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COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
In this observational prospective clinical study article by Lei et al., authors evaluate the relevance of measuring Mean Platelet Volume (MPV) in predicting the severity of acute pancreatitis (AP). This is an important study since conflicting results were reported about the levels of MPV in AP patients. Authors demonstrated the decrease in MPV levels of AP patients at the first week of hospital admission. Moreover, the results indicated that MPV level of patient with severe acute pancreatitis (SAP) and persistent organ failure on day 1 of hospital admission was lower than that without persistent organ failure. That may help with early diagnosis of patients with persistent organ failure. The English language of the manuscript requires correction. Some sentence structures are not correct. It needs to be edited by English speaking scientific editor. The minor edit: There is typo on the second page of the Discussion. “… and plate activation play an important role…. Should be “… platelet activation....”
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Good idea, good work, congratulations.