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Clinical and Translational Research

Causal relationships between gut microbiota and dementia: A two-sample, bidirectional, Mendelian randomization study

Zhao-Lin Ren, Hai-Hong Zhou, Chu-Pei Chen, Hao He, Duo-Lao Wang, Zhou Liu

BACKGROUND
Existing evidence suggests that gut microbiota represent a significant environmental risk factor for various forms of dementia, including Alzheimer’s dementia, vascular dementia, and dementia in other diseases classified elsewhere. However, the exact causal relationships between gut microbiota and the different forms of dementia or their subtypes remain unclear.

AIM
To investigate putative causal relationships between gut microbiota and dementia or its subtypes using Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis.

METHODS
A bidirectional, two-sample, MR analysis was conducted utilizing publicly available gut microbiota-related genome-wide association study (GWAS) summary data from the MiBioGen consortium alongside GWAS summary statistics for dementia and its subtypes from the FinnGen consortium. Instrumental variables were selected according to the fundamental tenets of MR and their strengths were evaluated using the $F$-statistic. Five MR methods were employed, and the robustness of our findings was validated. To account for multiple comparisons, we applied the Bonferroni method for $P$-value adjustment.

RESULTS
We identified several gut microbiota taxa exhibiting putative causal relationships with dementia or its subtypes, potentially serving as risk or protective factors for the disease. In addition, reverse MR analysis indicated that the relative abundance of several gut microbiota taxa might be influenced by dementia or its subtypes. An exhaustive sensitivity analysis confirmed the absence of heterogeneity and horizontal pleiotropy. After applying correction for multiple testing, we observed that the order Bacillales (odds ratio: 0.830, 95% confidence interval: 0.740-0.932, \( P = 0.00155, \text{Padjust} = 0.0311 \)) exhibited a strong association with Alzheimer’s disease-related dementia.

CONCLUSION
The results suggest that gut microbiota is causally associated with dementia. Our findings provide novel insights into the pathophysiology of dementia and have important implications for its treatment and prevention.
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Core Tip: We identified several gut microbiota taxa as being associated with the risk of or protection against dementia, including its subtypes. These gut microbiota also exhibited potential as therapeutic targets for the disease. Conversely, reverse Mendelian randomization analysis indicated that dementia or its subtypes also influenced the abundance of several gut bacteria, implying that these taxa may serve as biomarkers for assessing the occurrence and progression of dementia. This study offers novel insights into the mechanisms underlying the role of the gut microbiome in this disorder.

INTRODUCTION
Dementia refers to any disease that results in a significant decline in an individual’s cognitive ability, impacting their language, attention, orientation, judgment, and planning skills. Dementia can have numerous causes, with neurodegenerative and cerebrovascular diseases being predominant[1,2]. The global prevalence of dementia is projected to increase approximately 2.7-fold by 2050 compared with 2019 due to population growth and aging[3]. Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative disorder characterized by progressive cognitive decline and behavioral impairment and is the most common cause of dementia. The etiology of AD remains uncertain, but it is hypothesized to be associated with genetic factors, protein aggregation, and neuroinflammation[4]. Vascular dementia is the second most common form of dementia, accounting for approximately 15% of the total number of cases. It is attributed to conditions such as cerebral infarction and chronic small vessel disease in the brain[5]. Numerous other types of dementia have also been identified, including frontotemporal dementia, Lewy body dementia, and dementia associated with Parkinson’s disease, among others[6,7].

Gut microbiota have a significant impact on the well-being of their host throughout life. They play a role in the regulation of brain function and behavior through the gut–brain axis and their dysbiosis is associated with the pathogenesis of a variety of neurological disorders[8,9], including diverse forms of dementia. The gut microbiota of patients with AD has been reported to be enriched with the Bacteroides genus. The transplantation of fecal samples of AD patients into mice resulted in the increased abundance of Bacteroides fragilis, accompanied by the activation of the C/EBPβ/AEP pathway, increased pro-inflammatory polyunsaturated fatty acid metabolism, enhanced microglial activation, and neuroinflammation, which ultimately promoted the development of AD pathology and cognitive impairment[10]. Other studies have reported that the relative abundance of Firmicutes and Bifidobacterium is decreased in the fecal microbiota of patients with AD, whereas that of Bacteroidetes is increased[11]. Vascular dementia is strongly linked to a variety of factors, including atherosclerosis, cerebrovascular disease, endothelial dysfunction, gut dysbiosis, lipopolysaccharide-induced responses, short-chain fatty acid contents, and the level of trimethylamine-N-oxide, all of which are influenced by the gut microbiota[13]. Additionally, analysis of the gut microflora of patients with Lewy body dementia revealed a decrease in the abundance of short-chain fatty acid-producing genera and an increase in the prevalence of Ruminococcus torques and Collinsella[14].

