like receptor protein 3; NOXA: Phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate-induced protein 1; NPs- Nanoparticles; p53: Tumor suppressor protein p53; PERK: Protein kinase RNA like endoplasmic reticulum kinase; Pro-Casp 1: Pro- Caspase 1; Pro-IL-1β: Pro-Interleukin 1β; Pro-Interleukin 1 and Pro-IL-1β: Pro-Interleukin 1; PUMA: p53 upregulated modulator of apoptosis; ROS: Reactive Oxygen Species; TNFR: Tumor necrosis factor receptor; TNFα: Tumor necrosis factor alpha; TRAF2: TNF receptor associated factor 2.

(Cu), gold (Au), iron (Fe), lead (Pb), silver (Ag), and zinc (Zn), exhibit unique optoelectrical properties due to localized surface plasmon resonance[31,32]. Specifically, alkali and noble metals like Cu, Ag, and Au, when utilized in nanoparticle construction, show significant absorption in the visible region of the solar spectrum[33]. The synthesis of metal nanoparticles with specified facets, sizes, and forms necessitates controlled conditions, and their advanced optical properties make them versatile across various research domains[34]. These nanoparticles, distinguished by their small dimensions and surface properties, including pore size, surface charge, etc., find applications in biomedical science, such as cancer treatment, disease diagnostics, radiation enhancement, drug delivery, and gene transport[35].

Metal oxide based nanoparticles: Metal oxide nanoparticles result from modifying the properties of metal-based nanoparticles. These nano-scale oxide oxides find diverse applications in fluorescence, optical sensors, catalysts, biomedicine, gas sensors, and fuel cell anode materials[36,37,38]. Various synthesis methods, including inert gas condensation, co-precipitation, and lithography, have been used, but traditional methods often lack control over morphological structure, affecting essential nanomaterial properties[39,40].

Ceramic nanoparticles: Ceramic nanoparticles, resistant to environmental stresses, form with a solid core through heat or a combination of heat and pressure, incorporating metallic or non-metallic elements[41,42]. Typically composed of inorganic compounds like silica or alumina, they may also include metals and metal oxides, yielding diverse nanomolecules with varying shapes, sizes, and porosities. Engineered to evade the reticuloendothelial system, ceramic NPs undergo size and surface composition modifications[43]. Widely used in medical applications, ceramics such as calcium phosphates, alumina, silica iron oxides, carbones, and titanium dioxide have been found[44]. Ceramics also play an important role in various applications in photocatalysis, dye photodegradation, imaging, and catalysis[45]. Researchers aim to develop advanced ceramics with minimal cytotoxicity and enhanced biocompatibility, addressing challenges through innovative strategies that integrate ceramic nanoparticles with biocompatible materials, considering characteristics like shape, size, and physicochemical attributes[46].
Graphene, a two-dimensional carbon allotrope, is a single layer of carbon atoms arranged in a hexagonal
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Fullerenes, a molecular form of carbon allotrope, consists of Cn clusters (n > 20) arranged on a spherical surface with carbon atoms at pentagon and hexagon vertices[54]. The extensively studied C60 fullerene, composed of 60 carbon atoms, is highly symmetric and spherical, with a 0.7 nm diameter and sp2 hybridized carbon atoms. Exhibiting exceptional symmetry and stability, fullerenes have 20 tetrapods, 12 pentagon axes, and 30 heptagon axes[54]. These unique properties position fullerenes as promising nanoparticles widely utilized in biomedical sciences, acting as inhibitors for human immunodeficiency virus, contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging, and sensitizers for photodynamic therapy[5,55].

Carbon nanotubes:CNTs, unique in carbon-based nanomaterials, possess versatile characteristics like length, diameter, chirality, and layer number, showcasing exceptional properties and widespread applications. Composed of graphite, CNTs, typically with at least two layers and an outer diameter ranging from 3 nm to 30 nm, are divided into two categories: single-walled nanotubes (SWCNTs) and multi-walled nanotubes (MWCNTs). SWCNTs, with a diameter of around 1 nm, exhibit high electrical conductivity, mechanical strength, and thermal conductivity due to their nearly one-dimensional structure, indicated by a length-to-diameter ratio of approximately 1000[56]. MWCNTs, robust cylindrical structures with a minimum diameter of 100 nm, demonstrate resilience and diverse structures rooted in graphene sheets, with an interlayer distance resembling that in graphite, about 3.3 Å. The initial proposal for gram-scale synthesis of double-walled carbon nanotubes in 2003 involved chemical vapor deposition, selectively reducing oxide solid solutions in methane and hydrogen[10,56-58]. Applications of CNTs include bicables, AFM tips, hydrogen storage, electrochemical electrodes, nanocomposites, field emission displays, and diverse electrical devices[59].

Composite nanoparticles

Composite nanoparticles are produced via the integration of two or more different components. The components bear different properties at the nanoscale level. This integration of diverse components eliminates the limitation of individual components which enables researchers to produce nanomaterials with specific properties and uses. These NPs exhibit unique physical and chemical properties and each component has strong mutual coupling effects on the other. The chemical properties of composite nanoparticles depend on their composition and structure. The mutual coupling effect between the components of composite NPs can lead to changes in the chemical properties of composite NPs[12]. Composite NPs are used in a variety of applications including electronics, optoelectronics, and biomedical sciences[60]. Composite nanoparticles can be classified into three main categories based on their structural features:

Semiconductor nanoparticles:Semiconductor nanoparticles, possessing hybrid characteristics of metals and nonmetals, have garnered attention for their versatility in diverse applications[50,51]. Their crucial bandgap, adjustable by researchers, makes them valuable in photocatalysis, photo optics, and electronic devices[52]. Additionally, their nanoscale dimensions provide benefits such as increased surface area-to-volume ratio, enhanced quantum confinement effects, and improved catalytic activity, contributing to exceptional performance in various applications[53].

Quantum dots:Quantum dots (QDs), semiconductor nanoparticles with size- and composition-dependent optoelectronic properties (1.5 to 10.0 nm), play a significant role in the electronic and biomedical industries[8]. Their success is attributed to superior features like photostability, size-dependent optical properties, high extinction coefficient, brightness, and a large Stokes shift, overcoming limitations of organic dyes. QDs due to their ultrasmall size, are well-suited for imaging and biosensing applications. They facilitate the development of multimodal/multifunctional probes with increased surface area for optical trackability in vitro and in vivo, designed to detect pH, metal ions, DNA, and enzyme activity, and deliver various therapeutics[8].

Carbon-based nanoparticles
Carbon-based nanoparticles encompass five main materials: carbon nanotubes, graphene, fullerenes, carbon nanofiber, and carbon black, each with unique structural configurations and diverse applications in nanotechnology.

Graphene: Graphene, a two-dimensional carbon allotrope, is a single layer of carbon atoms arranged in a hexagonal lattice with exceptional properties, such as elasticity, mechanical strength, and unparalleled thermal and electrical conductivity. Synthesized in the laboratory, it forms a 1nm-wide honeycomb lattice, exhibiting semiconductor properties without an effective mass and zero band gap. Graphene demonstrates an ambipolar electric field effect, with a breaking strength of 42 Nm⁻¹ and a Young's modulus of approximately 1.0, making it the strongest material ever tested. These attributes position graphene as a promising material for electrical and photonic devices, sensing platforms, and clean energy applications[5].

Fullerene: Fullerenes, a molecular form of carbon allotrope, consists of Cn clusters (n > 20) arranged on a spherical surface with carbon atoms at pentagon and hexagon vertices[54]. The extensively studied C60 fullerene, composed of 60 carbon atoms, is highly symmetric and spherical, with a 0.7 nm diameter and sp2 hybridized carbon atoms. Exhibiting exceptional symmetry and stability, fullerenes have 20 tetrapods, 12 pentagon axes, and 30 heptagon axes[54]. These unique properties position fullerenes as promising nanoparticles widely utilized in biomedical sciences, acting as inhibitors for human immunodeficiency virus, contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging, and sensitizers for photodynamic therapy[5,55].

Lipid-based nanoparticles: Lipid-based nanoparticles (LBNPs), typically 10-100 nm in diameter, consist of a lipid core surrounded by lipophilic molecules, finding applications in oncology and biomedicine[47]. Liposomes, a key type of LBNP, use a phospholipid bilayer for enhanced drug solubility and stability, accommodating both hydrophobic and hydrophilic molecules. Incorporating cholesterol improves stability, decreases fluidity, and enhances permeability for hydrophobic drugs in liposomal formulations[48]. Solid lipid nanoparticles, sized between 50-1000 nm, and composed of physiologically lipids in a solid state, offer a compelling alternative for drug delivery, featuring a matrix of mono-, di-, or triglycerides, fatty acids, and complex glyceride mixtures, with stability ensured by surfactants or polymers[49].
Nanoparticles have been observed to exert their effect on human health, primarily via dermal contact or inhalation. The elimination procedure of NPs is very complex and lengthy and depends on its physicochemical properties. The larger particles which are deposited at the extra-thoracic and intrathoracic bifurcation, have been trapped in the mucus layer and transported through the mucociliary escalator into the pharyngeal region. These mucus-laden NPs are then swallowed and enter into the gastrointestinal tract for further processing. The smaller particles in bronchioles and alveoli undergo mucus-associated transport and are then phagocytosed by alveolar macrophage. However, if these strategies are un inorder to reduce the toxicity, the lung defense system becomes stronger and eventually causes lung tissue damage.

EXPOSURE ROUTES OF NANOPARTICLES

A myriad number of nanoparticles are manufactured from diverse materials to serve a multitude of purposes, it is crucial to understand the degree and mechanism of nanoparticle-induced toxicity, it is essential to understand their route of exposure, toxicological profile, and fate in the human body. The route of exposure also acts as a crucial factor in deciding the potential toxicity of NPs. The potential routes of NP exposure are as follows:

Oral exposure
Oral Exposure of NPs occurs following intake of food, drinks, or additives and supplements containing NPs, swallowing of inhaled NPs, or oral administration of nanomedicines or nano-formulations. These particles are then passed through the following organs esophagus, stomach, small intestine, and large intestine, and are readily absorbed in the stomach epithelial cells[13,14,63]. However, the absorption rate of NPs depends on multiple factors such as shape and size, concentration, pH of the medium, etc. The size and charge of the NPs also influence the absorption rate; positively charged NPs were captured through negatively charged mucus, whereas, negatively charged nano-molecules easily entered the mucus layer. Particle size also plays a crucial role because larger NPs required more for ingestion as well as digestion[64,65]. It has been observed that NPs lower than 100 nm diameter, are directly taken up by endocytosis through regular epithelial cells of the small intestine[66,67]. Absorption can also occur through epithelial cells of Peyer’s patches in the gut-associated lymphoid tissue. Some other research studies proposed that oral intake of NPs could be absorbed in the gastrointestinal tract, from where the particles can transmigrate to the liver and spleen via lymph nodes.

Inhalation
Nanoparticles have been observed to exert their effect on human health, primarily via dermal contact or inhalation. The larger particles which are deposited at the extra-thoracic and intrathoracic bifurcation, have been trapped in the mucus layer and transported through the mucociliary escalator into the pharyngeal region. These mucus-laden NPs are then swallowed and enter into the gastrointestinal tract for further processing. The smaller particles in bronchioles and alveoli undergo mucus-associated transport and are then phagocytosed by alveolar macrophage. However, if these strategies are unable to reduce the toxicity, the lung defense system becomes stronger and eventually causes lung tissue damage[11,26,70]. The elimination procedure of NPs is very complex and lengthy and depends on its physicochemical properties. The larger particles which are deposited at the extra-thoracic and intrathoracic bifurcation, have been trapped in the mucus layer and transported through the mucociliary escalator into the pharyngeal region. These mucus-laden NPs are then swallowed and enter into the gastrointestinal tract for further processing. The smaller particles in bronchioles and alveoli undergo mucus-associated transport and are then phagocytosed by alveolar macrophage. However, if these strategies are unable to reduce the toxicity, the lung defense system becomes stronger and eventually causes lung tissue damage[11,26,70]. The elimination procedure of NPs is very complex and lengthy and depends on its physicochemical properties. The larger particles which are deposited at the extra-thoracic and intrathoracic bifurcation, have been trapped in the mucus layer and transported through the mucociliary escalator into the pharyngeal region. These mucus-laden NPs are then swallowed and enter into the gastrointestinal tract for further processing. The smaller particles in bronchioles and alveoli undergo mucus-associated transport and are then phagocytosed by alveolar macrophage. However, if these strategies are unable to reduce the toxicity, the lung defense system becomes stronger and eventually causes lung tissue damage[11,26,70]. The elimination procedure of NPs is very complex and lengthy and depends on its physicochemical properties. The larger particles which are deposited at the extra-thoracic and intrathoracic bifurcation, have been trapped in the mucus layer and transported through the mucociliary escalator into the pharyngeal region. These mucus-laden NPs are then swallowed and enter into the gastrointestinal tract for further processing. The smaller particles in bronchioles and alveoli undergo mucus-associated transport and are then phagocytosed by alveolar macrophage. However, if these strategies are unable to reduce the toxicity, the lung defense system becomes stronger and eventually causes lung tissue damage[11,26,70]. The elimination procedure of NPs is very complex and lengthy and depends on its physicochemical properties. The larger particles which are deposited at the extra-thoracic and intrathoracic bifurcation, have been trapped in the mucus layer and transported through the mucociliary escalator into the pharyngeal region. These mucus-laden NPs are then swallowed and enter into the gastrointestinal tract for further processing. The smaller particles in bronchioles and alveoli undergo mucus-associated transport and are then phagocytosed by alveolar macrophage. However, if these strategies are unable to reduce the toxicity, the lung defense system becomes stronger and eventually causes lung tissue damage[11,26,70]. The elimination procedure of NPs is very complex and lengthy and depends on its physicochemical properties. The larger particles which are deposited at the extra-thoracic and intrathoracic bifurcation, have been trapped in the mucus layer and transported through the mucociliary escalator into the pharyngeal region. These mucus-laden NPs are then swallowed and enter into the gastrointestinal tract for further processing. The smaller particles in bronchioles and alveoli undergo mucus-associated transport and are then phagocytosed by alveolar macrophage. However, if these strategies are unable to reduce the toxicity, the lung defense system becomes stronger and eventually causes lung tissue damage[11,26,70]. The elimination procedure of NPs is very complex and lengthy and depends on its physicochemical properties. The larger particles which are deposited at the extra-thoracic and intrathoracic bifurcation, have been trapped in the mucus layer and transported through the mucociliary escalator into the pharyngeal region. These mucus-laden NPs are then swallowed and enter into the gastrointestinal tract for further processing. The smaller particles in bronchioles and alveoli undergo mucus-associated transport and are then phagocytosed by alveolar macrophage. However, if these strategies are unable to reduce the toxicity, the lung defense system becomes stronger and eventually causes lung tissue damage[11,26,70]. The elimination procedure of NPs is very complex and lengthy and depends on its physicochemical properties. The larger particles which are deposited at the extra-thoracic and intrathoracic bifurcation, have been trapped in the mucus layer and transported through the mucociliary escalator into the pharyngeal region. These mucus-laden NPs are then swallowed and enter into the gastrointestinal tract for further processing. The smaller particles in bronchioles and alveoli undergo mucus-associated transport and are then phagocytosed by alveolar macrophage. However, if these strategies are unable to reduce the toxicity, the lung defense system becomes stronger and eventually causes lung tissue damage[11,26,70]. The elimination procedure of NPs is very complex and lengthy and depends on its physicochemical properties. The larger particles which are deposited at the extra-thoracic and intrathoracic bifurcation, have been trapped in the mucus layer and transported through the mucociliary escalator into the pharyngeal region. These mucus-laden NPs are then swallowed and enter into the gastrointestinal tract for further processing. The smaller particles in bronchioles and alveoli undergo mucus-associated transport and are then phagocytosed by alveolar macrophage. However, if these strategies are unable to reduce the toxicity, the lung defense system becomes stronger and eventually causes lung tissue damage[11,26,70].
The existing evidence suggests that NPs with a diameter of about 4 nm can permeate intact skin whereas, when the size grows up to 45 nm, NPs can only permeate via impaired or injured skin[73]. Beneath the dermal layer rich with blood vessels, macrophages, lymph vessels, dendritic cells, and nerve endings. Consequently, particles absorbed beneath distinct layers of the skin undergo swift transport within diverse circulatory systems[1].

