



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16066

Title: Screening for pancreatic cancer in familial high-risk individuals: A Systematic Review

Reviewer's code: 03104216

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2014-12-25 11:28

Date reviewed: 2015-02-11 15:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Minor Revision The manuscript "Screening for pancreatic cancer in familial high-risk individuals: A Systematic Review" covers many aspects associated with familial HRI and is a fair attempt to describe the advantages and harms associated with pancreatic cancer screening. In my opinion the manuscript can be accepted only after incorporating few more details (as described below) and language polishing. Comments 1. Recent data for years after 2000 is also available for pancreatic cancer evolution and mortality rate so it can also be included. 2. The language of paper needs attention. In appropriate use of words in many places is breaking the flow of manuscript. Restructuring of sentences in line no. 60- Few scholars suggested that individuals with three or more affected family members; one of whom must be a first-degree relative, can be considered as high-risk individuals and be included into screening. 3. Line no. 63 it should be more appropriate to write "Persons that doesn't come into this category can be classified as sporadic pancreatic cancer individuals." 4. Line no. 65 "where or in which" should be there instead of "which". Several other places also need attention. Please correct. 5. Page 4-line 76-78 should be corrected. Instead of "remain"



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

and 'point' it should be 'have' and 'points'. 6. More specific details about the parameters in control noncontrol and observational studies should be given. Results are not described clearly. In page 8, second paragraph of noncontrol studies, valid reasoning of difference in diagnostic percentage of pancreatic tumor and pancreatic cancer is missing. 7. A more appropriate and conclusive estimation of analysis is needed as conclusion.