Mendelian randomization (MR) studies exploit associations between genetic variants and modifiable exposures to evaluate the possible causal relationships between said exposures and outcomes while minimizing bias stemming from confounding and reverse causation. Two-sample, bidirectional MR analysis utilizes exposure and outcome data from two independent samples to estimate causal relationships and assists in elucidating the directionality of complex causal associations[15]. STROBE-MR provides guidelines for reporting MR studies[16].
In this work, we sought to identify causal relationships between gut microbiota and different forms and subtypes of dementia via a comprehensive two-sample MR analysis using datasets from the MiBioGen and FinnGen consortia. Employing bidirectional MR, we investigated the potential causal impact of gut microbiota on dementia risk, as well as the influence of dementia on gut microbiota abundance.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Exposure data**

The exposure data utilized in this study were obtained from the publicly accessible IEU OpenGWAS project published by the MiBioGen consortium[17]. To investigate the influence of host genetics on gut microbiota composition, gut microbiota-associated genome-wide association study (GWAS) data for multiple cohorts, comprising 14306 participants from several European countries, were collected and analyzed. A total of 196 taxa were included, encompassing 9 phyla, 16 classes, 20 orders, 32 families, and 119 genera.

**Outcome data**

The GWAS data utilized as the outcome dataset in this study were obtained from the FinnGen R10 cohort[18]. Additionally, we obtained GWAS summary data for three types of dementia, namely, Alzheimer’s dementia, vascular dementia, and dementia in other diseases classified elsewhere. The diagnosis of dementia in FinnGen was based on version 10 of the International Classification of Diseases. Cases of different types of dementia were considered as narrower endpoints of dementia based on a strict definition. The specific details of the exposure and outcome variables analyzed in this MR study are presented in Table 1.

**Instrumental variables**

Instrumental variables (IVs) selection was based on the three fundamental assumptions of MR. There must be a significant association between a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and exposure, maintaining the independence of the SNP; confounding variables must be eliminated to ensure that the IV does not influence the causal link between the exposure and the outcome; and the outcome is not influenced by the SNP. In the MR analysis, the gut microbiota was considered as the exposure factor, while dementia and its subtypes served as the outcomes. SNPs that were strongly associated with the gut microbiota were utilized as IVs. Because of the limited number of IVs obtained under the stringent threshold ($P < 5e^{-8}$) when considering the gut microbiota as the exposure factor, a more inclusive threshold ($P < 1e^{-5}$) was subsequently used to increase the number of IVs and ensure more reliable results. When the gut microbiota was considered as the outcome factor, the strict threshold ($P < 5e^{-8}$) was used when there were a sufficient number of IVs for conducting a reverse MR analysis. In cases where IV availability was limited, alternative thresholds ($P < 5e^{-6}$ or $P < 1e^{-5}$) were selected. Additionally, SNP pruning was performed within a 10000 kb window with a threshold of $r^2 < 0.001$ to address the issue of linkage disequilibrium and ensure the independence of each IV. The selected SNPs were entered into the PhenoScanner database to identify those associated with confounding factors[19,20]. An F-statistic threshold greater than 10 was indicative of the absence of bias resulting from a weak IV[21]. The F-statistic was calculated using the formula $F = (\text{beta}/\text{se})^2$[22].

**Primary analysis**

A two-sample, bidirectional MR analysis was conducted to investigate the causal relationship between gut microbiota abundance and dementia or its subtypes. Five commonly used MR methods, namely, inverse-variance weighted (IVW), Egger regression, weighted median, simple mode, and weighted mode, were utilized for features that encompassed multiple IVs[23]. For features containing only one IV, associations were reported as odds ratios (ORs) and tested using the Wald ratio method[24]. Studies have reported that the IVW method demonstrates slightly higher statistical power than other methods in specific scenarios[25]. Thus, the IVW method was employed to determine whether a result was positive overall. A $P$-value $< 0.05$ was considered significant. An OR greater than 1 indicated that the exposure was a risk factor for the outcome, while an OR smaller than 1 indicated that the exposure was a protective factor for the outcome.

