Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers’ comments concerning our manuscript entitled “Late Contralateral Recurrence of Retinal Detachment in Incontinentia Pigmenti: A Case Report” (ID:71055). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising the manuscript and improving our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made corrections accordingly. The corrections and responses to the editors/reviewers’ comments are as follows:

**Reviewer#1:**

I read with great interest this article focused on retinal side effects in a patient with incontinentia pigmenti. The flow is great and the case report is well presented. I would like to see more contest explanation about the pandemic condition that may or may not influenced the medical management and timing and patient compliance to dermatological therapies.

**Response to comments:**

We appreciate your encouragement. In the introduction, we add the sentence “The prevalence of IP may vary according to sex and geographical location.” “The proportion of male patients reported to survive past birth in East Asia was higher than that in other areas”. In the discussion, the sentence “Nearly 100% of IP patients have skin lesions, 30% have neurologic presentations, 54-80% have dental problems, and 25-77% have ocular diseases” was added in the first paragraph.

**Reviewer#2:**

I find this case report very useful for the ophthalmic practice. The content is adequate for publication.

**Response to comments:**

There is no need to modify here.

We have improved the manuscript based on your suggestions, which will not influence the content and framework of the paper. We also perform further language polishing by
Pubsci. Your works are highly appreciated, and we hope these corrections will meet with approval.
Once again, thank you so much for the feedback.
Yours sincerely,
Xin Zhong