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Overall, this manuscript presents innovative ideas clearly and effectively. The structure is well-organized, and the writing is clear and concise. The author has done an excellent job of explaining a complex technical process in an easy-to-understand way. However, to improve the manuscript, the author should ensure that the references cited in the introduction and related work section are thoroughly addressed in the reference section. Additionally, the introduction should provide an extended version of the abstract, with elaboration on the key points and supportive ideas and references. Lastly, the conclusion section needs revision to provide a more insightful and comprehensive summary of the manuscript. Finally, the author should ensure that all references are properly formatted according to the relevant rules.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Report: Townes-Brocks syndrome with adult renal impairment in a Chinese family: A case report This is an interesting case report, however, the manuscript would benefit from the following corrections/amendments: 1. Please mention the genetic findings in the Abstract. 2. Please provide OMIM number for each malformation mentioned in the text. 3. Methods: Please give the detail of Whole exome sequencing and filtration strategy. 4. Please give the allele frequency of the detected variant. 5. There is discrepancy in the report. In the Abstract and Results, the author mention ‘….a heterozygous variant of SALL1..’ where as in legend to figure ‘…….Figure 2 Identification of the compound heterozygous variants in the family….’. 6. Fig. 2. Please give the Sanger of reference /unaffected subjects. 7. Legend to figure 2. The statement is not correct ‘……Affected family members are denoted in black.’ 8. Pedigree. Please mention who underwent molecular study.