**Sensitivity analysis**

Cochran’s $Q$-statistic and the MR-Egger intercept test were employed to assess the heterogeneity among IVs[26]. A $P$-value greater than 0.05 for the $Q$-statistic was considered as indicating non-heterogeneity. The MR-Egger intercept test and the MR-PRESSO global test were used to evaluate the horizontal pleiotropy among the IVs[27,28]. No horizontal pleiotropy was inferred if the $P$-values in the MR-Egger intercept analysis or the MR-PRESSO global test exceeded 0.05. The MR-PRESSO outlier test was used for removing outlier SNPs and estimating the corrected results, while the MR-PRESSO direction test was employed to evaluate differences between the pre-correction and post-correction outcomes. Additionally, to detect possible heterogeneity among the SNPs, a sequential leave-one-out analysis was conducted, excluding each instrumental SNP. When performing the MR analysis by sequentially removing each SNP, it was found that the overall results were only minimally affected, indicating a limited direct impact of SNP heterogeneity.

**Bonferroni correction**

Multiple testing significance thresholds were established at each taxonomic level (phylum, class, order, family, and genus) using the Bonferroni method[29]. These thresholds were defined as $P < 0.05/n$, where $n$ represents the number of
Table 1 Details of the exposure and outcome data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Data source</th>
<th>Consortium</th>
<th>No. of samples</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>No. of controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gut microbiota</td>
<td>A total of 196 taxa</td>
<td>MiBioGen</td>
<td>14306</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5_ALZDEMENT</td>
<td>Dementia in AD</td>
<td>FinnGen (R10)</td>
<td>394705</td>
<td>6145</td>
<td>388560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5_VASCDEM</td>
<td>Vascular dementia</td>
<td>FinnGen (R10)</td>
<td>395741</td>
<td>2717</td>
<td>393024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5_DEMINOTH</td>
<td>Dementia in other diseases classified elsewhere</td>
<td>FinnGen (R10)</td>
<td>389828</td>
<td>1268</td>
<td>388560</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AD: Alzheimer’s disease.

independent gut microbiota taxa in the corresponding taxonomic level. Subsequently, the observed P-values were compared to this modified threshold to determine statistical significance.

Packages used for statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using R version 4.2.3. MR analyses were performed using the TwosampleMR and MR-PRESSO R packages[30,31]. Graphs were generated using the forestploter and ggplot2 R packages. A flow chart of the overall study design is presented in Figure 1.

RESULTS

Instrumental variable selection

In the MR analysis, we evaluated a total of 2036 SNPs (IVs) that were associated with gut microbiota at different taxonomical levels. Of these SNPs, 103 were associated with 9 phyla, 185 were associated with 16 classes, 224 were associated with 20 orders, 352 were associated with 32 families, and 1220 were associated with 119 genera. All these IVs demonstrated a stronger association with the exposure variable \( P < 1e^{-5} \) than with the outcome variable \( P > 5e^{-5} \), with all the respective F-statistics being greater than 10. Details of the SNPs are presented in Supplementary Table 1.

In the reverse MR analysis, we evaluated the impact of dementia and its subtypes as exposures on the abundance of 196 gut microbiota taxa. We identified 11 SNPs from the set of IVs \( P < 5e^{-8} \) that identified when examining Alzheimer’s dementia as an exposure factor; 18 SNPs were chosen from the set of IVs \( P < 5e^{-6} \) that identified when considering vascular dementia as an exposure factor; and 8 SNPs were chosen from the set of IVs \( P < 5e^{-6} \) that identified when examining dementia in other diseases classified elsewhere as an exposure factor. All the respective F-statistics were greater than 10. Details of the SNPs are presented in Supplementary Table 2.

All selected SNPs were examined for associations with potential confounding factors using the online tool PhenoScanner. No evidence was found for the existence of correlations between the selected IVs and other exposure factors that might influence the outcomes; accordingly, no SNPs were excluded from this study.

MR analysis and reverse MR analysis

Causal relationships between gut microbiota and AD-related dementia: We conducted a bidirectional MR analysis to evaluate whether there was a causal relationship between the abundance of 196 gut microbiota taxa and dementia in AD. The results of the IVW analysis demonstrated that 9 gut microbiota taxa exerted an influence on dementia in AD (Figure 2A). Four of the 9 taxa were associated with risk factors for dementia in AD, including the order Bifidobacteriales, the family Bifidobacteriaceae, and the genera Allisonella and Lachnospiraceae FCS020 group. Meanwhile, 5 gut microbiota taxa - the orders Bacillales and Enterobacteriales, the family Enterobacteriaceae, and the genera Intestimonas and Veillonella - were found to be associated with protective factors, with the order Bacillales exhibiting statistical significance in this regard \( (P = 0.0001, OR = 0.78, 95\% \text{ confidence interval} = 0.69-0.88) \) after correcting for multiple testing using the Bonferroni method. In the reverse MR analysis, IVW results revealed that the abundance of 9 gut microbiota taxa was influenced by dementia in AD (Supplementary Figure 1). Among individuals diagnosed with AD, the abundance of 6 taxa of gut microbiota was increased, including the class Erysipelotrichia, the order Erysipelotrichales, the families Erysipelotrichaceae and Family XIII, and the genera Eubacterium coprostasioligogenes group and Odoribacter.

