



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7749

Title: Endoscopic ultrasound guided fine needle aspiration and useful ancillary methods

Reviewer code: 00919234

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2013-11-30 14:05

Date reviewed: 2013-12-05 11:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very thorough review of endoscopic cytological sample acquisition for pancreatic lesions. The references are appropriate in type, and in number, for a review article. However, it is imperative that the authors allow the manuscript to be proofread by someone more facile in the English language. While the text is understandable overall, there are numerous grammatical errors, many of which center around the underutilization of articles ('the,' 'a,' 'an'). An example: "Therefore, there is emerging demand for obtaining sufficient amount of tissue from suspected lesion for further analyses, procurement of core tissue for histological analysis and ancillary methods." Other sentences are incorrectly constructed: "The data on all type of needles reports similar diagnostic yields." In a few places, the draft of the manuscript was not cleaned up before submission: Page 6: "[Pogre?ka! Izvor reference nije prona?en.]"



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7749

Title: Endoscopic ultrasound guided fine needle aspiration and useful ancillary methods

Reviewer code: 02542621

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2013-11-30 14:05

Date reviewed: 2013-12-12 06:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Reviewer comments to the authors: The purpose of this review is to update different technical aspects of tissue acquisition by Endoscopic Ultrasound (EUS) guided puncture (Fine needle aspiration, PROCORE? fine needle biopsy or Trucut), different ways to process the material and possible ancillary methods for optimize the diagnostic yield. But there are several issues with this paper: Major issues: - Consider to clarify in the title and abstract 'what lesions': gastrointestinal, pancreatic, mediastinal or all of them. - Clarify in the abstract, introduction and body of the text what kind of lesions will be commented in the paper: a) In the abstract is commented gastrointestinal lesions, but there are references about mediastinal lesions. b) Introduction: only pancreatic tissue? As well, there are a lot of references about lymph nodes, mediastinal lesions and peripancreatic lesions. - Orthography and english expressions are poor. Ie. 'singe' instead of single; 'flexi' instead of flex; 'true cut' instead of trucut; '22 g' instead of 22G or gauges, page3; 'perforemab' instead of performed, page5; 'endoscopisc' instead of endoscopist page5... - 'Procure' should be signed as a trend mark ?, and commercial mark needs to be commented; - All abbreviations needs to be spell out first. Ie. NET, SPPN, (page 9)... - Complications: stop antiplatelets drugs 5-7 days before the procedure, and check that exists a normal coagulation should be commented. - Ancillary methods: I recommend to include: - Pellise M et al. Detection of lymph node micrometastases by gene promoter hypermethylation in samples obtained by endosonography- guided fine-needle aspiration biopsy Clin Cancer Res. 2004, 1: 4444-9. A well designed and written paper about the useful of hypermethylation in samples form EUS-FNA. - Al-Haddad M et al. Performance characteristics of molecular (DNA) analysis for the diagnosis of mucinous pancreatic cysts Gastrointest Endosc. 2013 Jul 9. doi:pii: S0016-5107(13)01985-8.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

10.1016/j.gie.2013.05.026. A recent paper from Indiana, USA, EUS-FNA and molecular (DNA) analysis of cyst fluid performed on 48 patients. Paper, in general, needs to improve significantly in different aspects commented above, before to be considered for acceptance in a journal.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7749

Title: Endoscopic ultrasound guided fine needle aspiration and useful ancillary methods

Reviewer code: 02860874

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2013-11-30 14:05

Date reviewed: 2014-01-17 11:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In my opinion this is a good review article that contents useful information, but I have some suggestions, for example, authors should enlarge their discussion about EUS-FNA complications. Conclusions are very poor compared with all the richness of the manuscript, then, conclusions must be reconsidered. The manuscript has some mistakes that must be corrected: 1.- Under subtitle "Ancillary methods", in paragraph number 4 do not appear the number 31, that must be corresponding with this bibliographic reference, and in its place you can read "Pogre?ka! Izvor reference nije prona?en". Then, two paragraphs below again appear "Pogre?ka! Izvor reference nije prona?en." instead of number [31]. 2.- Neither in the manuscript nor in Table 1 appear numbers corresponding with references 36, 37, and 38. 3.- Below the subtitle "Molecular metthods", in third paragraph, there is a parenthesis content two times the number 63: "[63,63,65], must be corrected. 4.- Change "Reference" for "References" and also, authors must review and write the references according to specifications of World Journal of Gastroenterology, for example, they must omit the numbers within parenthesis and also the parenthesis.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7749

Title: Endoscopic ultrasound guided fine needle aspiration and useful ancillary methods

Reviewer code: 02860797

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2013-11-30 14:05

Date reviewed: 2014-04-26 20:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript was quite well written. I learned a lot from reading it. Some tiny problems are as follows: 1) In Biochemistry section, MCN should be spelled out as it was showed the first time here. 2) The threshold value of 192 ng/ml was for what factor? 3) In Molecular methods section, LOH(loss of heterozygosity) should be loss of heterozygosity (LOH).



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7749

Title: Endoscopic ultrasound guided fine needle aspiration and useful ancillary methods

Reviewer code: 00044945

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2013-11-30 14:05

Date reviewed: 2014-04-28 08:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting review on EUS-FNA and the challenge of the need for obtaining adequate specimens for molecular characterization. The paper needs major language polishing before evaluation. There are notes written in other language throughout the text. There are several misspelled words. The references need to be edited.