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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

MAJOR STRENGTHS
1. The text is relatively well-organized and well-written, with logical formatting, and it is of appropriate length.
2. This is the first report of disseminated peritoneal leiomyomatosis with hematuria as initial presentation which could mimic urothelial cancer with peritoneal carcinomatosis.

MAJOR WEAKNESSES
3. It would be better to use ‘disseminated peritoneal leiomyomatosis’, instead of ‘leiomyomatosis peritonealis disseminata’.
4. Please add the figure showed multiple seeding lesions at anterior abdominal wall, subcutaneous fat and bilateral inguinal canals.
5. In discussion, the paragraph ‘The patient was doing well without evidence of recurrence 24 months after the operation’. -> move to the end of case report section.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
1. How was the lesion identified to be inside the inguinal canal on CT? (pg 2 line 3) 2. What was the surgical incision used? Were the nodules resected with a margin? 3. Was transverse colostomy done to divert? when was it closed? 4. Were other differentials such as benign metastasizing leiomyoma considered? 5. How long was the follow up duration? 6. Do the authors advocate caution on morcellation of uterine fibroids?