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We have read thoroughly all the reviewer comments with the constructive criticism which I do respect for the perfection of the manuscript. We have taken all comments into consideration and we answered the questions and revised the text accordingly.

I am herewith attaching the revised manuscript and the point to point replies to the reviewers. I always do appreciate very much your support.

With my best regards,

Sincerely,

Banu Sarer Yurekli
Corresponding author

Answers to reviewers:

1. The abstract of this review needs to be improved. An abstract should be a brief summary of a review, not a list of statements.

Thank you for your comment. Abstract has been reconstructed as you mentioned.

2. Please use a secondary heading or third heading necessary to make this review more compact and carefully constructed. And using these headings will outline the main ideas that helps the readers to quickly catch the point of each part.

Necessary subheadings has been written in the manuscript.

3. A figure is suggested to be used to indicate structural features of EDCs and obesity and diabetes-prone chemicals.

A new figure as Figure 1 is added to the part “Endocrine Disruptors” indicating structural features of Endocrine disruptors.
4. The Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) and heavy metals and other chemicals that are not close related to obesity and diabetes-prone chemicals, are suggested to be compressed. Necessary changes have been made in the part that you have mentioned.

5. Was this part, Clinical and Mechanistic Evidences, written by different author? Because the writing style of this part is quite different with previous parts. There are three figures in this part, and no figures in other part. Also, secondary heading is suggested in the last section.

Whole manuscript has been read carefully and necessary changes have been made to provide the integrity of our manuscript. As you mentioned, an additional Figure has been added. Subtitles have been written in the Clinical and Mechanistic Evidences part to present the knowledge in a logical way.

According to World Journal of Clinical Cases submission guidelines, Conflict of interest, Copyright and audio core tip files are uploaded.

According to your request, the manuscript has been revised thoroughly and edited by an English native speaker in the school of foreign languages in our university, Ege University The English department is acknowledged in the acknowledgment section in the revised manuscript.