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Abstract

BACKGROUND
Immune check-point inhibitors-induced colitis (ICPIs-induced colitis) is one of the immune-related side effects. Steroids and Infliximab are commonly used to treat it. The patients of our report were treated by Vedolizumab.

CASE SUMMARY
The two patients went to the doctor with bloody stools and were treated by Sintilimab and Camrelizumab, respectively, for their malignant tumors. They were diagnosed as ICPIs-induced colitis based on endoscopic and histologic examination. The original immunotherapy was ceased while the anti-inflammatory therapy was introduced. The patients' colitis symptoms disappeared after the treatment and no recurrence was found during the follow-up period. The unique feature about the case reports is that Vedolizumab combined with short-term corticosteroids had achieved good therapeutic effects.

CONCLUSION
For the symptoms of bloody diarrhea after the ICPIs treatment of cancer, the possibility of ICPIs-induced colitis should be considered. Vedolizumab combined with short-term corticosteroids may be appropriate for the treatment.
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**Core Tip:** With its good efficacy, immunotherapy is increasingly being used in treating malignant tumors and attention shall be paid to the immune-related side effects caused by this therapy. This is a report on Sintilimab and Carrelizumab induced colitis which has rarely been reported in the past. Besides, a positive effect was achieved by adopting Vedolizumab combined with short-term corticosteroids therapy, which was different from the previous treatment.

**INTRODUCTION**
Immune check-point inhibitors (ICPIs) serve as a method of cancer immunotherapy. At present, multiple clinical trials of ICPIs present favorable response and survival rates in metastatic and various forms of malignancies. More indications are anticipated to be obtained for the application of ICPIs in cancer treatment in the future. ICPIs usually result in the widespread activation of T-cells, so the nonspecific infiltration of these immune cells can virtually affect any organ system of the human body. For example, just one of the immune-related side effects is ICPIs-induced colitis.

Our Center has recently treated two patients with bloody and mucus stools. A 63-year-old woman received Sintilimab combined with Fluorouracil and Nedaplatin treatment for esophageal cancer. She had abdominal pain, mucus and bloody diarrhea 1 mo after the treatment. Another 69-year-old gentleman received Camrelizumab
combined with Pemetrexed and Carboplatin for lung adenocarcinoma. He suffered bloody diarrhea 20 d after the treatment. Both cases were diagnosed as ICPIs-induced colitis based on the results of endoscopic and histologic examination. Terminating the immunotherapy and/or introducing anti-inflammatory therapy is the primary basis for handling high-grade immunotherapy induced-gastrointestinal (GI) adverse events[3]. Therefore, our two patients discontinued the immunotherapy for malignant tumors and received Vedolizumab combined with short-term corticosteroids injection therapy.

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints

Case 1: A 63-year-old female went to the doctor in May 2021 with chief complaints of abdominal pain and bloody diarrhea accompanied by vomiting, fatigue, anorexia and weight loss of about 10 kg for 1 mo.

Case 2: A 69-year-old gentleman went to the doctor in March 2021. The chief complaint was bloody diarrhea accompanied by abdominal pain, fatigue, tenesmus and weight loss of about 10 kg for 3 mo.

History of present illness

Case 1: The patient described that there had been mucus and blood in her bowel movements with the symptom of tenesmus 10-15 times per day for 1 mo.

Case 2: The patient suffered diarrhea 3 mo ago with a frequency up to 10 times a day. His stool was watery with a little blood and the symptoms did not worsen after taking montmorillonite powder and mesalazine orally. As he described, there had been mucus and more blood in his bowel movements 20-30 times per day for 1 mo and he also had fecal incontinence.

History of past illness

Case 1: The patient was diagnosed with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma 3 mo ago and treated with Sintilimab in combination with Fluorouracil and Nedaplatin. The
symptoms of abdominal pain and bloody diarrhea appeared about 1 mo later after the treatment.

Case 2: The patient was diagnosed with poorly differentiated lung adenocarcinoma 4 mo ago which was anaplastic lymphoma kinase (-) and received treatment with Camrelizumab combined with Pemetrexed and Carboplatin. The symptoms of diarrhea appeared about 20 d after the treatment and then the patient received the immunotherapy for four cycles. The patient denied fever, chills, night sweats, skin rash, arthralgia, history of unclean diet, history of contact with those who had related diseases, recent travel history and no personal or family history of colitis.

