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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This is a well written paper. The authors showed that the imaging, neurophysiology, and clinical features of far lateral lumbar disc herniation (FFLH) in detail, I have some comments. 1. The schematic drawing of relationship between dural sac and nerve roots of disc herniations in different axial location should be added. 2. The clinical presentation should be described in more detail, including the area of radicular pain, the special position that result aggravation or mitigation, the natural course of FFLH. 3. The classification of FFLH in CT or MRI should be described and the figure of each classification also should be added.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

On the whole, the manuscript is well written. Some statements and contents need to be modified: In EPIDEMIOLOGY AND CLINICAL PRESENTATION section: 1. The sentence "FLLDH usually migrate cranially, following the concavity of the dorsolateral aspect of the vertebral body and cause compressive radiculopathy by impinging on the root and dorsal root ganglion from below." is puzzling and should be deleted. Then, the following content is directly connected with the previous paragraph. 2. (e.g. in the case of a paramedian L4-L5 herniation, the L4 root) The word "paramedian" in parentheses should be changed to far lateral. 3. "Foraminal and intra-extraforaminal" should be changed to Introforaminal and extraforaminal. In DIAGNOSTIC IMAGING section: 1."cannot not" should delete word "not". 2. word "Hosteophytes" should be osteophytes. 2. Those parameters should be deleted in this paragraph.“A dedicated MR protocol includes sagittal sections, from L1 to S1, T1 spin-echo (slice thickness 3 to 4 mm RT 600 ms, ET 8 ms; FOV 300 x 160 mm) and T2 fast- spinecho (slice thickness 3 to 4 mm RT 3500 ms, ET 100 ms; FOV 300 x 160 mm), T2 weighted fast-spin-echo axial sections (slice thickness 3 to 4 mm RT 4000 ms, ET 120 ms; FOV 200 x 200 mm) parallel to intersomatic discs and T2 weighted fast-spin-echo paracoronal sections from L1 to S1 (slice thickness 4 mm RT 3500 ms, ET 100 ms: FOV 300 x 160 cm)”. In NEUROPHYSIOLOGY section: Neuroelectrophysiology is not important in the diagnosis of extreme lateral lumbar disc herniation. This section is a little too much. I suggest refining it.
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Congratulate! The author has thoroughly revised this manuscript based on the reviewers’ comments, and I agree to accept this manuscript.