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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The present study is a case report of a radiation therapy conducted for IPMN with ARN. The case report is novel. The English used is good. The ideas are clear and well-organized. I think this work is highly important because it proposes a solution for patients with IPMN with a high risk of malignancy and cannot be operated for their comorbidities. However, I have some minor concerns: 1- The hepatic enzymes should be noted. 2- There was no jaundice? This negative sign should be mentioned in all patients having pancreatic tumors. 3- The common bile duct was not dilated? This should be mentioned in the main text. 4- Echo-endoscopy with biopsies and percutaneous biopsies were not considered to confirm the malignancy of the tumor? Knowing that an IPMN with ARP and dilatation of the main pancreatic duct is highly suggestive of malignancy, chemotherapy associated with radiation would have been considered to ensure better control of the disease. This should be developed in the Discussion section.
Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 78119

Title: Favorable response after radiation therapy for intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms manifesting as acute recurrent pancreatitis: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 04093777

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Egypt

Author’s Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-28 12:19

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-03 19:21

Review time: 5 Days and 7 Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific quality</th>
<th>Grade A: Excellent</th>
<th>Grade B: Very good</th>
<th>Grade C: Good</th>
<th>Grade D: Fair</th>
<th>Grade E: Do not publish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language quality</td>
<td>Grade A: Priority publishing</td>
<td>Grade B: Minor language polishing</td>
<td>Grade C: A great deal of language polishing</td>
<td>Grade D: Rejection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>Accept (High priority)</td>
<td>Accept (General priority)</td>
<td>Minor revision</td>
<td>Major revision</td>
<td>Rejection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-review</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Very nice work, and promising result