The causal relationship between gut microbiota and vascular dementia: We also undertook a bidirectional MR analysis to assess the causal associations between the abundance of 196 gut microbiota taxa and vascular dementia. IVW analysis results suggested that a total of 9 taxa exerted influence on vascular dementia (Figure 2B). Five of these were associated with risk factors for vascular dementia, including the class Coriobacteria, the order Coriobacteriales, the families Coriobacteriaceae and Porphyromonadaceae, and the genus Ruminococcus gauvremi group. Additionally, 4 gut microbiota taxa were associated with protective factors, including the order Bacillales and the genera Intestimonas, Prevotella, and RuminococcusI. In the reverse MR analysis, the results of inverse variance weighting revealed that the abundance of 8 taxa of gut microbiota was also influenced by vascular dementia (Supplementary Figure 2). The abundance of 5 of these 8 taxa was increased, including the class Erysipelotrichia, the order Erysipelotrichales, the family Erysipelotrichaceae, and the genera Parasutterella and Veillonella, while that of 3 taxa (the family Bacteroidaceae and the genera Bacteroides and Eubacterium
The causal relationship between gut microbiota and dementia in other diseases classified elsewhere: A bidirectional MR analysis was conducted to evaluate the causal associations between the abundance of 196 gut microbiota and dementia in other diseases classified elsewhere. The results of the IVW analysis suggested that 14 gut microbiota taxa exerted an influence on dementia in other diseases classified elsewhere (Figure 2C). Among these 14 taxa, 6 were associated with risk factors for dementia in other diseases classified elsewhere, including the class Deltaproteobacteria, the order Desulfovibrionales, the families Desulfovibrionaceae and Oxalobacteraceae, and the genera Hungatella and Phascolarctobacterium. Meanwhile, 8 gut microbiota taxa were found to be associated with protective factors, including the phylum Lentisphaerae; the classes Actinobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, and Lentisphaeria; the order Victivallales; the family Bacteroidales S247 group; and the genera Flavonifractor and Lachnoclostridium. In the reverse MR analysis, no significant effect of dementia in other diseases classified elsewhere on the abundance of gut microbiota was observed with any of the five MR methods employed in this study.

Sensitivity analysis
In the two-sample, bidirectional MR analysis, the Q-statistics of both the IVW and MR-Egger analyses indicated that there was no heterogeneity within the selected IVs ($P > 0.05$). No significant directional horizontal pleiotropy was detected in the remaining results according to the MR-Egger intercept test and the MR-PRESSO global test ($P > 0.05$). Additionally, the leave-one-out analysis demonstrated the robustness of the MR results, as the exclusion of any individual IV did not affect the overall findings. Details of the heterogeneity and horizontal pleiotropy analyses are presented in Supplementary Table 3.

DISCUSSION
Although there is some evidence linking gut microbiota to dementia, specifically Alzheimer’s and vascular dementias, establishing a definitive causal relationship is difficult due to the complex molecular mechanisms involved. In this study, we explored the potential causal link between gut microbiota and dementia using a bidirectional, two-sample MR analysis. The results indicated that several taxa of gut microbiota may have causal roles in modulating the risk of dementia, either providing protection or increasing susceptibility. We found some evidence suggesting that dementia influenced the abundance of several gut microbiota taxa, indicative of reciprocal interactions between the gut microbiome and dementia. Investigating the causal connections between specific gut bacteria and dementia in both directions yielded valuable insights into the mechanisms that drive the interactions between the microbiota and the brain, laying the foundation for microbiome-based interventions in preventing and managing this condition.

