



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 92220

Title: HDR syndrome presented with nephrotic syndrome in a Chinese boy: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 06197308

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Croatia

Author’s Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2024-01-19

Reviewer chosen by: Shang Wu

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-06-14 05:51

Reviewer performed review: 2024-06-18 08:03

Review time: 4 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is a case report presenting an infant with HDR syndrome. The child had nephrotic range proteinuria which is an uncommon presentation of the syndrome. Following genetic analysis the authors concluded that the hemizygous variant in exon 3 c.704C>T (p.Pro235Leu) of the GATA3 gene might be responsible for proteinuria. The manuscript adequately describes the background, present status and added value of the described case. To conclude the presented case report is interesting and has clinical relevance, however, English editing is highly recommended. Following is the review of the manuscript sections. The title reflects the main subject of the manuscript and is adequate in its present form. The abstract mostly summarizes the work described. The sentence “We suggested that GATA3 might also be a cause for infant onset nephrotic syndrome.” Should be modified...perhaps mutations, variants in GATA3 might be associated with nephrotic syndrome... In the section CASE SUMMARY the sentences “ A 9-month old boy was hospitalized with a complaint of proteinuria for 3 days. The boy was found proteinuria 3 days when he went to hospital because of diarrhea. There were no edema, oliguria, fever and abnormal urine color.” should be modified.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Proteinuria is not a complaint; it is a laboratory finding. Moreover, the patient did not have edema nor oliguria which might encourage physicians to determine renal function including proteinuria. Keywords reflect the focus of the manuscript. The title of Table 1 should be modified...for example Laboratory findings of the patient at admission. I only presume it is at admission because it is not clearly stated. Moreover, in Table 1 urinary microalbumin and urine protein / creatinine are presented with range...The authors should clearly state when each measurement was performed. The authors describe HDR syndrome both in the introduction and discussion section which may be considered redundant.