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Abstract
Insulinomas are the most frequent type of functional pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (PNETs) with a variety of neuroglycopenic and autonomic symptoms and well-defined diagnostic criteria; however, prediction of their clinical behavior and early differentiation between benign and malignant lesions remains a challenge. The comparative studies between benign and malignant cases are limited, suggesting that short clinical history, early hypoglycemia during fasting, high proinsulin, insulin, and c-peptide concentrations raise suspicion of malignancy. Indeed, malignant tumors are larger with higher mitotic count and Ki-67 proliferative activity; but there are no accurate histological criteria to distinguish benign from malignant forms. Several signaling pathways have been suggested to affect the pathophysiology and behavior of insulinomas; however, our knowledge is limited, urging further understanding of molecular genetics. Therefore, there is a need for the identification of reliable markers of metastatic disease that could also serve as therapeutic targets in patients with malignant insulinoma. This opinion review reflects on current gaps in diagnostic and clinical aspects related to the malignant behavior of insulinoma.
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Core Tip: Insulinomas are rare but potentially malignant tumors. The only sure sign of malignancy are metastases present at diagnosis. Long-term survival of patients with malignant insulinoma was poor, however, newly available agents and approaches, are reassuring. Nonetheless, it is important to distinguish between benign and malignant insulinomas early, as well as follow the non-functioning pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors and plan the appropriate treatment and follow-up. From the biochemical aspect, important initial parameters include 2-3-fold higher insulin, proinsulin, and c-peptide levels, early-onset hypoglycemia during the 72-hour fasting test, high chromogranin A and from the clinical aspect earlier recognition of neuroglycopenic symptoms and from the pathohistological standpoint tumor size exceeding 3 cm and higher tumor grade (G2 or G3). Molecular genetic advances are still insufficient in adding to the individualization of treatment and prognosis, but α-internexin and chromosomal instability, where available, might add to early recognition of malignancy.

INTRODUCTION
Malignant insulinoma is a rare tumor entity, with an incidence of approximately 10% of all insulinoma cases. A sure sign of malignancy, differentiating benign from malignant insulinoma, are metastases (primarily in the liver) at diagnosis. Unfortunately, some benign insulinoma alter during the disease course, and according to more current analyses, malignant forms can also arise from non-functioning pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (PNETs), becoming clinically apparent when tumor burden and potential to secrete proinsulin increase. Although currently available therapeutic options offer symptom relief and tumor reduction even in the case of malignant insulinoma, better survival and quality of life are expected in the case of early detection
of malignancy. This review summarizes the presenting characteristics that may help identify patients at risk of having malignant lesions and their therapeutic options.

FROM CLINICAL PRESENTATION TO DIAGNOSIS

The annual incidence of approximately 0.8/100000 persons makes PNETs rare types of cancers. Functional PNETs, characterized by hypersecretion of a specific hormone, are even rarer. Of all functional PNETs, insulinomas are the most frequent, with an estimated incidence of 1-4 per million per year[^1,2]. Four insulinoma characteristics are associated with the “90%” rate: 90% occur in the pancreas, 90% are benign, 90% are solitary and 90% are less than 2 cm in diameter[^3].

Their clinical presentation includes different neuroglycopenic and autonomic symptoms through sympathetic nervous system activation due to hypoglycemia induced by periods of substrate deficiency. Insulinoma-related signs and symptoms are non-specific. On average, there is a 12-month lag time before the insulinoma is suspected and diagnosed, giving them the fully deserved term neurological chameleon.

The gold standard for diagnosing insulinoma is hypoglycemia detected during a 72-hour fasting test, accompanied by inappropriately elevated insulin, c-peptide, and proinsulin levels, the latter being the best indicator of insulinoma. According to the Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guidelines, endogenous hyperinsulinism is diagnosed in the absence of oral hypoglycemic agents use when hypoglycemic signs and/or symptoms are present in association with plasma glucose levels < 3 mmol/L, insulin level > 18 pmol/L, c-peptide > 0.2 nmol/L, and proinsulin > 5 pmol/L[^4].

While the biochemical diagnostic criteria for insulinoma, in general, are well established and proven sensitive and specific, the differences between benign and malignant insulinoma are not always clear[^5].