NPS MEDIATED HEPATOTOXICITY

Silica nanoparticles

A series of investigations revealed that the administration of silica nanoparticles with smaller diameters (30 nm) exhibited more liver injury or lethality compared to larger ones (1000 nm)[2,74,75]. Suggesting an inverse correlation between the silica nanoparticle size and hepatotoxicity. Also in combinatorial toxicity assessment, SP30 (30 nm), the smallest NPs was found to synergize the other known chemical liver toxins (carbon tetrachloride, paraquat, cisplatin) in causing hepatic damage[75]. In another study, increased biodistribution with reduced urinary excretion was observed for lower aspect ratio of mesoporous silicon nanoparticles[76]. In an *in vitro* study when four amorphous SiNPs with different surface areas were applied on HepG2 cells, a clear perturbation in cholesterol biosynthesis was observed. Increased cholesterol biosynthesis was found to be directly proportional to the increased surface area, which might have an impact on steroidogenesis and bile formation[19]. In a metabolomic study, the same group demonstrated amorphous SiNPs mediated depletion of glutathione, NADPH oxidase mediated reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, and alterations in antioxidant profile indicating perturbation of glutathione metabolism and glutathione pool in hepatocytes[77].

In a dose and time-dependent manner, mesoporous SiNPs (MSN) caused cytotoxicity in L-02 cells. In NLRP3 knockout mice and caspase-1 knockout mouse model, MSN-promoted inflammation and hepatotoxicity were found to be abolished compared to the normal mice, suggesting mSiNPs mediated ROS overproduction followed by activated NOD-like receptor protein 3 (NLRP3) inflammasome, resulting into pyroptosis through caspase-1 activation[78]. Rat exposed to silica NPs compared to control exhibited altered liver biochemical parameters such as elevated levels of low-density lipoproteins (LDL), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and alanine aminotransferasa (ALT) along with procalcitonin, iron, phosphorus, and potassium concentration. Histological modifications include Hydroptic degeneration, Karyopyknosis, Sinusoidal dilatation, Hyperplasia of Kupffer cells, and infiltration of inflammatory cells with lowered liver index. Also negatively affects the expression of phase I and phase II drug metabolizing and drug transporter genes (slc2a1, cxpa12, egf2x, nat2)[79].

Kupffer cells are well-known resident macrophages of the liver, contributing to the maintenance of liver normal physiological activity and homeostasis. Excessive accumulation of ROS and simultaneous release of bioactive mediators (H$_2$O$_2$, NO, and TNFα) indicates SiO$_2$NPs mediated activation and hyperplasia of KCs. BRL cells exhibited reduced viability, and structural alterations along with elevated levels of marker enzymes [lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), AST] when co-cultured (contactless) with SiNPs activated KCs, clearly suggesting that KCs activated by SiO$_2$NPs can cause liver injury via the release of H$_2$O$_2$, NO, and TNFα. In addition to that, infiltration of inflammatory cells and subsequent increase of TNFα, monocyte, lymphocytes, and neutrophils in the liver can be correlated with SiNPs activated KCs mediated inflammation in the liver[80].

Analysis of 1H nuclear magnetic resonance (1H NMR) results, unveiled lipid metabolism disorder in rats receiving intratracheal instillation of SiNPs causing hepatotoxicity in a dose-dependent manner. Biochemical analysis showed a significant increase in ALT, AST, triglyceride (TG), and LDL-C levels but a decrease in HDL-C levels in the treated group. Ten metabolic pathways were affected due to treatment, including the metabolism of amino acids (glutamate, cysteine, aspartate), purines, and glucose-alanine cycle that resulted in the production of 11 different metabolites compared to control[81].

Autophagy-mediated liver toxicity involves autophagic lysosomal reformation (ALR) an event where anomalous autophagy fails to terminate, which results in a persistent accumulation of enlarged autolysosomes. Mouse hepatocytes on exposure to SiO$_2$NPs prevent conversion of PI(4)P to PI(4,5)P2 on enlarged autolysosomal membrane due to loss of PI(3)K1B, also clathrin fails to be recruited, leading to suppression of ALR and resulted into enlarged autolysosomes[82]. The molecular mechanism behind SiNp-induced autophagosome synthesis, accumulation, and autophagic dysfunction was worked out on L-02 cells. When treated with different concentrations of SiNPs, readily get internalized and induce ROS production, which in turn causes ER stress and UPR. Ureguplated expressions of ATF4 and DDIT3 indicate involvement of EIF2A3 and ATF6 pathway but not ERN1-XBP1 pathway. ATF4 and DDIT3 then transcriptionally upregulate expressions of LC3B and ATG12 (autophagic genes) that result in autophagosomes formation[83]. In HepG2 cells accumulation of amorphous SiNPs in mitochondria leads to excessive ROS generation that in turn triggers autophagy and autophagic cell death in hepatocytes via the phosphorylatedinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/serine/threonine kinase/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway[84].

Overexpression of p53, bax, and caspase-3 in contrast to bcl-2 downregulation along with ROS generation in HepG2 cells insulted with SiNPs suggests activation of cell cycle check point genes and apoptotic pathway in accordance to cytotoxicity due to oxidative stress. Restoration of cell viability with an altered apoptotic marker profile was observed in the same cell when co-treated with vitamin C, a ROS scavenger[85]. Amorphous SiNPs exposure to human cells (HL-7702) and rat cells (BRL-3A) showed elevated expression of p53, Bax, cleaved caspase-3, and negative expression of Bcl-2 and caspase-3 levels, with increased ROS generation and decreased GSH level indicating oxidative stress-mediated cytotoxicity that leads to apoptotic activation via p53/casp-3/Bax/Bcl-2 pathway. Human liver cells exhibited more sensitivity than rat liver cells[86].
Compared to normal mice, SiNPs exhibited more severe effects in the liver of metabolic syndrome mice though improved insulin resistance. It has been established that SiNP exposure can accelerate liver damage in metabolic syndrome mice following deposition to mitochondria which results in mitochondrial injury and overproduction of ROS. That aggravated liver fibrosis (higher collagen deposition), hepatic ballooning, DNA damage (genotoxicity), and infiltration of inflammatory cells[87]. A recent study reveals SiNPs induced hepatotoxicity via perturbing mitochondrial quality control (MQC) process, promoting excessive mitochondrial fission (DRP1, FIS1, and MFN2 were up-regulated under SiNPs exposure, but MFN1 was down-regulated), mitophagy disorder (PINK/Parkin signaling, up-regulated PINK1 and p-Parkin, as well as an enhanced conversion of LC3B-I to LC3B-II) and downregulating mitochondrial biogenesis (inhibited mitochondrial biogenesis via PGC1α-NRF1-TFAM signaling, decline PGC1α, NRF1 and TFAM), leading to mitochondrial dysfunction followed by hepatocyte damage and liver bioxidtivity[88]. From the above findings, it can be speculated that mitochondrial injury & instability in hepatocytes due to SiNP exposure resulted in liver oxidative stress.

Recent in vitro as well as in vivo investigation results indicate silicon NP insult can trigger LDH, ALT, and AST in serum concentration owing to hepatic damage. Compromised antioxidant enzyme profile [catalase (CAT), SOD, and GPx] with elevated levels of oxidative stress markers [NO, malondialdehyde (MDA), PCO, and H2O2] and MDA levels are engaged in hepatic ROS production[89]. Altered hepatic metabolism is observed in both free fatty acid - treated L-O2 cells and ApoE/- mice model receiving SiNps treatment. Increased fatty acid biosynthesis, lipid deposition, liver total cholesterol/TG index along with decreased β-oxidation and lipid efflux resulting into perturbated lipid metabolism can be corroborated with the induction of oxidative stress-related liver injuries, may help the acceleration of liver diseases like metabolic associated fatty liver disease[90]. More up-regulated expressions of pro-apoptotic genes (Bax, p53, Caspase-9/3) and downregulated anti-apoptotic genes Bcl-2 along with histopathological alterations of the liver such as sinusoidal dilatation, Kupffer cell hyperplasia, infiltration of inflammatory cells strongly indicates SiNPs induced hepatic toxicity via ROS-activated caspase signaling pathway, leading to induction of apoptosis in the liver[91]. Through integrative proteomic and metabolomic analyses, Zhu et al[91] identified key proteins (RPL3, HSP90AA1, SOD, PGK1, GOT1, PNP) indicative of abnormal protein synthesis, oxidative stress, and metabolic dysfunction in SiNP-induced hepatotoxicity. Metabolomic data revealed disruptions in vital metabolites [glucose, alanine, GSH, CTP, adenosine triphosphate (ATP)]. Bioinformatic analysis highlighted disturbances in glucose and amino acid metabolism, suggesting potential external stress of oxidative stress and liver injury. Key proteins associated with SiNP-induced hepatotoxicity include SOD, TKT, PGM1, GOT1, PNP, and NME2[91]. This study underscores the powers of integrative omics analyses for nanoparticle toxicity assessments. Follow Table 1 for a comprehensive account.

**Metal oxides nanoparticles**

Consult Tables 2-10 for a comprehensive account of different metal oxide-induced hepatotoxicity.

**Nickel oxides nanoparticles** The findings of several stress assays, liver function tests, and histopathology analyses make it abundantly evident that rats given NiO-NPs experience nitrative stress and oxidative stress-related liver damage[92, 93]. Chang et al[16] demonstrated that the liver cells of rats injected with NiO underwent ER stress and that this brought about the induction of apoptosis via many routes, including the PERK/eIF-2α, IRE-1α/XBP-1S, and caspase-12/-9/-3 pathways. A different investigation using a comparable experimental design found that the nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) signaling pathway is associated with hepatotoxicity[94].

In the HepG2 cells model, NiONPs caused cytotoxicity through ROS production and Bax/Bcl-2 pathway-mediated apoptotic induction. Also treated cells exhibited micronuclei formation, chromatin condensation, and DNA damage suggesting NiONPs mediated genotoxicity[95]. NiO was additionally found to induce hypoxic stress in the same human liver cells in a concentration-dependent manner, as evidenced by the activation of hallmark candidate genes, hypoxia-inducible transcription factor-1α (HIF-1α), and miR-210 microRNA and decreased levels of ribosome biogenesis. Nitric oxide (NO) levels that were too high caused Ca++ influx, which in turn led to mitochondrial instability and oxidative stress, further encouraging lysosomal degradation in connection with autophagic processes. Subsequently led to the development of apoptosis via the p53 and MAPKAPK-2 signaling pathways[96]. Rat liver and HepG2 cells under NanoNiO exposure resulted in hepatic fibrosis. Upregulation of transforming growth factor β1 (TGF-β1), Smad2, Smad3, alpha-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA), matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP9), tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinase1 but simultaneously downregulation of E-cadherin and Smad7 in both models can be corroborated with hepatic fibrosis via activation of TGF-β1/Smad pathway, epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), reformation and deposition of extracellular matrix[97]. A recent study reported NiNps-mediated hepatic injury following hepatic inflammatory response, ER stress, abnormal lipid metabolism that leads to hepatocyte apoptosis[98]. NiNPs exposure (15-45 mg/kg) in rats induced dose-dependent liver dysfunction, histological injuries, and oxidative stress. Elevated NF-κB, nitrative stress markers, and inflammatory and apoptotic mediators were observed. The study highlights Ni NPs-induced hepatotoxicity, crucial for health risk assessment[99].

