World Journal of *Orthopedics*

World J Orthop 2024 August 18; 15(8): 683-827





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WJ D

World Journal of **Orthopedics**

Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 8 August 18, 2024

EDITORIAL

683 Total hip arthroplasty for sequelae of childhood hip disorders: Current review of management to achieve hip centre restoration

Oommen AT

696 Offset restoration in total hip arthroplasty: Important: A current review

Oommen AT

MINIREVIEWS

704 Intra-articular interventions in osteoarthritis: Navigating the landscape of hyaluronic acid, mesenchymal stem cells, and platelet-rich plasma

Soufan S, Al Khoury J, Hamdan Z, Rida MA

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study

- 713 Readmission rate and early complications in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty: A retrospective study Jethi T, Jain D, Garg R, Selhi HS
- 722 Native and prosthetic septic arthritis in a university hospital in Saudi Arabia: A retrospective study Kaki R

Observational Study

- 734 Factors that influence the results of indirect decompression employing oblique lumbar interbody fusion Bokov AE, Kalinina SY, Khaltyrov MI, Saifullin AP, Bulkin AA
- Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: Effect of graft tunnel position on early to mid-term clinical 744 outcomes

Mann O, Al-Dadah O

Three-dimensional analysis of age and sex differences in femoral head asphericity in asymptomatic hips in 754 the United States

Hassan MM, Feroe AG, Douglass BW, Jimenez AE, Kuhns B, Mitchell CF, Parisien RL, Maranho DA, Novais EN, Kim YJ, Kiapour AM

764 Coronal plane stability of cruciate-retaining total knee arthroplasty in valgus gonarthrosis patients: A midterm evaluation using stress radiographs

Chaiyakit P, Wattanapreechanon P



Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 8 August 18, 2024

Prospective Study

773 Blood metal concentrations and cardiac structure and function in total joint arthroplasty patients Brennan PC, Peterson SM, O'Byrne TJ, Laporta ML, Wyles CC, Jannetto PJ, Kane GC, Vassilaki M, Maradit Kremers H

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

Clinical effect of operative vs nonoperative treatment on humeral shaft fractures: Systematic review and 783 meta-analysis of clinical trials

Li Y, Luo Y, Peng J, Fan J, Long XT

META-ANALYSIS

796 Comparative efficacy of proximal femoral nail vs dynamic condylar screw in treating unstable intertrochanteric fractures

Yousif Mohamed AM, Salih M, Abdulgadir M, Abbas AE, Lutfi Turjuman D

CASE REPORT

807 Neglected congenital bilateral knee dislocation treated by quadricepsplasty with semitendinosus and sartorius transfer: A case report

Qasim OM, Abdulaziz AA, Aljabri NK, Albaqami KS, Suqaty RM

- 813 Hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis triggered by relapsing polychondritis: A case report Han MR, Hwang JH, Cha S, Jeon SY, Jang KY, Kim N, Lee CH
- 820 Needle arthroscopic-assisted repair of tibio-fibular syndesmosis acute injury: A case report Wojtowicz BG, Domzalski M, Lesman J



Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 8 August 18, 2024

ABOUT COVER

Peer Reviewer of World Journal of Orthopedics, Peng-Cheng Liu, MD, PhD, Doctor, Department of Orthopaedics, Shanghai Eighth People's Hospital, Shanghai 200235, China. orthopaedics_dsyy@163.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Orthopedics (WJO, World J Orthop) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of orthopedics with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJO mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of orthopedics and covering a wide range of topics including arthroscopy, bone trauma, bone tumors, hand and foot surgery, joint surgery, orthopedic trauma, osteoarthropathy, osteoporosis, pediatric orthopedics, spinal diseases, spine surgery, and sports medicine.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

WJO is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2024 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2023 journal impact factor (JIF) for WJO as 2.0; JIF Quartile: Q2. The WJO's CiteScore for 2023 is 3.1.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yu-Qing Zhao; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Cover Editor: Jin-Lei Wang,

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Orthopedics	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 2218-5836 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
November 18, 2010	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Massimiliano Leigheb, Xiao-Jian Ye	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EXECUTIVE ASSOCIATE EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	POLICY OF CO-AUTHORS
Xin Gu	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/310
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
August 18, 2024	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com
PUBLISHING PARTNER	PUBLISHING PARTNER'S OFFICIAL WEBSITE
The Minimally Invasive Spine Surgery Research Center Of Shanghai Jiaotong University	https://www.shtrhospital.com/zkjs/info_29.aspx?itemid=647

© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WJD

World Journal of **Orthopedics**

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Orthop 2024 August 18; 15(8): 820-827

DOI: 10.5312/wjo.v15.i8.820

ISSN 2218-5836 (online)

CASE REPORT

Needle arthroscopic-assisted repair of tibio-fibular syndesmosis acute injury: A case report

Blazej G Wojtowicz, Marcin Domzalski, Jedrzej Lesman

Specialty type: Orthopedics

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's classification Scientific Quality: Grade B Novelty: Grade B Creativity or Innovation: Grade B Scientific Significance: Grade B

P-Reviewer: Grawish ME

Received: June 22, 2024 Revised: July 19, 2024 Accepted: July 29, 2024 Published online: August 18, 2024 Processing time: 51 Days and 17.6 Hours



Blazej G Wojtowicz, Marcin Domzalski, Jedrzej Lesman, Department of Orthopedy and Trauma, Medical University of Lodz, Lodz 90-549, Lodz, Poland

Corresponding author: Blazej G Wojtowicz, MD, Doctor, Department of Orthopedy and Trauma, Medical University of Lodz, Zeromskiego 113, Lodz 90-549, Lodz, Poland. wojtblaz@gmail.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Acute injuries to the tibiofibular syndesmosis, often associated with high ankle sprains or malleolar fractures, require precise diagnosis and treatment to prevent long-term complications. This case report explores the use of needle arthroscopy as a minimally invasive technique for the repair of tibiofibular syndesmosis injuries.

CASE SUMMARY

We report on a 40-year-old male patient who presented with a trimalleolar fracture and ankle subluxation following a high ankle sprain. Due to significant swelling and poor soft tissue quality, initial management involved external stabilization. Subsequently, needle arthroscopy was employed to assess and treat the tibiofibular syndesmosis injury. The procedure, performed under spinal anesthesia and fluoroscopic control, included nanoscopic evaluation of the ankle joint and reduction of the syndesmosis using a suture button. Follow-up assessments showed significant improvement in pain levels, range of motion, and functional scores. At 26 weeks post-procedure, the patient achieved full range of motion and pain-free status. Needle arthroscopy offers a promising alternative for the management of acute tibiofibular syndesmosis injuries, combining diagnostic and therapeutic capabilities with minimal invasiveness.

CONCLUSION

This technique may enhance clinical outcomes and reduce recovery times, warranting further investigation and integration into clinical practice.

Key Words: Needle arthroscopy; Tibiofibular syndesmosis; Acute injury; Ankle fracture; Minimally invasive surgery; Case report

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

WJO | https://www.wjgnet.com

Core Tip: Needle arthroscopy demonstrates significant potential as a minimally invasive technique for the repair of acute tibiofibular syndesmosis injuries, offering enhanced visualization and diagnostic accuracy over traditional methods. In a case study of a 40-year-old male with a trimalleolar fracture, this method resulted in substantial improvements in pain, range of motion, and functional outcomes, achieving full recovery within 26 weeks. These findings suggest that needle arthroscopy could become a preferred approach in managing such injuries, promoting faster recovery and reducing the risk of complications. Further research is warranted to confirm these benefits.

Citation: Wojtowicz BG, Domzalski M, Lesman J. Needle arthroscopic-assisted repair of tibio-fibular syndesmosis acute injury: A case report. *World J Orthop* 2024; 15(8): 820-827

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/full/v15/i8/820.htm **DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.5312/wjo.v15.i8.820

INTRODUCTION

The tibiofibular syndesmosis comprises four ligaments: The interosseous ligament, the anterior inferior tibiofibular ligament, the posterior inferior tibiofibular ligament, and the transverse tibiofibular ligament. These ligaments are frequently injured during high ankle sprains or malleolar fractures.

According to the study by McCollum *et al*[1], the most accurate examination for assessing isolated injury to the tibiofibular syndesmosis is computed tomography of the bilateral ankle joints, where a difference in syndesmosis width exceeding 2 mm is indicative[1]. In malleolar fractures, evidence shows that in the AO41-B variant, Weber type B, the syndesmosis is injured in 50% of cases, while in the AO41-C variant, Weber type C, it is almost always injured[2,3]. Advancements in functional outcomes following ankle fractures over the last five decades have underscored the importance of achieving anatomical realignment of the fracture and restoring the integrity of the articular surface[4]. Despite these advancements, complications such as chronic pain, arthrofibrosis, recurrent swelling, and perceived instability remain common after ankle fractures. These issues may arise due to suboptimal alignment of the articular surface and additional damage to the articular cartilage, which may not be diagnosed in standard imaging tests[5,6].

