

Refractory ulcerative colitis: Upadacitinib versus other biologics

Said G Farhat, Jessy G Fadel

Specialty type: Medicine, research and experimental

Provenance and peer review:

Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's classification

Scientific Quality: Grade B

Novelty: Grade B

Creativity or Innovation: Grade B

Scientific Significance: Grade A

P-Reviewer: Zhang T

Received: March 10, 2024

Revised: July 11, 2024

Accepted: August 1, 2024

Published online: November 6, 2024

Processing time: 184 Days and 8.3 Hours



Said G Farhat, Jessy G Fadel, Department of Gastroenterology Research, Saint George University of Beirut, Beirut 00000, Lebanon

Said G Farhat, Dr. Sulaiman Al Habib Hospital, Dubai 00000, United Arab Emirates

Corresponding author: Said G Farhat, MD, Associate Professor, Department of Gastroenterology Research, Saint George University of Beirut, Rmeil Street, Ashrafieh, Beirut 00000, Lebanon. saidfarhat@hotmail.com

Abstract

In this editorial we comment on an article published in *World Journal of Clinical Cases* in 2024, in which a case of refractory ulcerative colitis (UC) was discussed based on the response to different lines of biologics. Different studies showed that different classes of biologics have their advantages and disadvantages in the treatment of refractory UC. Certain classes are superior to others and if tried earlier on would lead to a possible change in the outcome.

Key Words: Refractory; Ulcerative colitis; Upadacitinib; Ustekinumab; Vedolizumab

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Ulcerative colitis (UC), a major type of inflammatory bowel disease whose treatment is sometimes challenging and some patients do not respond well to the available therapies. We discuss the case of a patient diagnosed with refractory UC, with primary non-response to infliximab and vedolizumab (VDZ). The patient experienced recurrent symptoms after receiving mesalazine, prednisone, azathioprine, infliximab, and VDZ for more than four years. Through maximizing the upadacitinib (UPA) treatment, UC remission was achieved. This report suggests that the small-molecule UPA may be a new treatment option that requires further research.

Citation: Farhat SG, Fadel JG. Refractory ulcerative colitis: Upadacitinib versus other biologics. *World J Clin Cases* 2024; 12(31): 6425-6427

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v12/i31/6425.htm>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v12.i31.6425>

INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade, advancements in medical treatments regarding inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) have taken place [1]. As a result, clinical remission has been achieved in patients with refractory ulcerative colitis (UC). Pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor- α and interleukin-2, along with the cell-surface adhesive molecule integrin $\alpha 4\beta 7$, have been proposed as mediators of inflammation in UC[1]. Upadacitinib (UPA), within the class of JAK inhibitors, has shown a favorable benefit-risk profile in patients experiencing moderately to severely active UC. It was shown that during induction treatment of UC, UPA achieved higher clinical efficacy and similar safety compared to 5-(tetradecyloxyl)-2-furoic acid[2]. In order to achieve mucosal deep remission, combination therapy would be an effective and potent management approach.