**Tungsten trioxide nanoparticles** WO3 nanorods of varying lengths have been shown to cause hepatotoxicity in mice when given intraperitoneally. This effect is evident in the form of hepatocytic lesions, which include cellular edema, nuclear pyknosis in most hepatocytes, cytoplasmatic vacuolation, and hydropic degeneration in hepatocytes surrounding the central vein. Additionally, liver function is impaired, as evidenced by elevated levels of serum ALT and AST, which are caused by oxidative stress (increased intracellular ROS, significant reduction in GSH and SOD activity), as well as an inflammatory response [increased NF-κB, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), IFN-γ, and interleukin (IL)-4]. Shorter nanorods showed greater toxicity than longer nanorods in terms of severity. Adversity of WO3 nanorod was decreased by melatonin administration[17].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Tested model</th>
<th>Dose &amp; route of administration</th>
<th>Effects &amp; Mechanism</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂ NPs</td>
<td>15 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>HepG2 cell</td>
<td>1-200 μg/mL for 72 hrs.</td>
<td>Bcl-2, GSH, Cell viability (decreased); p53, Bax, caspase-3, ROS production, LPO (increased)</td>
<td>[85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂ NPs</td>
<td>15 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Kupffer cells from Sprague Dawley rats; Sprague Dawley rats</td>
<td>50, 100, 200, 400, and 800 μg/mL for 24 h. 50 mg/kg single (i.v.)</td>
<td>ROS, AST, LDH, TNF, H₂O₂, NO (increased); Kupffer cells (activation); Infiltration of inflammatory cells</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂ NPs</td>
<td>30, 50, 70, 300, 1000 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>BALB/c male mice</td>
<td>10-40 mg/kg (i.v.)</td>
<td>ALT, AST (increased)</td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amorphous SiO₂ NPs</td>
<td>62.26nm (DLS)</td>
<td>HepG2</td>
<td>25, 50, 75, 100 μg/mL, 24 h</td>
<td>ROS levels; Autophagy and autophagic cell death via PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amorphous SiO₂ NPs</td>
<td>aSiNP-389 (20nm), aSiNP-116 (50nm), aSiNP-26 (110nm), aSiNP-8 (250nm) (EM)</td>
<td>HepG2</td>
<td>10-200 μg/mL, 24 h</td>
<td>Cholesterol biosynthesis (increased); May affect steroidogenesis &amp; bile formation</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amorphous SiO₂ NPs</td>
<td>19.8 ± 2.7 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>HL-7702 cells; BRL-3A cells</td>
<td>31.4–500 μg/mL, 72 h</td>
<td>p53, Bax, cleaved caspase-3 (increased); GSH levels, caspase-3, Bcl-2 (decrease); Activation of p53/caspase-3/Bax/Bcl-2 pathway; Human cells are more sensitive than rat cell</td>
<td>[86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂ NPs</td>
<td>30 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Mouse hepatocytes</td>
<td>500 μg/mL, 24 h</td>
<td>ALT, AST (increased); ALR (blockage); Enlarged autolysosomes</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amorphous SiO₂ NPs</td>
<td>20.23 (DLS)</td>
<td>HepG2; ICR mice</td>
<td>50 mg/kg b.w. for 24 h (oral)</td>
<td>GSH, NADPH oxidase depletion; ROS (increased); Altered GSH metabolism</td>
<td>[77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂ NPs</td>
<td>10 nm (BET)</td>
<td>Albino Wistar rats</td>
<td>2 mg/kg daily 20, 35 or 50 injections (i.p.)</td>
<td>ALP, ALT, LDH, procalcitonin, iron, phosphorus, potassium (increased); Phase I and II drug metabolizing and transporting enzymes (downregulation); Hydropic degeneration, karyopinosis, Sinusoidal dialation, Kupffer cell hyperplasia, lowered liver index, infiltration of inflammatory cells</td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂ NPs</td>
<td>15.4 ± 1.8 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Kunning mice (normal &amp; metabolic syndrome model)</td>
<td>10 mg/kg b.w. daily 30 d (oral)</td>
<td>Liver fibrosis (collagen deposition); Hepatic ballooning; DNA damage (genotoxicity); ROS production, mitochondrial damage, infiltration of inflammatory cells</td>
<td>[87]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoporous SiO₂ NPs</td>
<td>109.2 (DLS)</td>
<td>L02 cells; BALB/c mice</td>
<td>5–120 μg/mL, 24 and 48 h; 50 mg/kg 3 times a week for 3 wk (i.v.)</td>
<td>ALT, AST, ROS (increased); NLRP3 inflammasome activation; Pyroptosis via caspase-1 activation</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂ NPs</td>
<td>58 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>L-02 cells</td>
<td>6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, and 100 μg/mL for 12 h and 24 h</td>
<td>ROS production; ER stress; Activation of EIF2AK3 and ATF6 pathway; Induction of autosome formation</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂ NPs</td>
<td>58.04 ± 7.41 (TEM)</td>
<td>L02 cells</td>
<td>12.5, 25, 50, 100 μg/mL, 24 h</td>
<td>Affect mitochondrial quality control (MQC) process, Mitochondrial fission (increased); Induced mitophagy via activated</td>
<td>[88]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Copper oxide nanoparticles: Transmission electron microscope investigation has confirmed the accumulation and distribution of CuONPs in rat liver tissue after oral administration. This would indicate that CuONPs can be easily absorbed through the intestinal wall and transported to the liver via blood. Serum levels of bilirubin that are high, heightened catalase and SOD activity, and altered glutathione metabolism enzyme profiles [glutathione reductase, GPx, and glutathione S-transferases (GST)], all strongly suggest that NPs exacerbated oxidative stress-related liver damage[100]. The primary marker of hepatic injury is an increase in vital enzymes such as serum ALT, and serum AST in the liver. CuONP-treated Wister rats have been shown to have histopathological changes, such as pyknotic, pleomorphic nuclei, binucleated hepatocytes with an increased population of apoptotic cells, with elevated levels of AST, ALT, and decreased levels of albumin in serum[18]. Mice receiving both chemically and biologically synthesized CuO-NPs (CNPs and BNPs), but mostly BNPs, showed distinct histopathological, biochemical, and apoptotic changes. Various types of histopathological alterations in hepatic tissues against their normal functioning range from hydropic degeneration and vacuolization to cell necrosis, loss of plasma membrane, more eosinophilic cytoplasm, karyorrhexis and complete loss of nucleus in few cells, activated Kupffer cells, lymphocytic infiltration around necrotized cells and congestion in sinusoids. Biochemical examination showed elevated levels of serum ALT and AST. Increased expression pattern of P6, Casp-3 immunoreactivity suggested induction of apoptosis due to CuO toxicity in liver cells[101]. A comparable study has reported additional architectural abnormalities, such as ER swelling with lower count, increased intracellular space, fat accumulation, and cellular shrinkage related to the distribution of Nano-CuO in the liver. These discrepancies have been shown to affect hepatocyte growth, metabolism, and viability in both in vitro and in vivo investigations. JNK, PERK, C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP), ATF4, eIF2α, Ire1, Calpain, GRP78, ATF6, Bax, Caspase-3, and Caspase-12 have all been shown to have upregulated expressions in treatment group while Bcl-2 expression level gets diminished, is consistent with ROS-mediated oxidative stress-induced activation of the ER-stress pathway, that triggered apoptosis in liver tissue cells[102]. The liver of adult rats treated with CNPs (chemically synthesized CuO NPs) showed dose-dependent genotoxicity (DNA tailing), an enhanced oxidative stress response (lipid peroxidation), and histopathological changes (dilation and congestion of sinusoids) in contrast to GNPs (green synthesized CuO NPs)[103]. Mild to severe deleterious alterations in hepatic tissues including disorganized hepatic rays, dilated sinusoids with congestion, hepatocytic necrosis, glycosgen breakdown, hemosiderosis, steatosis, hyperplasia of the bile duct and fibrous tissue proliferation, anti-inflammatory cell infiltration with caspase 3 immunoreactivity were also observed against the administration of nano-CuO in dose-dependent manner[104].

Zinc oxide nanoparticles: In a study, Pasupuleti et al[20] reported that when SD rats were orally given nano-sized and micro-sized ZnO (5-2000 mg/kg), compared to micro-sized zinc oxide, nano-size zinc oxide exhibited an inverse dose-
Table 2 Effects and molecular mechanisms underlying NIONPs & NiNPs induced hepatonanotoxicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Tested model</th>
<th>Dose &amp; route of administration</th>
<th>Effects &amp; mechanism</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NiO NPs</td>
<td>44 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>HepG2 cells</td>
<td>2-100 μg/mL for 24 h</td>
<td>Cell viability (reduced); ROS (increased); Micronucleus induction, chromatin condensation and DNA damage; bax and caspase-3 (upregulated); bcl-2 (downregulated)</td>
<td>[95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO NPs</td>
<td>20 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Wistar rat</td>
<td>0.015, 0.06 or 0.24 mg/kg b.w. twice a week for 6 wk (i.t.)</td>
<td>NO, TNOS, iNOS, OH, LPO, HO1 (increased); CAT, GSHPs, T-SOD and TAOC, MT1 (decreased)</td>
<td>[93]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO NPs</td>
<td>20 nm (SEM)</td>
<td>Wistar rat</td>
<td>0.015, 0.06, and 0.24 mg/kg b.w. twice a week for 6 wk (i.t.)</td>
<td>GRP78, CHOP (increased); Activation of PERK/elF-2α, IRE-1α/XBP-1S, and caspase-12/-9/-3 pathways</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO NPs</td>
<td>20 nm (SEM)</td>
<td>Wistar rat</td>
<td>0.015, 0.06, and 0.24 mg/kg b.w. twice a week for 6 wk (i.t.)</td>
<td>ALT, AST, ALP, GGT, IL-1β and IL-6, TNF-α, NIK, IKK-α, NF-κB (increased); IL-4, IL-10, lκB(α) (decreased); Activation of NF-κB signalling pathway</td>
<td>[94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO NPs</td>
<td>13.16 ± 2.98 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Wistar rat</td>
<td>125, 250 and 500 μg/kg single dose (oral)</td>
<td>ALP, LDH, ALT, AST, LPO (upregulation); GSH, SOD (downregulation)</td>
<td>[92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO NPs</td>
<td>21.6 ± 3.6 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>HepG2</td>
<td>5, 10, 25, 50 and 100 μg/mL, 24 h</td>
<td>HIF-1α, miR210, p53, Caspase-3, and 9, NO, MMP (increased); Phagosome formation by lysosomal pathway</td>
<td>[96]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO NPs</td>
<td>44 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Wistar rat; HepG2</td>
<td>0.015, 0.06, and 0.24 mg/kg twice a week for 9 wk (i.t.); 25-200 μg/mL</td>
<td>TGF-β1, Smad2, Smad3, α-SMA, MMP9, TIMP1, EMT (upregulation); E-cadherin, Smad7 (downregulation); activation of TGF-β1/Smad pathway</td>
<td>[97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiNPs</td>
<td>55.8 ± 14.0 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>C57/B16 mice</td>
<td>10, 20 and 40 mg/kg/d for 7 and 28 d</td>
<td>ALT, AST, IκBα, Perk and Atf6, TG increased; Lipid metabolism dysfunction; Inflammation</td>
<td>[98]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OH: Hydroxyl radical; ALP: Alkaline phosphatase; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; ATP6: Activating transcription factor 6; CAT: Catalase; CHOP: C/EBP Homologous Protein; ECM: Extra cellular matrix; elF2α: Eukaryotic initiation factor 2 α; EMT: Epithelial mesenchymal transition; GGT: Gamma-glutamyl transeptidase; GRP78: Glucose regulated protein 78; GSH: Glutathione; GSHPs: Glutathione peroxidase; HIF-1α: Hypoxia inducible factor 1; HO1: Heme oxygenase 1; IKK-α: IkB kinase alpha; IL-1β: Interleukin 1 β; IL-6: Interleukin 6; IκBα: Inducible nitric oxide synthase; IRE-1α: Inositol-requiring enzyme type 1α; lκB(α): Inhibitor kappa B alpha; LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase; LPO: Lipid peroxidation; miR210: miRNA210; MMP9: Matrilix metallopeptidase 9; MMP: Mitochondrial membrane potential; MT1: Metallothionein 1; NF-κB: Nuclear factor kappa beta; NIK: NF-κB-inducible kinase; NO: Nitric oxide; p53: Tumor protein p53; PERK: Protein kinase RNA like ER kinase; Smad2: Suppressor of mothers against decapentaplegic2; SOD: Superoxide dismutase; TAOC: Total antioxidative capacity; TG: Triglyceride; TGF-β1: Transforming growth factor 1 beta; TIMP1: TIMP metalloepitpeptidase inhibitor 1; TNF-α: Tumor necrosis factor α; TNOS: Total nitric oxide synthase; TSOd: Total superoxide dismutase; XBP-1S: X box binding protein-1S; α-SMA: Alpha smooth muscle actin.