Personal and family history

Case 1: The patient denied fever, chills, night sweats, skin rash, arthralgia, history of unclean diet, history of contact with those who had related diseases, recent travel history and no personal or family history of colitis.

Physical examination

Case 1: The patient's vital signs were stable. Physical examination results showed that the abdomen was flat, soft and tender without rebound pain or muscle tension. No skin rash or joint swelling was seen on the whole body.

Case 2: The patient's vital signs were stable. Physical examination results of the abdomen showed that the abdomen was flat, soft and there was generalized abdominal tenderness without rigidity. No skin rash or joint swelling was seen.

Laboratory examinations

Case 1: Initial significant laboratory results showed a hemoglobin level of $106 \times 10^{12}/L$, leukocytes of $8.3 \times 10^9/L$ and a platelet count of $349 \times 10^9/L$; other test results included a creatinine level of 68.4 $\mu$mol/L, blood urea of 4.65 mmol/L, alanine transaminase of 18 $U/L$, aspartate aminotransferase of 26 $U/L$, albumin of 24.2 g/L, C-reactive protein of 40.5 mg/L, serum potassium of 2.87 mmol/L, prothrombin time of 15.7 s and a d-
dimer of 283 μg/L. Fecal pathogen culture, Clostridioides difficile, and Calprotectin tests didn’t show any detected positive results.

**Case 2:** Initial significant laboratory results included a hemoglobin level of 126 × 10¹²/L, leukocytes of 3.4 × 10⁹/L and a platelet count of 367 × 10⁹/L; other test results included a creatinine level of 79 μmol/L, blood urea of 7.68 mmol/L, alanine transaminase of 29 U/L, aspartate aminotransferase of 36 U/L, albumin of 33.2 g/L, C-reactive protein of 60.7 mg/L, Procalcitonin of 0.168 ng/mL, serum potassium of 3.51 mmol/L, prothrombin time of 10.9 s, and a d-dimer of 465 μg/L. Fecal pathogen culture, Clostridioides difficile and Calprotectin tests didn’t show any detected positive results.

**Imaging examinations**

**Case 1:** Abdominal computed tomography scan revealed inflammatory changes involving the thickened walls of the colon and rectum. After the enhanced scan, layered enhancement of the tube wall could be seen with exudation observed.

**Case 2:** Computed tomography (CT) scan of the abdomen revealed inflammatory changes involving thickened walls of the large intestine with blood vessels around the mesentery increased in size.

**MULTIDISCIPLINARY EXPERT CONSULTATION**

**Case 1**

The patient was examined by colonoscopy upon specialist consultation in Gastroenterology, Oncology and Infectious disease. According to the results of the colonoscopy, the mucosa at 20 cm of the distal ileum was smooth and there was marked hyperemia and edema of the mucosa from the ileocecum to the rectum. Diffuse mucosal erosion and superficial ulcers were visible and the mucosa was brittle and easy to bleed when exposed (Figure 1). HE staining biopsy showed no atypia of intestinal mucosal glands, but found slightly irregular crypt with branches, crypt inflammation and crypt abscess, infiltration of such inflammatory cells as interstitial neutrophils and plasma
cells, acid-fast mycobacterium fluorescence staining, Epstein-Barr virus encoded RNA's in situ hybridization, cytomegalovirus immunohistochemistry (-) (Figure 2).

Case 2
The patient was examined by colonoscopy upon specialist consultation in Gastroenterology, Oncology and Infectious disease. Due to the narrow intestinal stenosis caused by obvious hyperemia and edema of the intestinal mucosa, the colonoscope could only reach the transverse colon proximal splenic flexion of the colon, but observed obvious hyperemia and edema in the colon and rectum mucosa with diffuse mucosal erosion, ulcers and bleeding. The ulcers were covered with white fur and the intestinal mucosa was brittle and prone to bleeding when exposed (Figure 3). HE staining biopsy showed crypt inflammation and crypt abscess, infiltration of inflammatory cells, acid-fast mycobacterium fluorescence staining, Epstein-Barr virus encoded RNA's in situ hybridization, cytomegalovirus immunohistochemistry (-) (Figure 4).

