

To the authors, This is a well-written manuscript and the topic seems relevant. Given that the authors make a good revision of the available literature and this manuscript could be used as a guide for this topic I suggest to add Tables and figures. A table summarizing the different antidiabetic agents and its effects would be of great use for the reader.

Answer: The table has been now added according to your comment

The authors summarized the progress of diabetes management in patients with chronic liver disease. This is helpful for clinicians in managing patients with diabetes and chronic liver disease. However, there are some issues that need to be further addressed. 1. Some previous reviews also summarized the management of antidiabetic agents in patients with chronic liver disease (Indian J Endocrinol Metab. 2017 Mar-Apr; 21(2):341-354. doi: 10.4103/ijem.IJEM_512_16.). There are no citation and comments in this review. 2. The abstract did not summarize the content of this review. 3. The manuscript should be further polished by native specialist.

Answer: The reference has been added and the abstract has been revised.

Title: Apt. Abstract: Satisfactory. Gives a adequate briefing of the content. Introduction: Good. Discussion: All the drugs commonly used are covered. The mechanisms are well explained. This enhances the understanding of the reader. The pharmacology of the drugs is well described. Conclusion: An algorithm is necessary for the conclusion. This will provide a road map for the choice of medications to be used. References: Adequate

Answer: table has been now added according to your comment

The paper presents the use of antidiabetic agents in hepatic impairment. The problem is important and interesting. However, this paper brings nothing new to the existing knowledge. It contains only common well known information. The paragraph concerning Child-Pugh classification is confusing. The text presented here repeats basic academic knowledge. In particular, description of the classification is not required. Finally, the contents of this paper article does not correspond to the field of the Journal.

Answer: Corrections have been done according to your comments