**Effects & mechanism**

- Oxidative stress, apoptosis
- Oxidative & nitrative stress
- ER stress, apoptosis
- Inflammation
- Hypoxia & oxidative stress, apoptosis
- Hypoxia & oxidative stress, apoptosis
- Hepatic fibrosis, ECM deposition
- ER stress, apoptosis

**Relevant references:**

1. Yang et al. [105]
2. Das SK et al. [96]
3. Yang et al. [97]
4. Das SK et al. [98]
5. Das SK et al. [99]

**ORCID iDs:**

Das SK: 0000-0002-3011-519X

**Dash SK**

http://www.wjgnet.com 576 April 27, 2024 | Volume 16 | Issue 4
### Table 3 Effects and molecular mechanisms underlying WO3 NPs induced hepatonanotoxicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Tested model</th>
<th>Dose &amp; route of administration</th>
<th>Effects &amp; mechanism</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WO3 NPs</td>
<td>60-70 nm, length WO3 nanorods shorter (125–200 nm) and longer (0.8–2 μm)</td>
<td>BALB/c mice</td>
<td>2.5/5/10/20 mg/kg/d of shorter WO3 nanorods; 2.5/5/10/20 mg/kg/d longer WO3 nanorods for 14 d (i.p.)</td>
<td>ALT, AST; NF-κB, TNF-α, IFN-γ, IL-14 (increased); GSH, SOD (decreased)</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4 Effects and molecular mechanisms underlying CuONPs induced hepatonanotoxicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Tested model</th>
<th>Dose &amp; route of administration</th>
<th>Effects &amp; mechanism</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CuO-NPs</td>
<td>33 nm (XRD)</td>
<td>Wister rats</td>
<td>300 mg/kg b.w. per day for 7 d (i.p.)</td>
<td>ALT, AST (increased)</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO-NPs</td>
<td>40 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Mature rats (Rattus norvegicus var. albinos)</td>
<td>0.5, 5, and 50 mg/kg b.w./d for 14 d (oral)</td>
<td>CAT, GPx, GR (increased) GST (decreased)</td>
<td>[180]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO-NPs</td>
<td>BNPs 4.14-12.82 nm CNPs 4.06-26.82 nm (XRD)</td>
<td>Mature mice</td>
<td>500 mg/kg b.w. single dose (oral)</td>
<td>ALT, AST, P&lt;0.05, Caspase -3 (increased); Hepatic necrosis</td>
<td>[181]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano-CuO</td>
<td>20-40 μm (TEM)</td>
<td>BRL-3A cells; Wister rat</td>
<td>5, 10, 20 μg/mL; 10 μg/g b.w. for 60 d (i.n.)</td>
<td>ALT, AST, T-BIL, D-BIL, I-BIL (increased)</td>
<td>[182]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO-NPs</td>
<td>GNP's &amp; CNP's</td>
<td>Sprague dawley rat</td>
<td>50 &amp; 100 mg/kg b.w. twice a week starting before mating (oral)</td>
<td>ALT, AST, T-BIL, D-BIL, I-BIL (increased)</td>
<td>[182]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO-NPs</td>
<td>&lt; 50 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Wistar rat</td>
<td>5 mg, 10 mg, 25 mg/kg b.w. per day for 9 d (i.p.)</td>
<td>Mild to severe Liver tissue damage including necrosis of hepatocyte, anti-inflammatory cell infiltration</td>
<td>[184]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; GSH: Glutathione; IFN-γ: Interferon gamma; IL-4: Interleukin 4; NF-κB: Nuclear factor kappa B; SOD: Superoxide dismutase; TNF-α: Tumor necrosis factor α.

**Normal functioning.** Degenerative liver cells exhibited nuclear changes (nuclear membrane irregularity, binucleation, nuclear vesiculation, anisokaryosis, and karyolysis), cytoplasmic changes (cytoplasmic vacuolation with parietal cytoplasmatic swelling), and glycogen depletion followed by necrosis under ZnO insult. Inflammatory signs were sinusoidal dilation following Kupffer cell activation and enlargement, infiltration of inflammatory cells at lobular and portal triad[106]. ZnO-NPs-induced inflammatory liver injury via the production of inflammatory mediators (NO, TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6), oxidative stress, inflammation[107]. Human liver cell HepG2 in response to short exposure to ZnO exhibited oxidative stress-mediated cytotoxic effects leading to LDH leakage, DNA damage, reduction in MMP, and increment in the ratio of proapoptotic/antiapoptotic proteins that lead to activation of mitochondrial apoptotic pathway. In addition to that ZnO was found to induce the phosphorylation of JNK, P38, and P53[107] without any significant changes in their expression level[108]. Above mentioned hepatic histopathological and immunohistochemical alterations along with oxidative stress are found to be promoted via modulation of JNK/p38MAPK and the STAT-3 signaling pathways[109]. A separate investigation in HepG2 cells revealed that ZnONPs override the toxic effects of ZnO (zinc oxide), exhibiting more hepatocyte inactivation, oxidative stress, mitochondrial damage, elevated...
### Table 5 Effects and molecular mechanisms underlying ZnONPs induced hepatocytotoxicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Tested model</th>
<th>Dose &amp; route of administration</th>
<th>Effects &amp; mechanism</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZnO NPs</td>
<td>Micro size; Nano size 63 nm (SEM)</td>
<td>Sprague Dawley rat</td>
<td>5, 50, 300, 100, 2000 mg/kg b.w. for 14 d (oral)</td>
<td>AST, ALT (increased)</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO NPs</td>
<td>35 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Wistar albino rats</td>
<td>2 mg/kg b.w. for 21; Days (i.p.)</td>
<td>Histopathological alterations; Kupffer cell activation</td>
<td>[100]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO NPs</td>
<td>50 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Wistar albino rats</td>
<td>600 mg/kg/b.w. and 1 g/kg/b.w. for 5 d</td>
<td>ALT, NO, TNF-u, IL-6, CRP, IgG (increased)</td>
<td>[107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO NPs</td>
<td>80 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>C57BL/6 mice</td>
<td>200 mg/kg/d (low dose) and 400 mg/kg/d (high dose) for 90 d (oral)</td>
<td>ALT, AST (increased); gp378, gp94, pdi-3, xbp-1 (increased ER stress related proteins); Increased phosphorylation of PERK &amp; eIF2alpha caspase-3, 9, 12 (apoptosis); phosphorylation of JNK, and CHOP/GADD153; upregulation of Chop, Bax</td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO NPs</td>
<td>30 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>HepG2 cell</td>
<td>14-20 μg/mL for 12 h</td>
<td>AST, ALT, Bax (increased); Bcl2 (decreased) LDH leakage; JNK, P38 activation</td>
<td>[108]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO NPs</td>
<td>Less than 15 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Sprague Dawley albino rats</td>
<td>100, 200, 300 mg/kg b.w. per day for 14 d (oral)</td>
<td>ALT, AST, ALP (increased); Bax, caspase-3 (increased); Bcl2 (decreased); Modulation of JNK, p38MAPK &amp; STAT-3 signalling pathways</td>
<td>[109]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO NPs</td>
<td>20-50 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>HepG2 cells; Sprague Dawley rat</td>
<td>20 μg/mL for 24 h; 25 mg/kg b.w. for 7 d (i.p.)</td>
<td>Cell inactivation; Intracellular calcium overload; Mitochondrial damage</td>
<td>[110]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Titanium dioxide nanoparticles:** Several major effects and molecular mechanisms underlying hepatotoxicity due to TiO₂ NP exposure have been reported in both in vitro and in vivo studies. Titanium dioxide exists in different commercially available forms. The natural one is an agglomerated, rod-shaped rutile form and the other is a glomerated metastable form, the anatase. Chen et al. [113], in a study proved that both forms can significantly activate inflammatory signaling pathways like mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and NF-xB in HepG2 cells with reduced cell viability and ultrastructural alterations, though rutile form has more cytotoxic effect. In 80 CD-1 (ICR) mice, intragastric administration of TiO₂ NPs resulted in increased expressions of Toll-like receptors (TLR2 &TLR4) and inflammation-related genes (IKK1, IKK2, NF-κB, NF-kBPs2, NF-kBPs5, TNF-α, NIK) with increased expressions of IkB and IκB-2 indicating TLRs/NIK/IκB kinase/NF-κB/TNF-α signaling pathway mediated inflammation in liver. At higher doses significant changes in liver coefficient, biochemical parameters (ALT, AST, ALP, LDH, pseudocholinesterase, leucine acid peptide) along with intracellular calcium load along with weaker antioxidant level, and severe histopathological distortions. The expression pattern of differentially expressed genes and their transcripts are more for ZnONPs [110]. A recent study confirms the cytotoxic and genotoxic potentiality of ZnONPs in HepG2 cells in 2D and 3D culture after 24 h of exposure [111]. In dogs overused ZnONPs enhanced zinc accumulation in the liver with elevated serum liver indexes along with ROS generation and altered mitochondrial function. Strikingly ZnONPs attenuated apoptosis via the cytochrome c pathway instead, it induced autophagy through activating the mTOR/ATG5 pathway. Also involved in the disruption of the intestinal microbiome and 81 liver metabolites [112]. ZnO NPs induce crosstalk between protective autophagy and pyroptosis in hepatocytes. TFEB-mediated regulation influences ZnO NP-induced pyroptosis, with TFEB knockout exacerbating and overexpression alleviating it. TRAF-6 is identified in TFEB-mediated global regulation [113]. TFEB-mediated autophagy and lysosome prevent ZnO NPs-induced hepatocyte pyroptosis, providing insights for risk assessment and therapeutic strategies [113]. ZnO NPs also widely used in various applications, induce oxidative stress, leading to NLRP3-ASC-Caspase-1 complex assembly and pyroptosis in rat liver and HepG2 cells [114]. Inhibiting oxidative stress protects against ZnO NPs-induced pyroptosis in hepatocytes, revealing a novel mechanism and potential clinical treatment strategies.
## Table 6 Effects and molecular mechanisms underlying TiO\(_2\) NPs induced hepatonanotoxicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Tested model</th>
<th>Dose &amp; route of administration</th>
<th>Effects &amp; mechanism</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2) NPs (Anatase)</td>
<td>7 nm (XRD)</td>
<td>80 CD-1 (ICR) mice</td>
<td>5, 10, 50 mg/kg b.w. every other day for 60 d (i.g.)</td>
<td>SOD, CAT, GSHPx, MT, HSP70, GST (downregulation); CYP1A (upregulation)</td>
<td>[117]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2) NPs (Anatase)</td>
<td>5 nm (XRD)</td>
<td>CD-1 (ICR) mice</td>
<td>5, 10, 50, 100, 150 mg/kg b.w. daily for 14 d (abdominal injection)</td>
<td>Accumulated in liver DNA; Inserted in DNA base pairs; Binds to DNA nucleotides; Alter DNA secondary structure; Liver DNA cleavage at higher dose</td>
<td>[119]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2) NPs</td>
<td>&lt; 25 nm anatase; &lt; 100 nm rutile (SEM)</td>
<td>HepG2 cell</td>
<td>1, 10 and 250 mg/mL incubated for 4, 24, 48 h</td>
<td>p21, mdm2, p53, gadd45α (increased expression); DNA strand break; DNA damage; ROS production</td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2) NPs (Anatase)</td>
<td>5 nm (XRD)</td>
<td>80 CD-1 (ICR) mice</td>
<td>5, 10, 50 mg/kg b.w. for 60 d (i.g.)</td>
<td>TLR2, TLR4, IKK1, IKK2, NF-κB, NF-κBp52, NF-κBp65, TNF-α, A20 (upregulation); IkB, IL-2 (downregulation); ALT, AST, ALP, LDH, PCh, LAP (upregulation)</td>
<td>[116]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2) NPs; Rutile</td>
<td>12-18 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>BRL 3A cells; sprague dawley rats</td>
<td>0.1-100 μg/mL for 6 h; 0.5-50 mg/kg BW intraperitoneal injection 24 h</td>
<td>Rapid G0/G1 to S transition, G2/M arrest; ALT, AST, ALP, LDH (upregulated)</td>
<td>[123]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2) NPs; Rutile</td>
<td>10 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Albino rats</td>
<td>100 mg/kg daily</td>
<td>ALT, AST, ALP, LPO (increased); GSH, SOD, GSHPx, CAT (decreased); vacuolization, Sinusoidal dilation, inflammatory cells infiltration</td>
<td>[124]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2) NPs; anatase: Rutile (80:20)</td>
<td>20 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Wistar rat</td>
<td>60 d (oral)</td>
<td>ALT, AST, ALP, LPO (increased); GSH, SOD, GSHPx, CAT (decreased); vacuolization, Sinusoidal dilation, inflammatory cells infiltration</td>
<td>[118]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2) NPs; anatase: Rutile (80:20)</td>
<td>29 ± 9 nm (SEM)</td>
<td>Sprague dawley rats</td>
<td>2, 10, 50 mg/kg b.w. daily</td>
<td>LPO, GSHPx, SOD, GSSG, IL-1α, IL-4 and TOS (upregulated)</td>
<td>[126,127]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Oxidative stress**