FINAL DIAGNOSIS
Case 1
Based on the history, imaging, endoscopic and histologic exams, the patient was diagnosed with grade IV colitis induced by immunotherapy.

Case 2
According to the history, imaging, endoscopic and histological examination, the patient was diagnosed with grade IV immune-mediated colitis.

TREATMENT
Case 1
On admission, Mesalazine was administered orally, somatostatin was pumped intravenously to inhibit intestinal juice secretion, and empiric antibiotic coverage with Cefmenoxime combined with Metronidazole was given. Upon the diagnosis of grade IV colitis, the patient received an intravenous infusion of 60 mg/d methylprednisolone for 7 d, after which corticosteroids were not used immediately. At the same time, 300 mg Vedolizumab was injected and 300 mg was given again after 2 wk.

**Case 2**

On admission, the patient underwent fasting, got parenteral nutrition and somatostatin injected to inhibit intestinal juice secretion and was empirically given Cefmenoxime and Metronidazole to control the infection. Upon the diagnosis of grade IV colitis, the patient received intravenous infusion of 60 mg/d of Methylprednisolone for 7 d and then corticosteroids were no longer administered immediately. At the same time, the patient was given 300 mg Vedolizumab intravenously and re-treated with 300 mg Vedolizumab at the 2nd and 4th week after the initial treatment with Vedolizumab.

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

**Case 1**

The 2nd day after the treatment, the stool frequency of the patient was reduced from 10-15 times a day to 4-5 times a day and the decrease of bloody stool was also significantly improved. The frequency of stool turned normal about 1 wk later after treatment. Currently, the patient has no abdominal pain or bloody diarrhea. The patient refused to undergo colonoscopy reexamination. The immunotherapy cycles were discontinued due to the colitis event. The patient is not currently continuing anti-tumor therapy for esophageal cancer.

**Case 2**

The patient's symptoms gradually improved after the treatment and the frequency of stool turned normal after 2 wk. The patient has no abdominal pain or bloody diarrhea
right now. After 5 mo of treatment, the patient underwent colonoscopy, which showed visible mucosal erosion and a mucosal healing scar, and the hyperemia and edema of the colonic mucosa were less than before but still resulted in intestinal stenosis (Figure 5). Considering that the patient’s symptoms of hematochezia were relieved after treatment, the colonoscopy showed that intestinal mucosal erosion, ulcers and bleeding were alleviated but there were still symptoms of active colitis. 300 mg Vedolizumab was given intravenously, again. However, the immunotherapy cycles were discontinued due to the colitis. The patient is not currently continuing anti-tumor therapy for lung cancer.

**DISCUSSION**

It is proven that ICPIs are efficacious for treating cancers and improving the survival in metastatic malignancies\[6\]. ICPIs, by inhibiting checkpoints involved in regulating T-cell activation, enable augmentation of immunologic response against tumor cells which in turn has improved survival rates, particularly for patients with small cell lung carcinoma, renal cell carcinoma and melanoma\[1,7,8\]. ICPIs can cause a widespread activation of non-tumor-specific T-cells\[9\]. The disadvantage of this mechanism is the specific and uncontrolled activation of immune system cells which leads to excessive immune responses and autoimmune diseases. ICPIs also have an immune-mediated side-effect due to the action of aiding T-cell activation. The gastrointestinal (GI) tract, endocrine system, lungs, liver and skin are usually affected but GI tract Immune-Related Adverse Events (irAEs) typically presenting with diarrhea but are rarely affected. This could be either the only presenting symptom that is self-limiting or the part of ICPIs-induced colitis that requires hospitalization and treatment. The combination of CTLA-4 and PD-1 inhibitors resulted in a significantly higher incidence and severity of irAEs (including colitis) than single-agent PD-1 inhibition\[10\].

