Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77383

Title: Complete Colonic Duplication Presenting as Hip Fistula in an adult with pelvic malformation: a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 03537202

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Adjunct Professor, Doctor, Professor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Italy

Author’s Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-03 08:33

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-03 11:43

Review time: 3 Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific quality</th>
<th>[ ] Grade A: Excellent</th>
<th>[Y] Grade B: Very good</th>
<th>[ ] Grade C: Good</th>
<th>[ ] Grade D: Fair</th>
<th>[ ] Grade E: Do not publish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language quality</td>
<td>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing</td>
<td>[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</td>
<td>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing</td>
<td>[ ] Grade D: Rejection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>[ ] Accept (High priority)</td>
<td>[ ] Accept (General priority)</td>
<td>[Y] Minor revision</td>
<td>[ ] Major revision</td>
<td>[ ] Rejection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-review</td>
<td>[Y] Yes</td>
<td>[ ] No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
Authors reported a rare case of alimentary tract duplication (ATD) with a fistula and multiple malformations. Authors emphasize the difficulty in diagnosing this disease and explain why it tends to be challenging to diagnose and treat it. STATUS: ACCEPTABLE FOR PUBLICATION PENDING MINOR REVISIONS

General considerations: This is a CASE REPORT article. The work is interesting, the paper is very well-written and there are only a few articles in literature about this topic.

Abstract: the abstract appropriately summarize the manuscript without discrepancies between the abstract and the remainder of the manuscript. Keywords: inadequate.

Please, reach at least five. Pelvic malformation? What mean? Paper On some aspects, the authors should address: 1)In the Introduction paragraph you wrote: “To the best of our knowledge, there are no previous reports or research on cases involving a body fistula combined with a pelvic malformation”. I still don't understand what you mean when refer to pelvic malformation. Please, specify it. 2)Differential diagnostics of hip bulging should be discussed. In this regard, I recommend that you discuss about the hip bursitis, a pathology which can sometimes mimic anterior hip bulge. 3)In the approach to hip bulge, have you thought about perform an ultrasound examination? Not infrequently, ultrasound can rule out hernias or other causes of bulging. In this regard, I recommend that you read and cite the following article: -Usefulness of dynamic ultrasound in the diagnosis of epigastric herniation of stomach. Ultrasound. 2022 May;30(2):162-166. doi: 10.1177/1742271X211026013. Epub 2021 Jul 16. PMID: 35509293; PMCID: PMC9058391. Reference: inadequate. Please, add the suggested one. Figures: good. -In Fig. 1 B is a CT image. Why is it not reported? -Why in Fig. 1 C is the contrast
agent appearing in the fistula located posteriorly? Was the fistula not anterior? Or so it seems from the clinical images. Please, specify it in the text.
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