**Inflammation, Oxidative stress**

**Genotoxicity**

**Inflammation, apoptosis**

**Inflammation, perturbation of mitochondrial dynamics, oxidative stress**

**Oxidative stress, Genotoxicity, metabolic imbalance**

**Inflammation, Oxidative stress**

**Oxidative stress**

**Oxidative stress**

**Genotoxicity**

**Oxidative stress**

**Genotoxicity**

**Oxidative stress**

**Inflammation, apoptosis**

**Inflammation, genotoxicity, metabolic imbalance**

**Oxidative stress**

**Oxidative stress**

**Inflammation, oxidative stress**
Table 7 Effects and molecular mechanisms underlying MgONPs induced hepatonanotoxicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Tested model</th>
<th>Dose &amp; route of administration</th>
<th>Effects &amp; mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3D Human Liver organoids male Sprague Dawley rat</td>
<td>100 μg/mL incubated for 48 h. 40 mg/kg daily for 4 wk (oral)</td>
<td>ATP synthesis (decreased); ROS &amp; Super oxide production (increased); ALT, AST (increased)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8 Effects and molecular mechanisms underlying Al₂O₃ NPs induced hepatonanotoxicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Tested model</th>
<th>Dose &amp; route of administration</th>
<th>Effects &amp; mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>&lt; 50 nm</td>
<td>Developing chicken embryo, HepG2 cell culture model</td>
<td>10, 20, 40 μg/egg via injection from 8th to 12th day of incubation on an alternate day basis, 05, 10, 20 μg/mL for 12 h</td>
<td>ROS &amp; Super oxide production (increased); ALP, ALT, AST activity (increased); HO-1, NQO-1 level (increased); Cell viability (decreased); SOD, CAT, GPx, TBARS, TNF-α, Caspase-3 activity (decreased)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidative stress and cytotoxicity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9 Effects and molecular mechanisms underlying Cr₂O₃ NPs induced hepatonanotoxicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Tested model</th>
<th>Dose &amp; route of administration</th>
<th>Effects &amp; mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃-NPs</td>
<td>22.50 + 1.76 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Wistar rats</td>
<td>50 mg/100 g bwt (LD), 200 mg/100 g bwt (HD); single dose for 1, 7, 14 d (oral)</td>
<td>ALT, AST, ALP, γGT, total bilirubin (increased)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mitochondrial swelling, apoptotic body formation, chromatin condensation, inflammatory cell infiltration suggests liver tissue injury caused by inflammation that in turn trigger activation of apoptosis[116]. The same group showed that TiO₂ NPs insult leads to ROS accumulation, over-expression of cytochrome p450 1A, and suppressed expression of stress-related genes (SOD, CAT, GSH-Px, MT, HSP70, GST), and NPs detoxifying/metabolizing genes[117]. Other investigation result shows that TiO₂NP ingestion at higher doses for longer periods leads to Kupffer cells hypertrophy, hydropic degeneration and vacuolization in hepatocytes, necrosis around the central vein followed by edema, infiltration of inflammatory cells along reduced antioxidant enzymes. Elevated levels of liver enzymes, higher lipid peroxidation, and upregulated expressions of inflammatory mediators (TNF-α and NF-KB) suggest hepatic damage due to oxidative stress.
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Table 10 Effects and molecular mechanisms underlying iron oxide NPs induced hepatotoxicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Tested model</th>
<th>Dose &amp; route of administration</th>
<th>Effects &amp; mechanism</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na-oleate coated Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>8 ± 3 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Wistar rat</td>
<td>0.0364, 0.364, &amp; 3.64 mg/kg b.w. for 1 d, 1, 2, 4 wks (i.v.)</td>
<td>Temporary change in mitochondrial respiration; GPx, GST (increased); Lipidosis, mild necrosis; Enlarged sinusoid space</td>
<td>[133]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene glycol – 6000 coated Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>8.82 ± 0.70 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Wistar rat</td>
<td>10 mg/kg b.w. single dose, once in a week, twice in a week for 30 d (i.v.)</td>
<td>ALT, AST, ALP (slightly increased); AST, LPO, SOD, GPx, Neutrophil count (increased); No significant tissue damage</td>
<td>[135]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>20 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Wistar rat</td>
<td>40 mg/kg b.w. for 14 d (i.t.)</td>
<td>Congestion of sinusoid; Hepatocytic ballooning; Mononuclear cell infiltration; Tissue damage</td>
<td>[132]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>41.3 ± 5.9 nm for USPIO, 112.6 ± 38.4 nm for SPIO (DLS)</td>
<td>L-02 cells</td>
<td>2.5, 5, 10, and 20 μg/mL for 12 h</td>
<td>Cell survivility (decreased); Elevated expression of Genes related to acute phase inflammation, ER stress, HSP70, IL-6, PERK, ATF4, ER Ca²⁺ (increased); USPIO show higher toxicity than SPIO</td>
<td>[136]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>10 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Hepatocytes of Lewis rat in sandwich culture model</td>
<td>100, 200, 400 μg/mL, single dose &amp; cumulative dose; 24 h to 7 d</td>
<td>Cell survivility (decreased); ROS (increased); Albumin &amp; urea synthesis (decreased)</td>
<td>[134]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>29.6 ± 12.2 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Albino wistar rat</td>
<td>30, 300, 1000 mg/kg b.w. for 28 d (nano &amp; bulk) (oral)</td>
<td>GSH, CAT (decreased); SOD, GR, GST, LPO (increased); GPx (unchanged); Congested central vein in higher dose</td>
<td>[130]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO₂</td>
<td>30 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Wistar rat</td>
<td>100, 200 mg/kg single dose (oral)</td>
<td>ALT (increased) iron deposition in hepatocyte &amp; Kupffer cells</td>
<td>[131]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO₂</td>
<td>30 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>L-02 cells; BALB/C mice</td>
<td>2.5, 7.5, and 12.5 kg/mL for 1, 3, 6 h; 20 mg/kg body weight for 24 h. (i.v.)</td>
<td>Cox2 (overexpression); COX-2 interaction with IP3R-GPI75-VDAC1 complex; Ca++ transfer increased; Bax, Cleaved Casp-3 (increased); Bcl2 (decreased)</td>
<td>[137]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALP: Alanine phosphatase; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; Atf4: Activating transcription factor 4; Bax: Bcl-2-associated X protein; Bcl2: B-cell lymphoma 2; Ca²⁺: Calcium ion; CAT: Catalase; COX-2: Cyclooxygenase-2; ER: Endoplasmic reticulum; GPx: Glutathione peroxidase; GR: Glutathione reductase; GRP75: Glucose regulated protein 75; GSH: Glutathione; GST: Glutathione S-transferase; HD: High dose; HSP-70: Heat shock protein 70; IL-6: Interleukin-6; IP3R: Inositol 1,4,5 triphosphate receptor; LD: Low dose; LPO: Lipid peroxidation; PERK: Protein kinase RNA like ER kinase; ROS: Reactive oxygen species; SOD: Super oxide dismutase; SPIO: Superparamagnetic iron oxide; USPIO: Ultra-small superparamagnetic iron oxide; VDAC1: Voltage-dependent anion channel 1; γGT: Gamma glutamyl transferase.

and inflammation[118]. Different spectral analyses and gel electrophoresis results of in vivo experiments unveil that liver DNA is a prime target of TiO₂ NPs. In liver DNA, anatase form get accumulates either by inserting itself between base pairs or directly binding to 5 oxygen or nitrogen atoms [Ti-O(N)=1.87Å] and 2 phosphorous atoms (Ti-P=2.38Å) of nucleotide, affecting the configuration of DNA secondary structure. DNA laddering in gel slab at a higher dose of 150 mg/kg can be corroborated with liver DNA cleavage by NPs[119]. In vitro study with HepG2 cells also exhibited oxidative stress-induced DNA damage for both rutile and anatase forms. Elevated expression level of p53 and subsequent upregulated expression pattern of downstream DNA damage responsive genes (p21, mdm2, gadd45α) confirms the TiO₂ NPs mediated genotoxicity in hepatocytes[120]. Gene expression analysis and genotoxicity assessment demonstrated similar results, that TiO₂ NPs promote oxidization of nucleotides which results in DNA strand break (DNA damage). Also disturbs the metabolic homeostasis of the liver through oxidative and stress-related impairment of glucose, lipid, and xenobiotic metabolism [121].
When primary hepatocytes were given exposure to rutile, anatase, and P25 (mixture of rutile & anatase) NPs, all three significantly exhibited hepatotoxicity. The Mitochondrial morphology and dynamics get compromised due to the downregulation of the fusion process, which leads to mitochondrial fragmentation in hepatocytes. Over production of ROS and subsequent loss of MnSOD enzyme activity and reduced MMP leads to oxidative stress that hampers the normal functionality of liver cells including biosynthesis of urea and albumin[122]. In a remarkable in vitro as well as in vivo experimentation Sha et al.[123] click or tap here to enter text. Have proven that liver cells already in oxidative stress condition exhibit more susceptibility towards nano-TiO$_2$ mediated cytotoxicity. In contrast to G0/G1 phase arrest under only NM exposure, BRL-3A cells with prior oxidative stress conditions exhibited very fast G0/G1 phase to S transition, G2/M arrest with elevated cell death ratios. Increased expression levels of liver marker enzymes (ALT, AST, ALP, LDH) under the same experimental regime in an in vitro study indicated liver damage with prominent histopathological perturbation. Micro-TiO$_2$ didn’t show such effects both in cells and rat liver. Again, in different studies orally administered thymol and tiron were seen to ameliorate TiO$_2$NPs mediated lipid peroxidation (LPO), oxidative stress, non-enzymatic and enzymatic alterations of antioxidant levels, augmentation of proapoptotic and downregulation of antiapoptotic genes along with biochemical and histopathological changes in liver tissue. Supporting hepatic injury by TiO$_2$NPs is mediated by oxidative stress and apoptosis[124,125].

In a dose-dependent manner TiO$_2$NPs treated rats show an increment in diversity and abundance of gut microbiota (Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Tenericutes, Proteobacteria, etc.) that has been found to produce a significant quantity of lipopoly-saccharides and increased number of Lactobacillus reuteri but not Romboutsia in feces. On the contrary, it produces the investigated liver function biomarkers (ALT, AST, ALP, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase, total bilirubin levels) get elevated in a dose and exposure time-dependent fashion in rats after orally consuming Cr$_2$O$_3$-NPs. Routine histological examination clearly showed moderate to severe architectural damage including liver cell degeneration, Kupffer cell hyperplasia, parenchymal distortions, dilated central vein, and hemorrhage for both low and high doses, indicating the role of chromium oxide-NPs in liver toxicity[126,127].

**Mg-nano nanoparticles:** In a recent experiment, researchers have tried to verify the hepatotoxic potentiality of Mg-nano in combination with valproate (anticonvulsant drug) and PTZ (pentylenetetrazole- used to induce convulsion mouse model) using 3D liver organoid and rat model. In the in vitro model the prepared suspension carrying Mg-nano decreased the production of ATP and increased ROS generation and super oxide production while in vitro result showed a significant increase of ALT, AST in serum but without any change in albumin or globulin concentration, suggesting Mg-nano as well as Valporate both can induce hepatotoxicity[21].

**Aluminium oxide nanoparticles:** Aluminum oxide nanoparticles (Al$_2$O$_3$-NPs) pose hepatotoxic effects on chicken embryos and cell cultures, inducing histological abnormalities, elevating tissue damage markers, causing oxidative stress, and impacting antioxidant enzymes[126]. Additionally, Al$_2$O$_3$-NPs affect red blood cells, liver metabolism, and stress response gene expression. The study reveals dose-dependent ROS generation, cytoxic responses, and potentiating effects on TNF-α-induced apoptosis. Inhibition of p38 MAPK and JNK pathways modulates Al$_2$O$_3$-NPs-induced apoptosis in HepG2 cells, highlighting novel mechanisms and potential prevention strategies[128].

**Chromium oxide nanoparticles:** The investigated liver function biomarkers (ALT, AST, ALP, γ-glutamyl transferase, total bilirubin levels) get elevated in a dose and exposure time-dependent fashion in rats after orally consuming Cr$_2$O$_3$-NPs. Routine histological examination clearly showed moderate to severe architectural damage including liver cell degeneration, Kupffer cell hyperplasia, parenchymal distortions, dilated central vein, and hemorrhage for both low and high doses, indicating the role of chromium oxide-NPs in liver toxicity[23].

**Iron oxides nanoparticles:** The bioavailability of nano iron oxide was found to be greater compared to bulk in different organs, including the liver[24,129]. Similarly, nano magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) showed higher bioaccumulation, oxidative stress, and liver tissue damage than its bulk counterpart in another experiment also[130]. Orally administered nano maghemite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) was found deposited in hepatocytes and kuffer cells, resulting in very little perturbation of biochemical parameters with minimum effects on the liver[131]. Histopathological study revealed infiltration of mononuclear cells, ballooning, and hepatic damage with congestion in sinusoids but surprisingly with a decreased level of ALT during the investigation of concurrent effects of aerobic exercise and IONPs in liver enzymes of the treated subject[132]. In the rat model administration of coated Fe$_3$O$_4$ caused mild liver tissue injury with an altered antioxidant enzyme profile, suggesting oxidative stress-related response[133]. Similarly, increased ROS production and decreased cell viability with hampered albumin and urea synthesis in a dose-dependent manner was evident from another study with primary hepatocytes[134]. On dose interval treatment with PEG-8000 coated ultra-small superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles, have shown temporary alterations in the liver biomarkers and hematological parameters, with lipid peroxidation[135]. In a separate experiment, USPIO was found to exhibit more toxic effects on liver tissue than SPIO. In USPIO treated L-02 cells, upregulated expressions of IL-1β, IL-6, IL-18, TNFSF12, TNFRSF12, SAAR, SAAR, JAK1, STAT5B, and CXCL14 genes with increased secretion of IL-6 and altered ER structure due to ER stress supports the occurrence of ER-stressed-mediated acute-phase inflammatory response that leads to cytotoxicity. Application of ER stress blocker or ATF4 siRNA attenuated the USPIOs effects supporting the involvement of PERK/ATF4 pathway[136]. MAMs (Mitochondria-associated endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membranes), a dynamic microdomain made up of proteins that maintain crosstalk between ER and mitochondria, play a crucial role in Ca$^{++}$ ion and metabolite transfer between two organelles and cellular homeostasis. Both in vitro and in vivo results suggest SPIO-Nps (iron oxide) accumulation in hepatocytes triggers the overexpression followed by interaction of COX-2 with IP3R-GRP75-VDAC1 complex (inositol 1,4,5 triphosphate receptor, glucose-regulated protein 75, voltage-dependent anion channel 1), the fraction of MAMs that
facilitates Ca\(^{2+}\) transfer. Thereby resulted in profuse Ca\(^{2+}\) transfer from ER to mitochondria, producing Ca\(^{2+}\) overload in mitochondria that sparks apoptosis in hepatocytes\[137\].

Orally administered nano-iron oxide, commonly used in food, disrupts the small intestinal barrier, leading to hepatic lipid metabolism disorders through the gut-liver axis. This disruption causes hepatic damage and iron deposition, impacting lipohomeostasis with decreased phosphatidylcholine and phosphatidylethanolamine and increased triglyceride levels. The study highlights the subchronic toxicity of nano-iron oxide and emphasizes the pivotal role of the gut-liver axis in its hepatotoxicity\[139\]. Fe\(_{3}\)O\(_{4}\), nanoparticles (E172 food additive) exhibit no evident toxicity in body weight, histopathology, or oxidative stress in animal experiments. However, a sensitive LC–MS/MS-based lipidomic study reveals significant alterations in hepatic glycerophospholipid metabolism, including decreased triacylglycerol and increased phosphatidylcholine. This study enhances understanding of the subacute effects of Fe\(_{3}\)O\(_{4}\), NPs beyond conventional toxicology assessments\[139\].