Arthroscopy has provided a safe and effective means of addressing various pathological conditions involving the tibiotalar joint. Ankle arthroscopy serves both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes. In 1993, Ferkel and Orwin[7] highlighted the value of ankle arthroscopy in the evaluation and management of ankle fractures.

In acute injuries, ankle arthroscopy allows for comprehensive examination of the cartilage surfaces of the talus, tibia, and fibula, as well as the intra-articular ligaments. and the tibiofibular syndesmosis.

In recent years, needle arthroscopy has been gaining popularity as a less invasive procedure than standard arthroscopy. Although needle arthroscopy is a more difficult procedure to learn, it has several advantages over standard arthroscopy of the ankle joint. Lopes *et al*[8] noted that during needle arthroscopy, exploration of the medial gutter and threedimensional stabilization was more complicated than during classic arthroscopy. However, overall management and exploration of the syndesmosis were facilitated. The nanoscope has a much smaller diameter and is flexible, which reduces the risk of iatrogenic cartilage damage in the ankle joint and allows for better observation of such a compact joint as the ankle[8].

CASE PRESENTATION

History of present illness

A 40-year-old man was brought to our emergency care unit due to increasing swelling of the lower limb approximately 3 days after a sprain injury of the ankle joint. X-rays revealed trimalleolar fracture with ankle subluxation (Figure 1). Due to significant swelling around the ankle joint and poor soft tissue quality, primary closed reduction with external stabilization (DePuy Synthes, West Chester, PA, United States) was performed (Figure 2). The external fixator was removed 27 days later due to swelling and poor skin condition, and the fracture was converted to internal fixation.

FINAL DIAGNOSIS

Given the expected fibrosis inside the tibiofibular syndesmosis during preoperative planning, nanoscopy was indicated to assess the mobility of the tibiofibular syndesmosis and potentially refresh it to prevent interference with anatomical repositioning. The patient was placed supine under spinal anesthesia. A tourniquet was used, and the procedure was performed under fluoroscopic control. The fibula was anatomically reduced, and the fracture was stabilized using a dedicated plate and locking screws (Arthrex, Naples, FL, United States).

Zaishideng® WJO | https://www.wjgnet.com

Wojtowicz BG et al. Needle arthorscopy in syndesmosis injury



Figure 1 Trimalleolar Weber B fracture with subluxation.



Figure 2 X-ray after closed reduction and external fixation using ex-fix (DePuy Synthes, West Chester, PA, United States). Two Pins in tibia, one pin in calcaneus.

TREATMENT

Nanoscopy of the ankle joint was performed with NanoScope (Arthrex, Naples, FL, United States). The injury and hypermobility of the syndesmosis were visualized (Figure 3). Under nanoscopic control, the tibiofibular syndesmosis was reduced and stabilized using a suture button (TightRope, Arthrex, Naples, FL, United States) (Figure 4). The medial malleolus was then fixed using two screws (Arthrex, Naples, FL, United States) (Figure 5).

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

Follow-up visits were conducted at 2, 6, 10, 16, 22, and 26 weeks post-procedure. Initially, the patient was non-weightbearing on the involved limb for six weeks and used a CAM-Walker brace (DonJoy, Vista, CA, United States). After six weeks, the pain-Visual Analog Scale (VAS) score was 5, with a range of motion of 10 degrees of plantar flexion and 0 degrees of dorsiflexion. The Ankle-Hindfoot score (AOFAS) was 49. The patient then underwent a standard rehabilitation protocol focusing on reducing swelling and increasing the range of motion. After 16 weeks, there was an improvement in the range of motion: Dorsiflexion was 15 degrees, plantar flexion was 45 degrees, and the pain VAS score was reduced to 3. The patient started returning to a more active lifestyle, with an AOFAS score of 85. At 26 weeks post-procedure, the



Baishideng® WJO https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 3 Tibiofibular syndesmosis injury in nanoscopy camera. Ti: Tibia; Fi: Fibula.



Figure 4 Tibiofibular syndesmosis after repair in nanoscopy camera. Ti: Tibia; Fi: Fibula.

patient achieved a full range of motion and was pain-free, with a VAS score of 0 and an AOFAS score of 95, with only minor limitations during recreational activities and on uneven terrain, thus completing the orthopedic treatment.

