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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
I read with a great interest the article titled "Prognostic significance of SOX2, E-cadherin, and vimentin in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma" by Chao Li and Yuqing Ma. The manuscript is well written, the methods and results are clearly presented. Usually, the relationship of SOX2 and β-catenin with the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and the prognostic value are very similar in various carcinomas. The authors concluded that SOX2 is an independent risk factor for OS, and is positively correlated with the vimentin expression in the ESCC, too.
Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77732

Title: Prognostic significance of SOX2, E-cadherin, and vimentin in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 03317348

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Japan

Author’s Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-03 07:27

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-04 13:28

Review time: 1 Day and 6 Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific quality</th>
<th>[ ] Grade A: Excellent</th>
<th>[ Y] Grade B: Very good</th>
<th>[ ] Grade C: Good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Grade D: Fair</td>
<td>[ ] Grade E: Do not publish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language quality</th>
<th>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing</th>
<th>[ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing</td>
<td>[ ] Grade D: Rejection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conclusion</th>
<th>[ ] Accept (High priority)</th>
<th>[ ] Accept (General priority)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ Y] Minor revision</td>
<td>[ ] Major revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Rejection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Re-review</th>
<th>[ ] Yes</th>
<th>[ Y] No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think the results of this study are important as one of the studies that deal with ESCC. I have the minor comments. Would you describe the surgical procedure in detail in Materials and Methods section?