**Graphene oxide nanoparticles**

Because of its special physico-chemical characteristics, graphene oxides are easily produced and tailored to order. They have a wide range of uses in the fields of electronics, nanomedicine, textiles, water purification, nanocomposite, and catalysis\[140-143\]. Several investigations unveiled the subacute toxicity caused by GO in different organs including the liver\[144,145\]. Patiolla et al\[146\] showed that in an SD rat model, GO-induced liver inflammation was associated with lower levels of cholesterol, HDL, and LDL. A separate study with a similar model revealed oxidative stress in accordance with the enhanced ROS production, increased activity of AST/GPT, ALT/GOT, alkaline phosphatase, and lipid hydroperoxide with structural alterations in hepatocytes. Varied degrees of histopathological modifications (sinosoidal abnormality, inflammation around portal and central vein, hepatocytic vacuolation) with an elevated level of serum enzyme markers and alterations in MDA, CAT contents concerning oxidative stress indicate GO-induced hepatotoxicity in Wistar rat\[147\] GO induced mild early apoptosis and inhibited phase-I drug-metabolism enzymes (CYP3A4, CYP2C9) in upcyte\(^{6}\) hepatocytes\[148\]. Notably, CYP3A4 impairment coincided with an acute-phase response activation. The study highlights the potential health consequences of drug detoxification\[148\]. Follow Table 11 for a comprehensive account.

**Carbon nanotubes nanoparticles**

Carbon nanotubes are of two types, single-walled (SWCNTs) with one layer and multi-walled (MWCNTs) with multiple layers. When acid-oxidized MWCNTs (O-MWCNTs) and Tween-80-dispersed MWCNTs (T-MWCNTs) were administered intravenously to mice bodies both types showed inflammatory responses and oxidative stress-mediated liver toxicity. Compared to O-MWCNTs (with carboxyl group), T-MWCNTs (without carboxyl group) exhibited greater effects suggesting hepatotoxicity might be dependent on modification of carboxyl group. Whole genome-wise expression array revealed, upregulated expression of genes related to TNF-\(\alpha\), NF-\(\kappa\)B signaling pathway, NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity, biosynthesis of cholesterol, metabolism by cytochrome P450, GPCRs (G-protein-coupled receptors) were recorded for both the treatments\[149\].

NMR-based metabonomic study unveiled disruption of important metabolic pathways in rat model receiving SWCNTs. Decreased alanine but increased lactate concentration in plasma indicates impairment of amino acid metabolism. Similarly, decreased level of lipoproteins, and lipids together with the rise in choline, and phosphocholine in serum and liver extract support the disruption of membrane fluidity due to lipid peroxidation. All these strongly support nanotubes-induced hepatic injury through the modulation of energy, amino acid, and lipid metabolism\[150\].

Several investigations have revealed that MWCNT resulted in increased ROS production (\(H_{2}O_{2}\), and LPO with a compromised antioxidant defense system (SOD, GPx, GSH, GST), suggesting oxidative stress-mediated hepatotoxicity\[4, 151,152\]. In a series of experiments, Patiolla et al\[153\] and Patiolla et al\[154\] had shown that in a dose-dependent manner both carboxylated functionalized carbon nanotubes (SWCNT and MWCNT) exposure to mice resulted in ROS-mediated oxidative stress in association to increased liver biochemical markers and tissue damage.

Again, MWCNT exposure was found to stimulate pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, IL-1B, COX-1, TNF-\(\alpha\)), that serve as an inflammatory mediator to elicit inflammatory responses in the liver\[4,151,152\]. In an *in vivo* toxicity study, administration of both P- MWCNT (PEGylated) and NP- MWCNT (non-PEGylated) exhibited induction of hepatic inflammation through TNF-\(\alpha\) and NF-\(\kappa\)B signaling pathway without any oxidative damage to the liver tissue, though NP- MWCNT shows slightly higher toxicity\[155\]. Orally administered aqueous extract of *Cinnamomum burmannii* was reported to protect the liver against MWCNT assault by downregulating pro-inflammatory cytokine production and ameliorating the antioxidant system. Suggesting nanotubes triggered liver toxicity is due to oxidative stress and inflammation\[4\].

Histopathological examinations revealed that MWCNT insult produces clear ultrastructural perturbations including cellular swelling, hydropic degeneration, sinusoidal leukocytosis, sinusoidal space enlargement, vacuolar degeneration, inflammatory cell infiltration associated with focal hepatic and focal perivascular hepatic necrosis, spot necrosis, mitochondrial destruction, congested central vein, macrophage injury even blood coagulation\[4,155,156\].

Cd-MT (accumulated cadmium-metallothionein) mice when treated with oxidized MWCNTs have shown some striking results. Different doses of MWCNT exposure, alone promoted the release of free Cd\(^{2+}\) from Cd-MT, a portion freely available in circulation for elimination while the other portion adsorbed by MWCNT, stayed together in the tissue. Also, co-exposure alleviated hepatotoxicity compared to single exposure\[157\]. But co-administration of a higher dose of MWCNTs with PbAc in NAFLD (non-alcoholic fatty liver disease) mice resulted in severe liver damage compared to lower combined or single dose (lower or higher) of MWCNTs or PbAc. Remarkable reduction in body weight, liver function, and augmentation of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (steatosis, lobular inflammation) phenotype was noticed. MWCNTs alone or in combination were found to induce collagen deposition and lipodisosis, which leads to hepatic fibrosis. Primary hepatocytes isolated from co-exposed NAFLD mice exhibited a higher rate of apoptosis followed by
Oxidative stress and inflammation. A significant decrease in expression patterns of p-AMPKα and PPARγ at combined low doses but reverse expression pattern in the presence of AMP activated protein kinase (AMPK) activators suggests inhibition of AMPK/PPARγ pathway (adenosine 5’-monophosphate activated protein kinase/peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors γ) may be the reason behind hepatotoxicity[152]. Follow Table 12 for a comprehensive account.

Copper sulfide/cadmium sulfide nanoparticles

In a study using biomimetic synthesis and ion exchange strategy CuS/CdS nanocomposites were synthesized and tested for hepatotoxicity in liver cells and mice models. In vitro, study results unveiled that CuS/CdS nanocomposites cause oxidative stress-mediated apoptosis in liver cells which can be correlated with the perturbed intracellular antioxidant defense system in hepatocytes (SOD & GSH) and excessive accumulation of oxidative products (ROS, GSSG, MDA) that resulted into oxidative stress-mediated apoptosis in both hepatoma cells (BEL7402) and normal liver cells (L-02). Though the first one was more responsive than the latter one. Intravenous injection of nanocomposites to Balb/c mice has shown time-dependent accumulation of Cd²⁺ and Cu²⁺ in the liver, spleen, and kidney. Compared to Cu²⁺, the liver and kidney retained a significant amount of Cd²⁺ which the physiological system was unable to remove[158]. Compared to CdS microparticles CdNPs exhibited more toxic effects in rat liver. Greater bioaccumulation of CdNPs leads to the overproduction of metallothionein and ligand formation that has increased its hydrophilicity, facilitating penetration through hepatocyte membrane and such interactions between membrane and NPs further facilitated ROS generation in the liver and kidney[160]. Compared to CdS microparticles CdNPs exhibited more toxic effects in rat liver. Greater bioaccumulation of CdNPs leads to the overproduction of metallothionein and ligand formation that has increased its hydrophilicity, facilitating penetration through hepatocyte membrane and such interactions between membrane and NPs further facilitated ROS generation in the liver and kidney[160]. Compared to CdS microparticles CdNPs exhibited more toxic effects in rat liver. Greater bioaccumulation of CdNPs leads to the overproduction of metallothionein and ligand formation that has increased its hydrophilicity, facilitating penetration through hepatocyte membrane and such interactions between membrane and NPs further facilitated ROS generation in the liver and kidney[160].

The hepatic bile salt export pump (BSEP) is crucial for secreting bile salts from hepatocytes to bile and the hepatic MRP2 transporter contributes to bile flow, detoxification, and chemoprotection maintaining a healthy liver. Lowered expression of BSEP mRNA and protein followed by diminished activity of BSEP was observed in the CuSnPs treated group while MRP2 function remain unaltered. Hepatocytes also showed spheroid injury with altered ROS and mitochondrial membrane potential[160]. In a separate experiment, different-sized (LNPs - 17.8 nm and SNPs -2.8 nm) copper sulfide nanoparticles (Cu₉₋S NPs), biominalerized with Bovine Serum Albumin were administrated in SD rats through tail vein to assess safety and liver toxicity. Both the particles were found to intervein important biochemical pathways including, lipid metabolism, cholesterol/bile acid metabolism, copper ion transport/metabolism, inflammatory and drug metabolism-cytochrome P450 pathway. SNPs are discharged through feces, 7 and 14 d after single adminis-tration of metallothionein and ligand formation that has increased its hydrophilicity, facilitating penetration through hepatocyte membrane and such interactions between membrane and NPs further facilitated ROS generation in the liver and kidney[160].

Cobalt nanoparticle

The human fetal liver cell line L02 demonstrated dose- and time-dependent cytotoxicity following exposure to varying doses of Nano-Co for 12 or 24 h. It has been predicted that cobalt nanoparticles reach hepatocyte intracellular regions through both endocytosis-driven and endocytosis-free pathways. This led to the generation of ROS and mitROS (mitochondrial reactive oxygen species), which in turn caused oxidative stress damage. Availability of IL-1β and IL-18 in the extracellular space suggests mitROS-mediated activation of NLRP3 (NOD-like receptor protein 3) inflammasome response, resulting in the upregulation of caspase-1 p20, IL-1β, and IL-18. Thus Nano-Co induced modulation of ROS/NLRP3 pathway was found to be involved in hepatotoxicity[162]. Follow Table 14 for a comprehensive account.

Nanoclay particles

In mice, intra-venous administration of nanoclay resulted in acute hepatotoxicity. Elevated level of ALT and AST in serum with routine histological study results indicates toxic effects for higher doses (10 or 20 mg/kg). When co-administered with chemical (carbon tetra chloride, paraquat) or drug (cisplatin) exhibited synergistic increment in liver biomarkers compared to their individual effects[163]. Follow Table 15 for a comprehensive account.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Tested model</th>
<th>Dose &amp; route of administration</th>
<th>Effects &amp; mechanism</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td>100-500 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Sprague Dawley rats</td>
<td>2.5, 5, and 10 mg/kg/d for 7 d (i.v.)</td>
<td>Liver inflammation; Cholesterol, HDL, LDL (decreased)</td>
<td>[144]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td>40 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Sprague Dawley rats</td>
<td>10, 20 and 40 mg/Kg b.w. once for 5 d, (oral)</td>
<td>ROS, AST, ALT, LHP (increased)</td>
<td>[146]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td>0.8-2 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Wistar rats</td>
<td>0.4/2/10 mg/kg b.w.</td>
<td>AST, ALP, ALT, MDA (increased); CAT (decreased)</td>
<td>[147]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALP: Alkaline phosphatase; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; CAT: Catalase; HDL: High density lipoprotein; LDL: Low density lipoprotein; LHP: Lipid hydro peroxide; MDA: Malondialdehyde; ROS: Reactive oxygen species.

**Table 11 Effects and molecular mechanisms underlying GONPs induced hepatonanotoxicity**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Tested model</th>
<th>Dose &amp; route of administration</th>
<th>Effects &amp; mechanism</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MWCNTs</td>
<td>O-MWCNT; T-MWCNT; Length 356 ± 185 nm</td>
<td>Kunming mice</td>
<td>10 and 60 mg/kg b.w. (Iv) sacrificed at 15 &amp; 60 d</td>
<td>GSH, SOD (decreased at 15 days); AST, TBil (increased); Spotty necrosis, Infiltration of inflammatory cells in portal region, mitochondrial swelling and lysis; Cyp2B19 (upregulated); Cyp2C50, Gsta2 (downregulated)</td>
<td>[149]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidative stress, Inflammation</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Blackish discoloration of the liver (MWCNTs accumulation); AST, Bag4, Gab1 genes (increased); Infiltration inflammatory cells, cellular necrosis, focal necrosis; Mitochondrial swelling/llysis; NP. MWCNT shows more toxicity than P-MWCNT</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 12 Effects and molecular mechanisms underlying carbon nanotube induced hepatotoxicity**
Das SK et al. Nanoparticle and hepatotoxicity
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Table 13 Effects and molecular mechanisms underlying CuS/CdS-NPs induced hepatonanotoxicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Tested model</th>
<th>Dose &amp; route of administration</th>
<th>Effects &amp; mechanism</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CdS NPs</td>
<td>5-9 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Wistar rat</td>
<td>10 mg/kg alternate days for 45 d</td>
<td>Hepatomatous index (decreased); ALT, AST, ALP, H₂O₂, NO (increased); GSH (depletion); Cytoplasmic degeneration/mitochondria, peroxisome, microsomes increased in number</td>
<td>[159]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuS/CdS</td>
<td>8.7 nm</td>
<td>hepatoma cells BEL7402 and L-02 normal liver cells; Balb/c mice</td>
<td>4 mg/kg, i.v injection</td>
<td>SOD, GSH (down regulation); ROS, GSSG, MDA (up regulation)</td>
<td>[158]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₂-S</td>
<td>17.8 nm (LNPs); 2.8 nm (SNPs)</td>
<td>Sprague Dawley rats</td>
<td>5 mg/kg through tail vein single dose</td>
<td>ALT, AST, TBA, LDH (increased) ALB (decreased)</td>
<td>[161]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALB: Albumin; ALP: Alkaline phosphatase; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; GSH: Glutathione; GSSG: Glutathione disulfide; H₂O₂: Hydrogen peroxide; LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase; LPO: Lipid peroxidation; MDA: Malondialdehyde; NO: Nitric oxide; ROS: Reactive oxygen species; SOD: Superoxide dismutase; TBA: Total bile acid.

Table 14 Effects and molecular mechanisms underlying cobalt NPs induced hepatonanotoxicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Tested model</th>
<th>Dose &amp; route of administration</th>
<th>Effects &amp; mechanism</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nano-Co</td>
<td>10-40 nm</td>
<td>Normal human liver L02 cells</td>
<td>2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, 20, and 40 μg/mL for 12 h or 24 h</td>
<td>Modulation of ROS/NLRP3 pathway</td>
<td>[162]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NLRP3: NOD-like receptor protein 3; ROS: Reactive oxygen species.

**Nanocellulose modified with oxalate ester**

Structural alteration of nanocellulose (CNS) may increase its application but such modification can lead to toxicity. Short-term exposure of Wistar rat to chemically modified CNS (NCD), mainly higher dose showed an elevated level of ALT, and AST in serum, with increased myeloperoxidase (MPO) but decreased CAT, and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) activities, indicating disruption in ROS balance. Further over-expressions of iNOS and Bax in treated groups compared to control suggests oxidative stress-mediated inflammation and induction of apoptosis in hepatocytes.[29].

