

Dear Editor,

We thank the reviewers and editorial team for taking their efforts to improve the article to increase its value for publication. Herewith we submit the revised version of the article addressing the reviewer's comments and the action taken for their valuable suggestions has been mentioned below.

Reviewer 1 Comments	Authors Reply	Action Taken
The article is innovative and acceptable from the patient's point of view, giving appropriate feedback to the healthcare provider's treatment and care program and adjusting the implementation of the program accordingly, in order to make the patient better accept the treatment and care program assigned by the healthcare provider, thus achieving both physical and psychological satisfaction.	Thanks for the comment	None
The article is logically rigorous, with concise and coherent language	Thanks for the comment	None
The keywords reflect the focus of the article in terms of its main idea and the three aspects of data management and policy development covered	Thanks for the comment	None
The context of the article is from the patient's point of view, describing the benefits that PROMs provide and what needs to be explored in depth in the future	Thanks for the comment	None
The article belongs to a small evaluation type of study and there is no research methodology. However, the article provides a well-organized and complete description of PROMs in terms of definition, types, development and validation, benefits in healthcare, challenges in implementing PROMs, and future directions	Thanks for the comment	None
The article is critical and there are no research findings	Thanks for the comment	None
The article fully discusses the meaning and benefits of PROMs, and expresses its challenges and future directions in a well-organized manner	Thanks for the comment	None
The images and tables are clear and logical. Tables are clearly categorized and articulated, and schematics adequately and accurately reflect the images shown	Thanks for the comment	None
There are no statistically relevant elements	Thanks for the comment	None
References. Since the article is critical, more up-	Thanks for the	All references

to-date articles are needed in the essay to justify the research argument	comment	are updated to recent references
The authors wrote the manuscript appropriately and articulated that PROMs could be integrated with other types of health data in the future when clear guidelines are developed, and that validity in different populations also needs to be explored	Thanks for the comment	None
No ethical proof is required.	Thanks for the comment	None