DISCUSSION

Nanoscopy is a video system comprising a handheld device with an integrated light source, packaged in a single-use kit, and connected to a 13-inch portable console. The handheld device, with a diameter of 1.9 mm, houses a light-emitting diode (LED) light and features image and video recording capabilities. It is inserted into a trocar with a diameter of 2.4 mm, connected to water, which significantly reduces the invasive area by 84% compared to a traditional arthroscope. The Nano needle scope tip offers a non-tilted viewing angle of 120°. Additionally, the needle arthroscope comes in three



Figure 5 X-ray after open reduction and internal fixation with plate, screws and suture button (Arthrex, Naples, FL, United States).

length variants: 125 mm, 180 mm, and 250 mm. The overall characteristics of the nanoscope are comparable to those of standard arthroscopes used in procedures. A dedicated shaver with a diameter of 2.8 mm is also available for nanoscopy (Figure 6).

Over the past 25 years, around 500 studies have been conducted on ankle joint arthroscopy. In the same period, approximately 90 studies have focused on needle arthroscopy, with 9 of these specifically addressing ankle joint nanoscopy (Figure 7). These studies cover various topics, including: Ankle sprain (1 study)[9], anterior impingement of the ankle joint (2 studies)[10,11], technique of needle arthroscopy (3 studies)[12-14], ligament reconstruction (1 study)[8], inspection of injectable agents (1 study)[15], and cartilage inspection (1 study)[16] (Figure 8).

The findings suggest that needle arthroscopy could be a promising tool for treating ankle joints. In a 2024 study, Walinga *et al*[16] demonstrated that needle arthroscopy facilitates visualization of the tibiofibular syndesmosis and the surrounding cartilage. Additionally, this procedure can be performed with minimal pain under local anesthesia[17]. Colasanti *et al*[11] research indicates that patients are highly interested in the procedure and find the experience of watching the camera feed to be positive.

Over the past decade, several reviews and meta-analyses have addressed the fixation of lower leg fractures using arthroscopy. However, none of these studies have focused on needle arthroscopy. Recent research consistently suggests improved postoperative outcomes in patients undergoing arthroscopy-guided malleoli osteosynthesis.

In his 2016 study, Chan and Liu^[17] emphasized the utility of ankle arthroscopy in visualizing the intricacies of acute ankle injuries. By directly visualizing the joint, arthroscopy aids in identifying and evaluating intra-articular fractures, tibiofibular syndesmosis injuries, associated osteochondral injury, and ligament injuries.

Liu *et al*[18] in a 2020 study, argued that fracture reduction through arthroscopy yields superior short-term results, although this advantage may diminish over the medium term.

Smith *et al*[19] in a 2020 study, demonstrated that ankle arthroscopy during open reduction and internal fixation significantly enhances patient outcomes for Weber B fibular fractures and ankle dislocations, without increasing complication rates. Despite extending the procedure by an average of 10 minutes, arthroscopy led to statistically significant improvements.

In a 2021 study, Aziz *et al*[20] illustrated that ankle arthroscopy serves as a crucial diagnostic and prognostic tool, effectively managing acute intra-articular injuries without substantial cost increases or serious adverse events.

However, Lee *et al*'s review underscores the need for further investigation into complications, additional costs, and procedural duration[21]. Similarly, Williams *et al*[22] in 2021, advocates for more research on the potential benefits of integrating ankle arthroscopy into the treatment of the ankle fractures before considering its routine application in all cases.

These conclusions are not surprising, given that over the last 25 years, only three randomized controlled trials have been conducted, involving a total of 159 patients, which examined the impact of arthroscopic intervention in lower leg ankle fractures on patient recovery time, healing duration, and accurate diagnosis of additional ankle joint injuries[23-25].

The presented case report validates the practical application of the mentioned conclusions. In essence, the needle arthroscope in this study offered ergonomic advantages, a smaller diameter, and flexible optics, potentially reducing the risk of iatrogenic injury. However, its limitations included the absence of camera tilt and lower resolution. Needle arthroscopy is positioned as an alternative to magnetic resonanse imaging and diagnostic arthroscopy. Assessing the tibiofibular syndesmosis was notably easier compared to traditional arthroscopy. Moreover, ergonomics play a significant role in ankle arthroscopy. The smaller size of the needle arthroscope facilitates ease of use, but achieving three-plane stabilization becomes more challenging, particularly for surgeons accustomed to standard arthroscopy. A nanoscope takes up much less space than a full arthroscopic tower and is portable, so using it during a procedure takes less time and it can always be ready. Additionally, mastering the use of a nanoscope requires additional time, thereby increasing the learning curve.

