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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This opinion review aims to strike a fair, ethical balance between the therapeutic benefits of pancreatic transplant surgery and stem cell therapy and their ethical implications. The authors address ethical concerns regarding pancreatic transplantation and stem cell therapy. The article's interrogation is legitimate and reasonable; it delves deeply into not only medical but also ethical issues, and makes recommendations for organ transplantation and stem cell therapy. The biomedical rationale for pancreatic transplantation and stem cell therapy is accurate, comprehensible, and well-explained. Additionally, the ethical implications of the surgical procedure and stem cell treatment are presented in a straightforward and readable manner. The position statement is entirely accurate; additionally, the figure summarizes the authors' suggestions. (It is a matter of how practical life is able to spread this ideal way of thinking uniformly.) I suggest to accept the manuscript for publication.
Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 76487

Title: Pancreatic transplant surgery and stem cell therapy: finding the balance between therapeutic advances and ethical principles

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 06114576

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Surabaya

Author’s Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-24 10:23

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-06 01:55

Review time: 12 Days and 15 Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific quality</th>
<th>Grade A: Excellent</th>
<th>Grade B: Very good</th>
<th>Grade C: Good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grade D: Fair</td>
<td>Grade E: Do not publish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language quality</th>
<th>Grade A: Priority publishing</th>
<th>Grade B: Minor language polishing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grade C: A great deal of language polishing</td>
<td>Grade D: Rejection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conclusion</th>
<th>Accept (High priority)</th>
<th>Accept (General priority)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minor revision</td>
<td>Major revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Re-review</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer-reviewer statements</td>
<td>Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous  [ ] Onymous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes  [Y] No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Please rewrite with literature review guideline, using clearly methods for literature review.