As a humanized monoclonal antibody against PD-1, Camrelizumab (SHR-1210) usually blocks the binding of PD-1 to PD-L1 and consequently inhibits the immune escape of tumor cells. It has been shown by clinical trials of Phase 1 that Camrelizumab
was well tolerated in patients with advanced solid tumors showing some antitumor activity\textsuperscript{[11-14]}. In a phase-3 clinical study for the treatment of advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, 228 patients who received Camrelizumab were reported, and 44 (19\%) of patients were reported undergoing treatment-related to adverse events of grade 3 or higher, including three cases of diarrhea\textsuperscript{[15]}. Of patients treated with Decitabine combined with Camrelizumab in Relapsed/Refractory Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma, 30\% underwent immune-related AEs and 6\% of them had diarrhea\textsuperscript{[16]}. Lickliter \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{[17]} found in a study of Camrelizumab for patients with advanced or metastatic cancer that a total of 6 patients reported 8 irAEs, including 1 with diarrhea (grade 3), and the results of the study suggested that there was no clear correlation between the incidence and severity of related irAEs and the dose of Camrelizumab.

As a fully human IgG4 monoclonal antibody, Sintilimab can bind to PD-1, block the interaction of PD-1 with its ligands and recover the anti-tumor response of T-cells. In addition, Sintilimab is undergoing the development of Phase I, II and III for various solid tumors including non-small cell lung cancer and esophageal cancer, in China\textsuperscript{[18]}. The immune-related AEs of Sintilimab included diarrheal\textsuperscript{[19]} in the official instructions. In fact, ICPIs-colitis induced by Sintilimab were rarely reported. IrAEs, as autoimmune entities should be reversed by immunosuppression, with corticosteroids as the first-line agent\textsuperscript{[20]}. Infliximab is usually reserved for treating GI-irAEs refractory to steroids or of high severity\textsuperscript{[20]}. However, the antitumor efficacy of ICPIs therapy may be adversely affected by immunosuppressive therapy\textsuperscript{[21]}. Furthermore, various debilitating adverse events including infections may occur because of systemic immunosuppressive therapy\textsuperscript{[22]}. Vedolizumab, a humanized monoclonal IgG1 antibody that selectively blocks gut lymphocyte trafficking by specifically recognizing the α4β7 integrin, is a cell surface glycoprotein variably expressed on T lymphocytes\textsuperscript{[23,24]}. The α4β7 integrin interacts with the mucosal addressin cell adhesion molecule on intestinal vasculature to regulate the migration of leukocytes into inflamed intestinal tissue\textsuperscript{[25,26]}. Vedolizumab is approved for the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) because there are many
similarities in the clinical manifestations including mucus and bloody diarrhea between ICPIs-induced colitis and IBD, and colonoscopy results show extensive and diffuse erosions and ulcers in the intestinal mucosa. Histology results suggest inflammatory cell infiltration, so the effective treatment for IBD may also be effective for ICPIs-induced colitis. Hamzah et al.[27] found in their study that Vedolizumab can be appropriate for the treatment of steroid-refractory ICPIs-induced colitis, with favorable outcomes and safety. Bergqvist et al.[28] had reported that the patients with ICPIs-induced colitis either steroid-refractory and/or steroid-dependent were given Vedolizumab treatment for two to four times, and most patients achieved steroid-free remission. Moreover, due to the gut-specific action mechanism of Vedolizumab, we speculate that the treatment for ICPIs-induced colitis may cause lesser affection on the treatment and development of cancer. However, due to the gradual effect of Vedolizumab[29] and the fact that our two patients had severe bloody diarrhea, we chose the therapy of Vedolizumab combined with short-term corticosteroids. Fortunately, the patients underwent rapid remission of symptoms.

CONCLUSION
For the symptoms of bloody diarrhea after the ICPIs treatment of cancer, the possibility of ICPIs-induced colitis should be considered. Colonoscopy, mucosal biopsies, abdominal CT scan and fecal calprotectin are necessary for the diagnosis. Cessation of the immunotherapy and the introduction of anti-inflammatory therapy can help to control the ICPIs-induced colitis. Vedolizumab combined with short-term corticosteroids may be appropriate for the safe and effective treatment of ICPIs-induced colitis.
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