PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76061

Title: Prognostic role of the abnormal multiple genes in non-small-cell lung cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 04583246

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: South Korea

Author’s Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-28 10:32

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-28 10:36

Review time: 1 Hour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific quality</th>
<th>[ ] Grade A: Excellent</th>
<th>[ ] Grade B: Very good</th>
<th>[ ] Grade C: Good</th>
<th>[ ] Grade D: Fair</th>
<th>[ ] Grade E: Do not publish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language quality</td>
<td>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing</td>
<td>[ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing</td>
<td>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing</td>
<td>[ ] Grade D: Rejection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>[ ] Accept (High priority)</td>
<td>[ ] Accept (General priority)</td>
<td>[ ] Minor revision</td>
<td>[ ] Major revision</td>
<td>[ ] Rejection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-review</td>
<td>[ ] Yes</td>
<td>[ ] No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer-reviewer</td>
<td>Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous</td>
<td>[ ] Onymous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This bioinformatics-based study is well-written and shows important and advanced data. Thus, it is worth being accepted.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Dear author, thank you for the effort you put into this beautiful article. I have a few suggestions for you. The introduction is too long. In the method section, I suggest you write in more detail how you obtained the data (survival, recurrence-metastasis, etc.) of the patients.