MOLECULAR GENETICS OF INSULINOMAS - DO WE KNOW ENOUGH TO PREDICT MALIGNANT BEHAVIOR OF INSULINOMA?
During insulinoma tumorigenesis, the cell physiology is altered through the changes in several pathways, resulting in an increased replicative potential, self-sufficiency in growth signals, evasion of apoptosis, and potential to invade other tissues\textsuperscript{[5]} (Figure 1). Research done on genomics and transcriptomics of human insulinomas was mainly done on hereditary forms and the MEN1 syndrome is the most common hereditary type of PNETs caused by autosomal dominant germline alteration in the MEN1 (11q13) gene, encoding the menin protein\textsuperscript{[6]}. Because 10%-30% of individuals with MEN1 mutation develop insulinoma\textsuperscript{[7]}, menin was proposed as a candidate marker in tumorigenesis of insulinoma. In pancreatic β-cells, menin has a role in maintaining the expression of cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitors p27 and p18 to prevent enhanced pancreatic islet proliferation and subsequent tumorigenesis\textsuperscript{[8]}. Moreover, menin prevents tumorigenesis by several additional mechanisms, such as induction of transcription factor JunD to maintain normal growth regulation\textsuperscript{[9]} and the induction of FANCD2 to induce the DNA repair\textsuperscript{[10]}. Tumors of MEN1 patients often show an increase in the frequency of spontaneous chromosomal alterations and multiple allelic deletions\textsuperscript{[11]}, including the presence of mismatch-repair deficiency and a high rate of microsatellite instability in patients with malignant insulinoma\textsuperscript{[12]}. Diversely, menin also acts as a repressor of SMAD3, p65-mediated transcriptional activation of NF-κB, as well as dllk1/Pref-1, Proliferating cell nuclear antigen, and QM/Jif-1, which leads to inhibition of cell growth, and stimulation of apoptosis\textsuperscript{[13-15]}. Apart from menin, mutations in Yin Yang1 (YY1) gene, which increases insulin secretion by β-cells, were found in approximately 20% of insulinoma patients. YY1 is a transcription factor that regulates insulin and insulin-like growth factor signaling and its mutations are only found in insulinomas, but not other malignant PNETs, suggesting that this mutation could serve for specific diagnosis of insulinoma\textsuperscript{[16]}.

CDK4 and its regulatory subunit cyclin D are the main regulators of cell cycle progression of Langerhans islet β-cells. Albeit there are no differences in expression of CDK4 protein\textsuperscript{[17]}, overexpression of cyclin D and downregulation of cyclin D inhibitors p15 and p16 has been observed in both benign and advanced lesions, suggesting their
role in tumorigenesis of insulinomas[AM1][18-20]. Apart from CDKs, that are the key
regulators of cell cycle progression, KRAS and BRAF are two key oncogenes whose
somatic mutations lead to loss of cell cycle regulation.

Even though BRAF and K-ras gene mutations are rare events that are not
involved in tumorigenesis of neuroendocrine tumors[21], activation of the
Ras/RAF/mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway and its inhibitor Raf-1 kinase
inhibitory protein has been shown to play a role in the progression of insulinomas[22,23].

Furthermore, transforming growth factor α and its receptor epidermal growth
factor receptor have been associated with the activation of the Ras signaling pathway
and its oncogenic activity in malignant insulinoma[24].
mTOR is the other ubiquitous and highly conserved serine/threonine kinase that
regulates several cellular functions, including cell proliferation. The expression of
mTOR and P70S6K is high in insulinoma specimens, and in vitro mTOR inhibitors can
prevent the proliferation of insulinoma cells[25].

Apart from cell proliferation, evasion of apoptosis is the other hallmark of most
types of cancer. Even though p53 mutations in insulinoma are rarely found[26], high
expression of wild-type p53 is found in almost all malignant insulinomas where it can
further activate the cell death promoting factor Bax[27,28]. Furthermore, increased
expression of apoptosis inhibitor Bcl-2, found in one-third of insulinomas, suggests it
might be involved in insulinoma tumorigenesis[29].

Survivin is another apoptosis inhibitor whose gene is located in the chromosome
region changed in one-third of malignant insulinomas[30]. In addition, several candidate
proteins, such as P73, NOTCH1, and BRCC2, play a role in the evasion of apoptosis and
insulinoma progression; however, their exact roles in insulinoma tumorigenesis remain elusiv[31].

Even though several signaling pathways have been suggested to affect the
etiology and behavior of insulinomas, our knowledge is still limited. Detailed pathway-
specific expression profiling and better model systems are required to resolve the
conflicting results and further improve the understanding of pathway-specific genes
involved in malignant insulinoma pathogenesis and improve individualization of treatment.

HOW TO DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN BENIGN AND MALIGNANT INSULINOMA?
The morbidity of insulinoma is primarily due to hypoglycemia-related symptoms, while malignant insulinomas add morbidity and mortality due to their aggressiveness and invasion of adjacent tissues and metastasizing\[^{32,33}\]. In patients who do not have an extra-pancreatic disease at the initial presentation, the prediction of clinical behaviour is difficult as comparative studies between benign and malignant cases are limited\[^{34,35}\].