DISCUSSION

To find a definitive treatment, several studies and ongoing research are focused on refractory UC. By definition, UPA is a highly selective competitive inhibitor of the adenosine triphosphate binding pocket in JAK1. Cellular assays have demonstrated UPA's selectivity for JAK1 over JAK2, JAK3, and TYK2. As well, it has been approved for managing moderate to severe UC. Trials have shown UPA's superiority over placebo with regard to all primary and secondary endpoints, including clinical, endoscopic, and histological outcomes, using 45 mg orally once daily during induction and either 30 mg or 15 mg daily in maintenance[3]. From a safety perspective, UPA has been proven to be a safe and well-tolerated medication across immune-mediated diseases, with manageable adverse risks[3]. Compared to patients taking ustekinumab (UST), several studies indicated that a greater proportion of patients taking UPA achieved a clinical response and steroid-free clinical remission at 8-16 wk and endoscopic remission within 52 wk[4]. In comparison to UST, UPA was associated with a significantly higher clinical response and endoscopic remission[4]. Conversely to vedolizumab (VDZ), UPA is more efficient, addressing the slow onset defect of VDZ induction. However, the safety of UPA in situations such as infections and tumors is inferior to that of VDZ, and long-term use requires testing for risks of adverse events such as deep vein thrombosis[5]. As a consequence, in case of the prolonged onset of action, VDZ should not have been selected or could have been combined with UPA for an efficacious response. Additionally, it was shown that patients who received UST had a shorter duration of treatment and achieved steroid-free response on induction compared to those on VDZ. This study suggested that using UST as a first line compared to VDZ, would have changed the consequence of events and resulted in a better outcome[6]. Furthermore, studies showed that combined therapy of tofacitinib twice daily with UST resulted in clinical improvement[7]. Therefore, using UST alone or in combination with JAK inhibitors instead of VDZ would have achieved a more efficient response.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, refractory UC remains a highly debated topic, driving experts to continually search for a definitive treatment. Different classes of biologics have been tested, each with its own advantages and disadvantages. JAK inhibitors, particularly in combination with UST, have shown promising results. Ongoing research holds promise for managing moderate to severe refractory UC and should be followed for future treatment advancements. Additionally, developing new molecules targeting novel pathways would be highly valuable in IBD research.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Farhat SG and Fadel JG contributed to this paper; Farhat SG designed the overall concept; Fadel JG contributed to the writing, discussion, and editing of the manuscript, and literature review; all authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest to disclose.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country of origin: Lebanon

ORCID number: Said G Farhat 0000-0002-8071-4681.

S-Editor: Luo ML

L-Editor: Wang TQ

P-Editor: Zheng XM

REFERENCES

- 1 **Tanida S**, Mizoshita T, Ozeki K, Katano T, Kataoka H, Kamiya T, Joh T. Advances in refractory ulcerative colitis treatment: A new therapeutic target, Annexin A2. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015; **21**: 8776-8786 [PMID: 26269667 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i29.8776]
- 2 **Reinisch W**, Tran J, Patel K, Borruel N, Melmed GY, Wegrzyn L, Levy G, Ilo D, Sanchez Gonzalez Y, Panaccione R. Comparative Efficacy and Safety of Upadacitinib Versus Tofacitinib as Induction Therapy in Patients With Moderately to Severely Active Ulcerative Colitis: A Matching-Adjusted Indirect Comparison. *J Crohns Colitis* 2023; **17**: i785-i786 [DOI: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjac190.0788]
- 3 **Xu X**, Jiang JW, Lu BY, Li XX. Upadacitinib for refractory ulcerative colitis with primary nonresponse to infliximab and vedolizumab: A case report. *World J Clin Cases* 2024; **12**: 1685-1690 [PMID: 38576732 DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v12.i9.1685]
- 4 **Dalal RS**, Kallumkal G, Cabral HJ. Comparative Effectiveness of Upadacitinib vs Ustekinumab for Ulcerative Colitis at 8-16 Weeks: A Multicenter Retrospective Cohort Study. *Gastroenterol Hepatol (NY)* 2023; **19**: 3-4 [PMID: 38445182]
- 5 **Sandborn WJ**, Baert F, Danese S, Krznarić Ž, Kobayashi T, Yao X, Chen J, Rosario M, Bhatia S, Kisfalvi K, D'Haens G, Vermeire S. Efficacy and Safety of Vedolizumab Subcutaneous Formulation in a Randomized Trial of Patients With Ulcerative Colitis. *Gastroenterology* 2020; **158**: 562-572.e12 [PMID: 31470005 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2019.08.027]
- 6 **Singh A**, Khan F, Lopez R, Shen B. Ustekinumab versus Vedolizumab for Moderate to Severe Crohn's Disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2017; **112**: S393-S394
- 7 **Hickman K**, Jordan R, Sonnier W. Combination Biologic and Small Molecule Therapy for Refractory Ulcerative Colitis. *Gastroenterology* 2022; **162**: S103 [DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2021.12.214]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: office@baishideng.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjnet.com>

