




A. Direct Meta-Analysis (odds ratio) (WL HD vs. NBI HD)



B. Direct Meta-Analysis (odds ratio) (NBI HD vs. CE HD)




C. Direct Meta-Analysis (odds ratio) (CE HD vs. WL SD)




**Supplementary Figure 1.** Individual direct meta-analysis for dysplasia detection rates per biopsy. Random-effects meta-analysis was performed to compare the efficacy between each pair of endoscopic modalities to detect dysplasia per biopsy. (A) WL HD vs. NBI HD. (B) NBI HD vs CE HD. (C) CE HD vs WL SD. NBI: Narrow band imaging; CE: Chromoendoscopy; WL: White light; HD: High definition; SD: Standard definition.


A. Direct Meta-Analysis (odds ratio)  
(WL HD vs. NBI HD)



B. Direct Meta-Analysis (odds ratio)  
(NBI HD vs. CE HD)




C. Direct Meta-Analysis (odds ratio)  
(CE HD vs. WL SD)




**Supplementary Figure 2.** Individual direct meta-analysis for dysplasia detection rates per patient. Random-effects meta-analysis was performed to compare the efficacy between each pair of endoscopic modalities to detect dysplasia per patient. (A) WL HD vs. NBI HD. (B) NBI HD vs CE HD. (C) CE HD vs WL SD. NBI: Narrow band imaging; CE: Chromoendoscopy; WL: White light; HD: High definition; SD: Standard definition.

A. Direct Meta-Analysis (odds ratio)  
(WL HD vs. NBI HD)



B. Direct Meta-Analysis (odds ratio)  
(NBI HD vs. CE HD)



C. Direct Meta-Analysis (odds ratio)  
(CE HD vs. WL SD)