Zaishidena® WJO | https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 6 Instruments. 1: Suturebutton; 2: Drill; 3: Trocars; 4: Hook; 5: Nanoscope; 6: Shaver; 7: Water supply.



Figure 7 Number of studies about ankle nanoscopy.



Figure 8 Subjects of studies about ankle nanoscopy.

Znishideng® WJO | https://www.wjgnet.com

CONCLUSION

Ankle joint nanoscopy, characterized by its minimally invasive nature, emerges as a valuable diagnostic and therapeutic option for chronic and acute ankle injuries. Offering imaging and interventional capabilities within the ankle joint, it presents several advantages over conventional arthroscopy. Nonetheless, it is crucial not to view the needle arthroscope merely as a miniature version of traditional arthroscopy. Rather, it represents a novel tool, necessitating a reevaluation and delineation of innovative procedures tailored to maximize its utility and advantages.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Wojtowicz BG, Domzalski M and Lesman J equally contributed to the design and implementation of the research, to the analysis of the results and to the writing of the manuscript; Wojtowicz BG, Domzalski M and Lesman J approved the final article.

Informed consent statement: Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and accompanying images.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

CARE Checklist (2016) statement: The authors have read the CARE Checklist (2016), and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CARE Checklist (2016).

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country of origin: Poland

ORCID number: Blazej G Wojtowicz 0009-0005-7801-0676.

S-Editor: Fan M L-Editor: A P-Editor: Zheng XM

REFERENCES

- 1 McCollum GA, van den Bekerom MP, Kerkhoffs GM, Calder JD, van Dijk CN. Syndesmosis and deltoid ligament injuries in the athlete. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2013; 21: 1328-1337 [PMID: 23052109 DOI: 10.1007/s00167-012-2205-1]
- 2 Du Plessis GN, Griesel LD, Lourens D, Grabe RP. Incidence of syndesmotic injuries in all different types of ankle fractures. SA orthop J 2008; 7:28-33
- 3 Vosseller JT, Karl JW, Greisberg JK. Incidence of syndesmotic injury. Orthopedics 2014; 37: e226-e229 [PMID: 24762148 DOI: 10.3928/01477447-20140225-53]
- 4 Hughes JL, Weber H, Willenegger H, Kuner EH. Evaluation of ankle fractures: non-operative and operative treatment. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1979; 111-119 [PMID: 445892]
- Renstrom PA. Persistently Painful Sprained Ankle. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 1994; 2: 270-280 [PMID: 10709019 DOI: 10.5435/00124635-199409000-00005
- Stone JW. Osteochondral Lesions of the Talar Dome. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 1996; 4: 63-73 [PMID: 10795042 DOI: 6 10.5435/00124635-199603000-00001
- 7 Ferkel RD, Orwin JF. Ankle Arthroscopy: A new tool for treating acute and chronic ankle fractures. Arthroscopy 1993; 9: 352-353 [DOI: 10.1016/s0749-8063(05)80460-8]
- Lopes R, Noailles T, Padiolleau G, Bouguennec N, Vieira TD. Needle arthroscopy in anatomical reconstruction of the lateral ankle: a report of 8 three cases with a parallel comparison to the standard arthroscopy procedure. J Exp Orthop 2022; 9: 75 [PMID: 35907091 DOI: 10.1186/s40634-022-00510-x]
- Butler JJ, Brash AI, Azam MT, DeClouette B, Kennedy JG. The Role of Needle Arthroscopy in the Assessment and Treatment of Ankle 9 Sprains. Foot Ankle Clin 2023; 28: 345-354 [PMID: 37137628 DOI: 10.1016/j.fcl.2023.01.005]
- Colasanti CA, Mercer NP, Garcia JV, Kerkhoffs GMMJ, Kennedy JG. In-Office Needle Arthroscopy for the Treatment of Anterior Ankle 10 Impingement Yields High Patient Satisfaction With High Rates of Return to Work and Sport. Arthroscopy 2022; 38: 1302-1311 [PMID: 34571184 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2021.09.016]
- 11 Colasanti CA, Kaplan DJ, Chen JS, Kanakamedala A, Dankert JF, Hurley ET, Mercer NP, Stone JW, Kennedy JG. In-Office Needle Arthroscopy for Anterior Ankle Impingement. Arthrosc Tech 2022; 11: e327-e331 [PMID: 35256971 DOI: 10.1016/j.eats.2021.10.025]
- 12 Stornebrink T, Walinga AB, Stufkens SAS, Kerkhoffs GMMJ. Wide-Awake Needle Arthroscopy of the Anterior Ankle: A Standardized Approach. Arthrosc Tech 2024; 13: 102901 [PMID: 38690356 DOI: 10.1016/j.eats.2023.102901]
- Inoue J, Yasui Y, Sasahara J, Takenaga T, Ha M, Miyamoto W, Kawano H, Murakami H, Yoshida M. Comparison of visibility in needle 13 arthroscopy of the ankle according to surgical experience: A cadaveric study. Foot Ankle Surg 2024 [PMID: 38762338 DOI: 10.1016/j.fas.2024.05.005]