Although there is a new 2019 NETs classification\[^{36,37}\], in most insulinoma studies the tumor classification is based on the macroscopic (tumor size and invasion of surrounding tissue/vascular invasion), microscopic (mitotic count per 10 high power fields) and immunohistochemical features (Ki-67 proliferation index and neuroendocrine markers).

Tumors are graded as: G1 or well-differentiated (low grade) tumors: size up to 2 cm, a mitotic count < 2 mitosis/10 HPF, Ki-67 < 2%, no local invasion, regional or distant metastases; G2 (intermediate grade): tumor size > 2 cm, limited to the pancreas or with minimal local extension, a mitotic count of 2-20 mitosis/10 HPF, Ki-67=3-20%, with or without metastases; G3 (high grade): local invasion, a mitotic count > 20/10 HPF and/or a Ki-67 index > 20%, with or without metastasis\[^{37,38}\].

Histologically there are no accurate criteria to distinguish benign from malignant insulinomas. Malignant tumors are usually larger than 2, even 3 cm, showing higher Ki-67 proliferative activity, mostly G2 or even G3 NET\[^{38,39}\].

Microscopically tumors show a trabecular, nested, and solid growth pattern with uniform cells and small round nuclei showing pepper-like chromatin. Their cytoplasm is eosinophilic, abundant. Immunohistochemically, cells are positive for neuroendocrine markers like synaptophysin and chromogranin; insulin staining is not mandatory for
the diagnosis (Figure 2). Insulinoma tumor cells contain less insulin and secretory granules than normal β-cells but higher levels of proinsulin.

Malignant forms can be expected in 4-14% of cases and occur more often in younger age (< 50 years) and in males (77%)\textsuperscript{40}. Insulinemia and 2 to 3-fold higher c-peptide levels with hypoglycemia occurring within the first 8 h of the 72-hours fast might suggest malignancy\textsuperscript{41,42}. However, metastases are a sure sign of malignancy.

A retrospective series of 311 patients treated for insulinoma in Mayo Clinic showed biochemical and pathological differences between benign and malignant insulinoma\textsuperscript{38}. Malignant cases had higher than expected insulin and proinsulin levels and tumors of a larger size (4.2 cm compared to 1.8 cm seen in patients with benign insulinoma). Both malignant and benign insulinoma occurred sporadically and in patients with MEN-1\textsuperscript{38}.

In the past decades much effort has been made to form the risk stratification of pNETs and staging and grading according to the Ki67 index has been proposed as a tool to differentiate between benign and malignant tumor forms.

In addition, chromosomal instability (6q loss, and gains in 12q, 14q, and 17q) was found to be a strong predictor for the metastatic potential of insulinoma, and when used combined with histopathologic parameters, tumor size and Ki67 index, might improve early diagnosis of malignant insulinoma\textsuperscript{43}.

Patients presenting earlier with clinical symptoms of neuroglycopenia during the fast have distinctly worse histopathological tumor characteristics. Insulin concentrations at the beginning and at the end of the fast correlate with the Ki-67 index and tumor size, linking grading and size with hormonal activity in insulinoma. When applying the Ki67 5% cut-off between the patients in the GI and GII/III group, higher staged patients suffer from significantly lower glucose concentrations, have increased insulin and c-peptide levels, and a higher insulin secretion index compared to the GI group. Additionally, the tumor recurrence rate is about threefold higher in GII/GIII stage when the same Ki67 cut-off is applied compared to GI stage tumors\textsuperscript{44}. 7/11
A series of 103 insulinoma patients from Brazil showed a greater preponderance of malignant forms in males, with higher chromogranin A levels, shorter duration of symptoms, lower BG levels, higher insulin and c-peptide levels, larger tumor size, and a tumor primarily located in the pancreatic head. Malignant tumors were quite aggressive, with a mortality rate nearing 85% and five-year average survival of 24%. Interestingly, among the malignant insulinomas, time to death varied from few months to up to 25 years after the diagnosis. Thus, some malignant insulinomas seemed to have more aggressive and others a more indolent behavior. In this case series, most patients (77%) had malignant insulinoma at the time of diagnosis, and 23% had this diagnosis during follow-up\cite{39}.

Similar survival rates were recorded in a widely cited 50-years long follow-up of 237 insulinoma patients (among whom 13 with metastatic forms) at Mayo Clinic where ten-year postoperative survival was 88% of patients with benign compared to 29% of patients with metastatic disease\cite{45}. In a more recent study, based on 81 patients with metastatic insulinoma data from 30 European NET registries, a 5-year survival improved to 55.6\%\cite{46}.

