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6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study? What are the contributions that the study has made for research progress in this field? Yes  
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The author provides a review on the impact of H. pylori infection and eradication on the composition and diversity of the gut microbiota. And points out the direction for the next exploration of the relationship between H. pylori infection and gut microbiota. Some comments and suggestions: 1. H. pylori infection is in the stomach, and antibiotics and PPIs also act on the stomach. Should the author investigate the changes in the composition and diversity of the gastric microbiota instead of the gut microbiota. 2. As we all know, in addition to age, gender, BMI and virulence, diet is also a non-negligible factor affecting the microbiota. 3. In addition to CagA, VacA is also a factor affecting the virulence of H. pylori, and new insights suggest that VacA and CagA may counter-regulate each other to manipulate host cell responses. However, in the “The mechanisms of changes in gut microbiota induced by H. pylori infection” part, the author only discusses the CagA's effect on the gut microbiota is sufficient. 4. The name of the bacteria should be written in italics, please check the full text carefully.