The results of this study suggested that there is a strong association between the protective effect of the order Bacillales and the development of dementia in AD, with suggestive associations also found for vascular dementia. Although no study to date has reported on a relationship between Bacillales and dementias such as AD and vascular dementia, one MR-based study found a negative correlation between Bacillales and systemic lupus erythematosus[32]. This suggests that...
Figure 2 Forest plot depicting the causal relationships. A: Forest plot depicting the causal relationships between gut microbiota and dementia in Alzheimer's disease: Assessing the impact of gut microbiota on dementia in Alzheimer's disease; B: Forest plot depicting the causal relationships between gut...
microbiota and vascular dementia: Assessing the impact of gut microbiota on vascular dementia; C: Forest plot depicting the causal relationships between gut microbiota and dementia in other diseases classified elsewhere: Assessing the impact of gut microbiota on dementia in other diseases classified elsewhere. SNP: Single nucleotide polymorphism; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; MR: Mendelian randomization.

Members of the order *Bacilli* may contribute to the inhibition of autoimmune inflammation, thus potentially exerting a protective effect against dementia. Our findings further indicated that the order *Enterobacteriales* and the family *Enterobacteriaceae* are associated with a protective effect against AD-related dementia in the context of genetic variation, whereas the order *Bifidobacteriales* and the family *Bifidobacteriaceae* are associated with an increased risk for this condition. Some evidence supports that the abundance of both *Enterobacteriales* and *Enterobacteriaceae* is increased in patients with AD.[33, 34] In contrast, it has been reported that 5xFAD mice, widely employed as a model of AD, exhibit a decline in *Bifidobacteriales* abundance in comparison to wild-type mice.[35] However, specific dietary supplements were found to reverse the disturbance in gut microbiota and metabolic disorders in AD model mice by enhancing the proportions of *Bifidobacterium* [36]. These results indicate that gut microbiota may serve dual roles in disease pathogenesis; nevertheless, shifts in their abundance do not directly equate to their influence on disease initiation and progression.

Three gut microbiota taxa - the class *Coriobacteria*, the order *Coriobacteriales*, and the family *Coriobacteriaceae* - were found to exert influence on vascular dementia, functioning as risk factors. Although no direct link between vascular dementia and these three taxa has been established to date, research has shown that changes in their abundance contribute to increased systemic inflammation[37]. Dementia in other diseases classified elsewhere includes Lewy body dementia and Parkinson’s disease-related dementia, among others. The gut microbiota taxa identified in this study may contribute to the exploration of the mechanisms underlying the role of gut bacteria in rare types of dementia.

In the reverse MR analysis, the abundance of the order *Erysipelotrichales*, the class *Erysipelotrichia*, and the family *Erysipelotrichiaceae* was positively influenced by both Alzheimer’s and vascular dementias. There is evidence to support that patients with AD exhibit an increase in the abundance of gut microbiota taxa such as *Erysipelatoclostridiaceae*, *Erysipelotrichales*, *Patescibacteria*, *Saccharimonadales*, and *Saccharimonadaceae*. Parts of other gut microbiota are also supported by the literature.

This study had multiple strengths. Our methodology involved using MR to address common confounders seen in observational studies. Additionally, we selected strong IVs based on the three core assumptions of MR. We also performed sensitivity tests, including Cochran’s Q test, the MR-Egger intercept test, the MR-PRESSO global test, and a leave-one-out analysis, to assess the robustness of the study findings. Furthermore, Bonferroni correction was used to control for possible false positives. Importantly, our research design allowed us to undertake a pioneering exploration of the causal relationships between gut microbiota and multiple subtypes of dementia. Our objective was to compare both shared and distinct mechanisms underlying the associations between different subtypes of dementia and gut microbiota.

While multiple causal relationships between gut microorganisms and dementia were identified and their robustness confirmed, the present study also had several limitations. First, we primarily included European patients in the GWAS summary data. The demographic composition of the analyzed datasets could impact the generalizability of the study findings. Second, in cases where only a few IVs met the stringent threshold (P < 5e-8), a relatively relaxed threshold (P < 1e-5 or P < 5e-6) was employed for the selection process. Third, among the outcome data, patients with outcomes other than Alzheimer’s dementia and vascular dementia were diagnosed based solely on meeting the criteria for dementia, without specifying the disease. Multiple types of diseases can lead to dementia, each with diverse and intricate underlying mechanisms.

**CONCLUSION**

In brief, the results of this study suggested that gut microbiota are causally associated with dementia. These findings provide innovative insights into the pathophysiology of the disease and may thus have important implications for its treatment and prevention. Gut microbiota also exhibit potential as therapeutic targets for dementia, irrespective of whether they act as risk or protective factors. Conversely, dementia and its subtypes were found to influence the abundance of several gut microbes. Accordingly, these bacteria may serve as biomarkers for assessing the occurrence and progression of dementia.
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