More contemporary analysis of malignant insulinoma cases suggests their origin from non-functioning PNETs, developing phenotypic shift characterized by metachronous insulin hypersecretion after several years. Non-functioning PNET cells originally probably harbor rare cells expressing insulin and/or proinsulin, but the quantity of their concentration in circulation is initially too small to cause clinically recognizable symptoms. Only after the tumor burden grows large enough, usually with liver metastasis, the tumor cells can produce the amounts of insulin and proinsulin large enough to cause hypoglycemia\cite{47}. Therefore, nonfunctioning PNETs, especially when accompanied by elevated chromogranin A levels (an ubiquitous NET marker which is usually low in case of benign tumors) as well as pancreatin need to be surveilled more closely, while they potentially give rise to malignant tumors. Moreover, at the time of diagnosis, in case benign insulinoma is initially suspected, additional
molecular and genetic markers, such as α-internexin might add awareness of malignant potential\textsuperscript{[48,49]}. Recurrence of the tumor after initial surgery has always been accompanied by hypoglycemia, therefore continuing regular monitoring of blood glucose levels is needed during patients visits\textsuperscript{[39]}. 

Additionally, there was an attempt to analyze postoperative hyperglycemia as a prognostic sign after resection. The data coming from a small patient series could not differentiate immediate or perioperative glucose levels (as a threshold BG > 10 mmol/L) with tumor size, insulin production and/or malignancy, making it an unreliable marker for surgical cure/residual tumor\textsuperscript{[50]}. On the other hand, high proinsulin levels and large proinsulin/insulin molar ratio (although at present there is no unique cut-off value) are indicative of malignant insulinoma, therefore a new term “proinsulinoma” might be more appropriate for malignant tumor forms\textsuperscript{[49]}.

**TREATMENT OF INSULINOMA - ARE THERE DIFFERENCES IN APPROACH AND FOLLOW-UP OF PATIENTS WITH MALIGNANT INSULINOMA**

A comprehensive approach is required to treat malignant insulinoma, and includes medication, surgery, and interventional therapy.

Regardless of benign or malignant insulinoma behavior dietary modifications, treatment with diazoxide, octreotide or pasireotide represent plausible options for hypoglycemia avoidance\textsuperscript{[40,51-53]} and patients with the malignant type more often demonstrate pronounced neuroglycopenic symptoms\textsuperscript{[54]}.

In case of malignant insulinoma, extensive surgical procedures, including complete oncological resection along with regional lymph nodes dissections are warranted\textsuperscript{[38]}. Since more than 80% of the patients have liver metastasis\textsuperscript{[54]}, hepatic burden can be treated by hepatic resection or hepatic artery embolization combined with doxorubicin or streptozotocin\textsuperscript{[55,56]}. Liver transplantation represents a treatment option for macroscopic and concealed micrometastases in the liver\textsuperscript{[57]} after curative
resection of primary insulinoma, for selected patients with less than 50% of liver involvement and Ki-67 of less than 5 to 10%[58].

Antiproliferative effect of everolimus and sunitinib prolong progression-free survival in patients with unresectable or metastatic insulinoma[59,60]. In case of failure, chemotherapy protocol with streptozotocin, doxorubicin and/or 5-fluorouracil for G1 and G2, or cisplatin with etoposide for G3 malignant insulinoma should be considered[61].

In patients with somatostatin receptor positive tumors, peptide receptor radionuclide therapy with radiolabelled peptides yttrium (90Y) or lutetium (177Lu) linked to a somatostatin analogue significantly extends progression-free survival[62,63].

In context of insulinoma management surgical procedures can be potentially curable, in addition treatments with newer agents prolong progression-free survival of patients with malignant insulinoma. Cytoreductive surgery is favorable to control hormone secretion. Moreover, patients' quality of life is improved by new hypoglycemia detection technological tools (continuous glucose monitoring systems-CGM), that help patients in real time glucose monitoring and through audio and vibration-based alerts prevent severe hypoglycemic episodes[64].

Biochemical and imaging follow-up in patients with insulinoma should be done frequently: every 3 to 6 mo for G1 and G2, and every 2 to 3 mo for G3, along with yearly somatostatin receptor imaging or FDG PET (if positive at diagnosis)[65].

The diagnostic and therapeutic pathway of an insulinoma patient mostly relies on the awareness of clinical symptoms prerogative to a single specialist such as gastroenterologists, endocrinologists or oncologists. However, an integrated approach in a form of a multidisciplinary team combined with current NET related guidelines should aspire to improve clinical management and outcomes[66].

CONCLUSION
Malignant insulinomas, besides hypoglycemia-related symptoms, cause morbidity and mortality due to their local aggressiveness. To our current knowledge, the presence of
metastasis differentiates benign from malignant insulinoma since histological criteria are insufficient. Detailed pathway-specific expression profiling would improve understanding of malignant insulinoma pathogenesis, and identification of specific genes could predict the long-term outcomes of insulinoma management. A small number of affected patients precludes quality research of therapeutic options. Further research is mandatory to improve the individualization of treatment for patients with insulinoma, particularly malignant variants.
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