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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Dear authors, Thank you for an interesting case report. Rare cases are good to be discussed as it can ease diagnosis for colleagues in the future. I have one minor commend: The section final diagnosis could possibly be moved after the treatment section as before that you possibly did not have a certain diagnosis.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
I have only a few technical comments. In the downloaded version of the manuscript, there is a huge number of missing spaces, while several words merged into one large one, which made it extremely difficult to read the manuscript. In the Reference section, I noticed that several Journals were named incorrectly (Springer - Springerplus is right, Ref. 1; Bmc Cancer - BMC Cancer is right, Ref. 7; Bmc Infect Dis - BMC... is right, Ref. 11; Acg - ACG is right, Ref. 13). Otherwise, the article is interesting, well written, and contains very convincing images.