**Polystyrene nanoparticles**

Polystyrene nanoparticles (PS NP) owe their origin to the degradation of microplastics. In aged -PS NPs (aPS) the oxygen-containing functional groups get increased on its surface. In a recent investigation, comparative toxicity of PS NPs and aPS NPs was done to evaluate their effects on the liver after short-term exposure. Metabolomic, biochemical, and histopathological results reveal that both types of NPs can affect glucose and lipid metabolism through modulating...
### Table 16 Effects and molecular mechanisms underlying nanoclay, NCD, polystyrene, chitosan induced hepatotoxicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Tested model</th>
<th>Dose &amp; route of administration</th>
<th>Effects &amp; mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nano-Clay</td>
<td>57.8 ± 12.3 nm &amp; 648.3 ± 232.2 nm (SEM)</td>
<td>BALB/C mice</td>
<td>1, 5, 10, 20 mg/kg b.w. (oral) for 7 d</td>
<td>ALT, AST (increased)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCD (modified nanocellulose with oxalate esters)</td>
<td>100 nm (SEM)</td>
<td>Wistar rat</td>
<td>50 &amp; 100 mg/kg b.w. (oral) for 7 d</td>
<td>ALT, AST (increased); CAT, GP activity (decreased); MPO activity (increased); iNOS, Bax (increased); dialated sinusoidal space, vacuolated hepatocytes, cellular infiltration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polystyrene</td>
<td>PS NPs ± 1.3 nm; aPS NPs ± 1.8 nm (SEM)</td>
<td>ICR mice</td>
<td>50 mg/kg/d (oral) for 7 d</td>
<td>Glucose, HDL-C, TG, TC (increased in blood); LDL-C (decreased in blood); Activation of PI3K/AKT/GLUT4 &amp; SREBP-1/PPARY/ATGL signaling pathways; TG decomposition; Lipid accumulation (increased); Nuclear pyknosis, blurred intercellular space, central hepatic vein congestion, hepatic ballooning; Compared to PS NPs, aPS NPs showed higher toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan (CsNPs)</td>
<td>18 ± 1 nm (DLS)</td>
<td>BHAL cell</td>
<td>≥ 0.5% w/v for 4 h</td>
<td>Readily internalized; Disrupt membrane integrity; ALT leakage; CYP3A4 enzyme activity (increased); necrotic or autophagic cell death</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Chitosan nanoparticles

Chitosan molecules being considered biocompatible have been tested for liver toxicity. Compared to the chitosan molecule, CsNPs showed higher cellular uptake though having poor cell adhesiveness. Availability of more ALT in the extracellular space of BHAL cells after 4 h of exposure indicates loss of membrane integrity. In a concentration-dependent manner CYP3A4 activity was seen to increase suggesting activation of defense mechanism for clearance of CsNPs. Also, it caused significant damage to the nucleus and cytoplasm, indicating necrotic cell death of hepatocytes.

Hydroxyapatite nanoparticles

Hydroxyapatite NPs (HANP) showed antitumor activity in HepG2 cells within a range of 20-80 nm particle size. Its cellular uptake and nuclear localization followed by efficacy was found to diminish with increasing particle size. Treated cells exhibited caspase-3, and caspase-9 activation with increased proapoptotic markers (Bax, Bid) and with a concomitant decrease in Bcl-2 and cytochrome c release from mitochondria to the cytoplasm, confirmed HANP-mediated activation of mitochondrial-dependent apoptotic pathway. A similar result was documented in another experiment, where incubation of buffalo rat liver (BRL) cells with 80 nm HANPs at 200 µg/mL, exhibited diminished cell viability, LDH leakage, induced apoptosis, and necrosis, and MAPK pathway-mediated cytotoxicity. In vivo, study results showed infiltration of inflammatory cells near the portal area, increased WBC count, ALT, and TNF-α in serum of treated rats with increased levels of H2O2, MDA suggesting HANPs induced oxidative stress-related liver injury. Follow Table 16 for a comprehensive account.

Quantum dots

Mice with both acute and chronic exposure to cadmium selenium (CdSe) QDs showed predominant liver accumulation. Enlarged central vein and disordered hepatic cords were observed for chronic exposure only. In contrast the in vitro study unveiled that, Hepa 1-6 cells (murine liver cells) became condensed and decreased in size while J774A.1 cell (macrophage substitute for Kupffer cell) became condensed and round. Beta-mercaptoethanol (β-ME) pretreatment was found to attenuate the QDs-induced increase of MDA level, suggesting QDs-induced oxidative stress in the liver involves the production of free radicals with compromised ROS scavengers (GSH-Px) that have provoked cytotoxicity in hepatocytes and macrophages, potentiating impairment of cellular differentiation without causing any death. Similarly, perturbated redox homeostasis in mice treated with Cd/Se/Te-based quantum dot 705 has been documented. Increased levels of copper, zinc, and selenium with trace elements and their corresponding transporters (ZIP8, ZIP14, and CTR-1),...
over-expressed oxidative stress markers (heme oxygenase-1 expression, 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2′-deoxyguanosine) along with reduced SOD, GPx activity, GSH/GSSG ratio indicates oxidative stress. Also upregulated pro-inflammatory mediators (IL-6, TNF-α) and liver markers (ALT, AST) signify liver damage due to oxidative stress-mediated inflammatory response[167]. CdSe/ZnS QDs were also reported to induce oxidative stress, inflammation, pyroptosis, and liver dysfunction. Application of Z-YVAD-FMK (caspase-1 inhibitor), 2-APB (Ca²⁺ channel blocker), BAPTA-AM (intracellular Ca²⁺ chelator), NAC (a total ROS scavenger), Mito-TEMPO (a mtROS scavenger) and further silencing NLRP3 was reported to alleviate QDs mediated pyroptosis of hepatocytes, confirming the underlying mechanisms include intracellular Ca²⁺ mobilization that triggered mtROS generation and subsequent activation of NLRP3 inflammasome leading to caspase-1 mediated pyroptosis. A similar result was in agreement when NLRP3 knocked out mice exposed to QDs[168]. On the contrary except QDs accumulation in mitochondria, lysosome, and lipid droplets no significant signs of liver damage were observed when Kunming mice were subjected to Mn-doped ZnS QDs and polyethylene glycol-coated QDs exposure[169]. Similarly except slight increment of liver markers (ALT, AST, ALP) in serum, no such remarkable liver tissue damage was recorded in mice exposed to cadmium-free inuimid-based QDs[25]. Again, cadmium telluride (CdTe) QDs administration was found to elevate oxidative stress in AML 12 (murine hepatoma cells alpha mouse liver 12) and mice model, concomitant increased expression pattern of the tumor-suppressor gene (p53), proapoptotic gene (Bax) and decreased level of antiapoptotic marker (Bcl-2) suggests activation of mitochondria-mediated apoptotic pathway in hepatocytes. NF-E2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) deficiency was found to attenuate CdTe-QDs provoked injury and apoptosis suggesting the underlying mechanism involves modulation of the Nrf2 signaling pathway[170]. A series of investigations have proved that mitochondria are the prominent target of CdTe-QDs in hepatocytes. In different cell lines and mice models, it was found that interaction between CdTe-QDs and mitochondrial membrane resulted in mitochondrial enlargement, membrane potential disruption, opening of permeability transition pore, impaired oxidative phosphorylation via diminishing activity of electron transport chain enzymes, ROS accumulation, redox damage, ATP depletion and increased PGC-1α. Together all these indicate oxidative mediated stress-mediated release of cytochrome c and Bax to promote intrinsic and extrinsic pathways of apoptosis in CdTe-QDs exposed hepatocytes[9,171-173]. When normal and carcinoma liver cells were incubated with CdTe/CdS QDs for 24 h, both the cells showed similar lysosomal accumulation of QDs followed by abnormal activation of lysosomal enzymes that triggered lysosome-dependent ROS production and autophagy. Inhibition of lysosomal enzymes were also found to prevent ROS production and activation of autophagic flux and thereby rescued hepatocytes from cytotoxic effects of QDs[3]. A recent in vitro investigation unveils the sub-acute low dose of CdTe QDs uptake leads to both activation of NF-KB pathway through overproduction of ROS that also indirectly regulates NLRP3 inflammasome assembly to trigger inflammatory cascades via inflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, TNF-α, IL-6) and activation of Kupffer cells to cause liver tissue injury. In in vitro study pretreatment of KUP5 cells with NAC (N-acetylcysteine – ROS scavenger) and DHMEQ (Dehydroxymethyl-epoxyquinomicin- NF-KB translocation inhibitor) before QDs, reversed the activation of Kupffer cells following down-regulation of NF-κB, caspase-1, and NLRP3[174]. A recent study highlights the varied impact of CDs (Carbon Quantum Dots) on liver cells (KUP5 and AML12 cells in vitro) and the importance of the TFEB-lysosome pathway in regulating autophagy and apoptosis induced by CDs on liver cells for a comprehensive toxicological safety evaluation[175]. Follow Table 17 for a comprehensive account.

### Gold nanoparticles

Gold is generally unreactive in its natural state but becomes reactive in its ionic form. It can also exist as gold salts, allowing the synthesis of nanomaterials with properties like easy synthesis, high particle reactivity, and strong optical characteristics[176,177]. In recent days, gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) have gained considerable attention in various fields, especially in biomedical sciences due to their unique physicochemical properties[178]. Nevertheless, there are many concerns regarding their potential hepatotoxic effects that have raised questions about their safety use in such applications. Numerous inflammatory and cytotoxic responses have been observed with smaller-sized AuNPs in comparison to
Table 17 Effects and molecular mechanisms underlying quantum dots induced hepatotoxicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Tested model</th>
<th>Dose &amp; route of administration</th>
<th>Effects &amp; mechanism</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cd/Se/Te QD705</td>
<td>12.3 ± 5.2 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>ICR mice</td>
<td>100 µL of 40 and 160 pmol (IV) sacrificed at 12 and 16 wk</td>
<td>ALT, AST (increased); GPx, HO-1, 8-oxo-dG (increased); Cu/Zn/S e (increased); SOD activity (decreased); GSH/GSSG; Unbalanced antioxidant systems; Trace metals, trace metal transporters; TNFa, IL-6 (increased)</td>
<td>[167]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdSe QD</td>
<td>4 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Kunming mice Hepa 1–6 cells</td>
<td>200 nM CdCl2, 20 nM &amp; 200 nM QDs (acute) for 48 h (IP); 20 nM CdCl2, 5 nm &amp; 10 nM QDs for 6 wk (chronic) (IP); 20 nM CdCl2, 5 nm, 10 nM and 20 nM QDs for 24 &amp; 48 h</td>
<td>ROS, MDA (increased); GSH-Px (decreased); Enlarged central vein, disordered hepatic cords; Reduced cell size, condensation; Round and condensed macrophage</td>
<td>[166]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn-doped ZnS QDs</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.1 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>Kunming mice</td>
<td>1 &amp; 5 mg/kg (QDs); 5 mg/kg (QDsPEG) (IV) for 7 da sacrificed on 8th &amp; 28th day</td>
<td>QDs accumulated in mitochondia, lysosome, lipid droplets; No hepatic damage</td>
<td>[169]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdTe QDs</td>
<td>2.2 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>AML 12; ICR mice</td>
<td>27.66, 41.49, 53.94, 70.12, 91.16 &amp; 118.50 pg/mL for 24 &amp; 48 h; 4.125, 8.25 and 16.5 mg/kg body weight (IV) once a week for 4 h</td>
<td>LPO, MDA, SOD, CAT, P53, Bcl-2, Nrf2, HO-1 (increased); Bax (decreased); ATP concentration (decreased); Nrf2 signaling pathway activation</td>
<td>[170]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdTe QDs</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1.2 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>HepG2 cell</td>
<td>10 pg/mL containing 1 pg/mL of cadmium for 24 h</td>
<td>MMP disruption, mitochondrial swelling, increased intracellular ca2+ levels, impaired cellular respiration &amp; decreased ATP synthesis; PGC-1α (increased)</td>
<td>[171]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdTe QDs</td>
<td>15.25 ± 0.34 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>BALB/c mice</td>
<td>0.4, 2, 5, 6, 7, and 10 mg/kg b.w (lv) for 24 h; 5 mg/kg b.w (lv) 2 h, 24 h, 3 d, and 1 wk</td>
<td>Enlarged mitochondria with increment in number; Affects ETC complex &amp; ATP synthesis energy metabolism impairment</td>
<td>[172]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdSe/Zn QD</td>
<td>7.1 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>L02 cells, CS7BL/6 mice; NLRP3 knock out mice</td>
<td>5, 10, 20, 40, 80 nM, 24 and 48 h; 10 nmol/kg (IV) results at 2 wk</td>
<td>Dose-dependent decrease in cell viability; pyroptosis; Caspase-1 activity(increased); NLRP3 inflammasome activation; mt ROS production (increased); Cytoplasmic Ca2+ levels (increased); levels ALT, AST, MPO, TNFα, IL-1β (increased); γ-GT (decreased)</td>
<td>[168]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdTe QDs</td>
<td>15.25 ± 0.34 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>BALB/c mice</td>
<td>AST, ALT, ALP (slightly increased); No hepatic damage</td>
<td>Lyosomal internalization; Abnormal activation of lysosomal enzymes; ROS generation (increased); Autophagy</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdTe/CdS QDs</td>
<td>12 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>HL-7702; HepG2 cells</td>
<td>1-32 nM for 48 h</td>
<td>AST, ALT, T-bil (increased); Albumin (decreased); liver accumulation</td>
<td>[173]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdTe QDs</td>
<td>15.25 ± 0.34 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>BALB/c mice</td>
<td>0.4, 2, 5, 6, 7, and 10 mg/kg b.w (lv) for 24 h; 5 mg/kg b.w. (lv) 2 h, 24 h, 3 d, and 1 wk</td>
<td>AST, ALT, T-bil (increased); Albumin (decreased); liver accumulation</td>
<td>[173]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdTe QDs</td>
<td>2.2-3.0 nm (TEM)</td>
<td>ICR mice; KUP5 cells</td>
<td>2.5 &amp; 10 µM/kg b.w. (lv) single dose once per week for 14 d; 5, 50 &amp; 500 NM</td>
<td>IL-1β, TNF-α, IL-6 (increased); Assembly of NLRP3 inflammasome; ROS productin (increased); Activation of NF-KB pathway; Kupffer cell activation</td>
<td>[174]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
contact with larger-sized AuNPs with the same mass concentration because of their highly reactive role with biological constituents, and have stressed the harmful effects produced by a large number of nanoparticles[179]. AuNPs activate hepatic macrophages and consequently stimulate the occurrence of immune heptitis and liver dysfunction[180,181].

