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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, a case of Retinoblastoma resenting with secondary glaucoma is described. The tile and the abstract is informative and complete. The introduction part is well written however in Page 3 line 51-55: "Currently, the survival rate of Rb patients in developed countries has reached 95%.......it diagnosis is critical for the prognosis of the patients": reference is missing in this sentence and should be added. The case presentation is well written and clear. Was a genetic exam performed to exclude mutation of RB? Why a brain MRI was not performed? Discussion is complete and informative. I would suggest creating a table with a recap of RB stage and the treatment for each stage. However, this case is not completely novel and to make it more complete I suggest adding a literature review of previously published similar cases.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The authors presented an interesting case of neglected retinoblastoma. there are only a few minor issues that should be addressed before publication. 1.the abstract is too long for a case report. it has been said that the abstract of case report should be less than 150 world. 2. during the introduction part you claimed that "atypical symptoms may result in the wrong diagnosis and an inappropriate treatment plan". please provide several of these uncommon presentation. 3. during the introduction you didn't say anything regarding the management while you mentioned and discussed about it during the foregoing sections of case presentation and discussion 4. the foregoing article may help you. (https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/9745701) please use it in your manuscript. 5. I revised the manuscript grammatically using track changes. please find the attached.