- Duenes ML, Azam MT, Butler JJ, Weiss MB, Kennedy JG. In-Office Needle Arthroscopy for the Foot and Ankle. Arthroscopy 2023; 39: 14 1129-1130 [PMID: 37019528 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2023.01.005]
- Stornebrink T, Stufkens SAS, Mercer NP, Kennedy JG, Kerkhoffs GMMJ. Can bedside needle arthroscopy of the ankle be an accurate option 15 for intra-articular delivery of injectable agents? World J Orthop 2022; 13: 78-86 [PMID: 35096538 DOI: 10.5312/wjo.v13.i1.78]
- Walinga AB, Dahmen J, Stornebrink T, Emanuel KS, Kerkhoffs GMMJ. Fifteen out of 16 elite athletes showed concomitant low-grade 16 cartilage lesions of the ankle with unstable syndesmotic injuries: concerns from a prospective case series. BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med 2024; 10: e001879 [PMID: 38440746 DOI: 10.1136/bmjsem-2023-001879]
- Chan KB, Lui TH. Role of Ankle Arthroscopy in Management of Acute Ankle Fracture. Arthroscopy 2016; 32: 2373-2380 [PMID: 27816101 17 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2016.08.016]
- Liu C, You JX, Yang J, Zhu HF, Yu HJ, Fan SW, Li HY. Arthroscopy-Assisted Reduction in the Management of Isolated Medial Malleolar 18 Fracture. Arthroscopy 2020; 36: 1714-1721 [PMID: 32057988 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2020.01.053]
- 19 Smith KS, Drexelius K, Challa S, Moon DK, Metzl JA, Hunt KJ. Outcomes Following Ankle Fracture Fixation With or Without Ankle Arthroscopy. Foot Ankle Orthop 2020; 5: 2473011420904046 [PMID: 35097364 DOI: 10.1177/2473011420904046]
- 20 Aziz H, Amirian A, Dabash S, Dunn WR, Bloome D. Ankle Arthroscopy as an Adjunct to the Management of Ankle Fractures. Foot Ankle Orthop 2021; 6: 24730114211002165 [PMID: 35097440 DOI: 10.1177/24730114211002165]
- Lee KM, Ahmed S, Park MS, Sung KH, Lee SY, Koo S. Effectiveness of arthroscopically assisted surgery for ankle fractures: A meta-21 analysis. Injury 2017; 48: 2318-2322 [PMID: 28754239 DOI: 10.1016/j.injury.2017.07.011]
- Williams CE, Joo P, Oh I, Miller C, Kwon JY. Arthroscopically Assisted Internal Fixation of Foot and Ankle Fractures: A Systematic Review. 22 Foot Ankle Orthop 2021; 6: 2473011420950214 [PMID: 35097419 DOI: 10.1177/2473011420950214]
- 23 Ge T, Chen M, Zheng MQ, Wang XL, Wang JW, Zhang GM. Preliminary effect of posterolateral ankle arthroscopy for ankle fractures in elderly patients. Int J Clin Exp Med 2017; 10: 9740-9745
- Takao M, Ochi M, Oae K, Naito K, Uchio Y. Diagnosis of a tear of the tibiofibular syndesmosis. The role of arthroscopy of the ankle. J Bone 24 Joint Surg Br 2003; 85: 324-329 [PMID: 12729102 DOI: 10.1302/0301-620x.85b3.13174]
- 25 Thordarson DB, Bains R, Shepherd LE. The role of ankle arthroscopy on the surgical management of ankle fractures. Foot Ankle Int 2001; 22: 123-125 [PMID: 11249221 DOI: 10.1177/107110070102200207]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