Serum ALT and AST levels, indicative of liver damage, remained within the normal range in NC (Normal Chow) diet-fed mice 24 h or 7 d after AuNP administration, suggesting AuNPs' non-toxicity under normal diet conditions[182]. Conversely, MCD (methionine and choline-deficient) diet-fed mice exhibited elevated ALT and AST levels post-AuNP administration, indicating hepatotoxicity. The experiment revealed that MCD diets induced hepatic beta-oxidation and blocking hepatic export of very low-density lipoprotein, but AuNP-induced hepatotoxicity was attributed to increased inflammatory response and apoptosis, not accumulated TG contents[182]. Intravenously injected AuNPs rapidly accumulate in Kupffer cells in the liver, stimulating these cells and leading to increased monocyte function, upregulated cytokine secretion, and subsequent liver damage through enhanced necrosis, apoptosis, and abnormal ROS production[183]. The toxicity of AuNPs is associated with their capacity to stimulate inflammatory responses and accelerate stress-induced apoptosis, with smaller nanoparticle sizes contributing to toxicity[184]. AuNPs induce hepatocellular injury through ROS generation, promoting oxidative stress[185]. This oxidative stress, characterized by lipid peroxidation, protein damage, and DNA modifications, is exacerbated by inflammatory responses and pro-inflammatory cytokines. The correlation between nanoparticles and oxidative stress suggests fatty acid peroxidation as a probable cause for AuNP-triggered DNA destruction[186]. Khan et al[187] measured oxidative stress markers in rats exposed to AuNPs, revealing increased MDA levels specifically in the liver, indicating AuNPs' liver-specific oxidative stress. The mutagenic and carcinogenic nature of MDA, a product of fatty acid peroxidation, suggests its potential to combine with DNA, leading to DNA damage and potentially activating programmed cell death pathways[187]. Research has shown that AuNPs can enter hepatocytes through various mechanisms, including endocytosis and direct penetration of the cell membrane[188,189]. Once internalized, these may accumulate in specific subcellular compartments, such as the ER or mitochondria which leads to inducing organelle-specific toxicity. The disruption of cellular organelles can trigger a cascade of events leading to hepatocellular damage[190,191]. Cell migration, crucial for mammalian cell survival and differentiation and regulated by external signals, was significantly reduced by 70% in HeLa cells treated with MUAM-AuNPs, as demonstrated in a gap-filling assay by Lee et al[192]; this reduction was attributed to the loss of long F-actins aligned with the migration axis, impacting migration-related signaling pathways, disrupting extracellular matrix organization, and ultimately impeding cell migration[192-194]. Additionally, AuNPs induced differential gene expression in treated samples, involving both upregulated and downregulated genes associated with cellular metabolism, protein catabolism, cell cycle, and G1/S transition; notably, downregulation of genes related to the G1 phase and nucleic acid metabolism suggested inhibition of DNA synthesis. In a separate experiment, 1.4-nm triphenyl monosulfonate (TPPMS)-coated AuNPs caused necrotic cell death through elevated oxidative stress and loss of mitochondrial potential, while Tiopronin-coated AuNPs induced necrosis via increased ROS production and apoptosis due to mitochondrial dysfunction; citrate AuNPs also exhibited dose-dependent ROS production leading to apoptosis[195,196]. Moreover, Au clusters significantly increased ROS production by inhibiting TrxR1 activity, inducing apoptosis, and disrupting mitochondrial membrane polarization[197]. Finally, irradiation in the presence of AuNPs led to an interaction with the cell membrane protein disulfide isomerase, disrupting thiol balance, causing cellular redox imbalance, and ultimately inducing oxidative stress[196].

**Silver nanoparticles**

Silver nanoparticles (AgNP)-intoxication significantly disturbs normal liver function, elevates hepatic lipid peroxidation, increases liver DNA damage, and induces biochemical and histological alterations in rats[198]. The toxicity of AgNPs mainly originates from the degraded forms of AgNPs, the “particle-specific effect” or the triggered oxidation stress[199]. After cellular intake, these (AgNPs) would enter the acidic endo/Lysosomes (pH4.5–6.5) and undergo chemical transformations from particulate silver to elemental silver, Ag+, Ag-O- and Ag-S- species[200]. The Ag+ released from AgNPs dissolution is thought to bind intracellular sulfhydryl group (~SH)-containing molecules and leads to cytotoxicity, which is known as the “Trojan-horse” mechanism[199]. AgNPs also help in intracellular ROS production and cause cellular damage, e.g. genotoxicity, mitochondrial dysfunction, and cell membrane damage[201]. Ag ions have been reported to cause disturbance and destruction of mitochondrial function through interaction with thiol groups of inner mitochondrial membrane proteins and AgNPs decrease the activity of mitochondrial respiratory chain complexes and reduce antioxidant factors like glutathione, thioredoxin, superoxide dismutase, and N-acetylcysteine in liver cells[202,203]. Xu et al[204] investigated two normal hepatic cell lines (NCTC1469 and L-02) and two hepatoma cell lines (Hepa1–6 and HepG2) to assess the cytotoxicity of AgNPs. They have shown AgNPs could certainly lead to intra-cellular oxidation stress and cytotoxicity through acting GST molecules and thus suppressing its enzyme activity, although GST expressions were not significantly affected. The research also highlighted the binding of High Molecular Weight proteins to Ag+ became saturated and more Low molecular weight molecules (e.g. metallothionein) were continually synthesized by cells
to neutralize AgNPs and Ag+ for detoxification. It indicates that the dissipation of internalized AgNPs resulted in the formation of Ag-protein complexes. As a consequence, the damage of protein molecules by AgNPs and Ag+ would destroy the intra-cellular homeostasis of the liver. Assar et al.[204] pointed out that after 15 and 30 d of exposure to the maximum dose of AgNPs in rats, a drop in liver weight was observed to a striking rise in lipid peroxidation, leading to structural changes to lipid vacuoles. This finding also showed that a state of oxidative injury was provoked by silver nanoparticles in a dose-dependent way by the raised hepatic MDA (malondialdehyde) levels and the depletion of the antioxidant defensive mechanism by reducing the hepatic reduced glutathione (GSH) levels. The most severe hepatic damage was associated with increasing the AgNP-administered dose and expanding exposure time. Research findings from Mate[205], Srivastava et al[206], Ansar et al[207], and Piao et al[208] have detailed that continuous elevation of Ag+ concentration leads to continuous induction of hydroxyl radical, ultimately consumes more intracellular GSH, and disturbing the homeostasis of free radical scavenging. AgNPs raised MDA levels causing oxidative damage in rats[209].

Many studies support that the liver is the main target organ for AgNP action. The histological assessment of the liver indicated pathological changes that were dose and time-dependent and happened in the liver after 30 d of increasing concentrations of AgNP exposure. Sookkert et al[210] and Eije et al[111] showed that low levels of dissolved Ag were found in the Ag-NPs exposure shortly after exposure in the HepG2 human liver cells, and the amounts were lower than the measured EC50 for cytotoxicity of AgNO3, and identified six genes from HepG2 Liver cells, with three showing significant up-regulation of ROS and JUN, and two demonstrating up-regulation of EGR1, CXCL8, HSPB1, and MT2A. Notably, high-dosage AgNP exposure increased fold changes in genes associated with cell proliferation (FOS, JUN, and EGR1)[210]. An increased intracellular level of ROS can also activate cell-death-regulating pathways, such as p53, AKT, and MAP kinase[185]. Microscopic images revealed nuclear membrane distortion, blebbled nuclei formation, and accumulation of autophagic vacuoles in AgNP-treated liver cells, along with increased mitochondria, cytoplasmic vacuoles containing silver nanoparticles, and swollen lipid droplets. In hepatocytes, CEBPA (CCAAT enhancer binding protein alpha) is highly expressed and plays a critical role in regulating many metabolic liver genes, while CEBPB (CCAAT enhancer binding protein beta) is up-regulated during liver regeneration and plays a crucial role in the development of liver or acute inflammatory response[211]. The proto-oncogenes FOS and JUN, which are known to play important roles in both cell survival and the signaling pathway involved in hepatotoxicity, were highly up-regulated in the presence of AgNPs. In addition to that, heat shock protein family members HSPB1, HSPA4L, and HSPH1 were also significantly up-regulated[212]. Xin et al[213], reported that AgNPs induced oxidative stress, and consequently increased expression of heat shock protein and heme oxygenase (HMOX1) in both liver and lung cells. Sookert et al[210] identified 24 interesting candidate genes as possible targets of AgNP-induced hepaticocellular toxicity. SOX15, a highly upregulated gene, acts as a transcription activator involved in embryonic development regulation and cell fate determination. TLL1, the most noticeable down-regulated gene, is necessary for various developmental events. AgNPs may exert cytotoxic effects through SOX15 upregulation or TLL1 downregulation in hepatic cells. Deregulated autophagy after AgNP treatment was also seen which may lead to increased cell death either independently or synergistically with apoptosis or necrosis[214]. Wen et al[215] and Recordati et al[216] observed increased hepatocellular necrosis and gall bladder hemorrhage in mice injected with AgNPs, particularly with 10nm AgNPs. AgNP administration induced exacerbated hepatic steatosis, heightened liver injury, and elevated risk of NAFLD development and progression[215,216]. The effects were attributed to hyperactivation of SREBP-1c-mediated de novo lipogenesis, pro-inflammatory cytokine activation, and increased oxidative stress and DNA methylation[216]. Kim et al[217] demonstrated that cAgNPs (citrate-coated and stabilized) caused significant changes in ALP and LDH levels, indicating liver tissue damage persisting up to 28 d after exposure and suggesting prolonged impairment of liver structure and functions following a single exposure. From Lee et al[218], it was reported that the deposited AgNPs in hepatocytes were found to be individual particles with a size smaller than 100 nm in diameter. AgNPs accumulated in hepatocytes' endosomes and lysosomes, with additional deposition in Kupffer cells (> 100 nm agglomerates)[218]. Kupffer cells played a role in inflammation observed with mild inflammatory cell infiltration in portal vein areas. Elevated ALT and AST levels indicated liver damage persisting up to one month after AgNP administration[218]. Maternal exposure to AgNPs via the intragastric route led to increased silver content in rat offspring livers, causing a significant reduction in body weight and dilated blood vessels. Liver damage, indicated by vacuolation and lipid peroxidation, was associated with elevated caspase-9 concentration, suggesting AgNPs induce apoptosis through the intrinsic pathway in offspring livers[219].

CONCLUSION

In summary, the extensive examination sheds light on the intricate landscape of hepatotoxicity induced by various nanoparticles (NPs), revealing distinct mechanisms and effects associated with different nanomaterials. Size-dependent hepatotoxicity is observed in SiNPs, with smaller particles causing more severe liver injury. The combined toxicity of SiNPs with other liver toxins highlights potential synergies in NP-induced liver damage. Oxidative stress, inflammation, apoptosis, and genotoxicity are induced by NioO-NPs, W03 NP, Nano-CuO, and other nanomaterials, illustrating the complexity of NP-mediated hepatotoxic effects (Figures 1-3).

Integrative omics analyses identify key proteins and disrupted metabolic pathways in SiNP-induced hepatotoxicity, underscoring the necessity for a multifaceted understanding of NP-induced liver damage. CNTs, including SWCNTs and MWCNTs varieties, contribute to hepatotoxicity through inflammatory responses and oxidative stress, with variations in toxicity observed among different types of CNTs.

Moreover, exposure to CuS/CdS-DNPs, cobalt nanoparticles, nanoclay particles, nanocellulose, polystyrene nanoparticles, chitosan nanoparticles, hydroxyapatite nanoparticles, quantum dots, and gold nanoparticles elucidates
Figure 3 Different modalities of nanoparticles induced hepatotoxicity. ER: Endoplasmic reticulum; ROS: Reactive oxygen species.

diverse hepatotoxic effects, underscoring the importance of considering nanoparticle characteristics in toxicity assessments.

Despite these toxicities, it is noteworthy that nanoparticles play a pivotal role in diverse biomedical applications, showcasing their versatility and impact. In cancer therapy, catalytic strategies employing substances like hydrogen peroxide and glucose, alongside biocompatible nanomaterials, promise efficient treatment with minimal side effects[220]. Nanomaterials contribute significantly to the fight against coronavirus disease 2019, aiding in rapid diagnostics, vaccine development, and therapeutic interventions[221]. Transition metal-based nanoparticles, particularly those with anisotropic shapes, offer unique properties for biomedical applications, including drug delivery and imaging[222]. Precision nanoparticles (PNPs) emerge as discrete structures with precisely tailored heterogeneity, addressing challenges associated with uncontrolled nanoparticle variability[223]. PNPs significantly enhance the performance of nanoparticle-based vehicles in various biological processes, presenting a promising avenue for improved biomedical outcomes.

Therefore, the study concludes by emphasizing the urgent need for a comprehensive understanding of NP-induced hepatotoxicity to ensure the safe use of nanomaterials, suggesting further in vivo studies and exploration of potential protective strategies. Additionally, the proposal of herbal gold nanoparticles as a potential hepatoprotective agent opens avenues for future research and development in the field. Overall, the findings underscore the complexity and diversity of nanomaterial-induced hepatotoxicity, emphasizing the importance of continued research for safer nanomaterial applications in various contexts.
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